

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 176 of 2023 with M.A. No. 177 of 2023

Lt. Col Sushil Kumar (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Puru Mudgal, Advocate holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd) and Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed for the condonation of delay in filing Original Application for the grant of disability element of pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 05 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that disability claim of the applicant has been wrongly denied by the respondents assessing the disability to be neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service. In fact it is connected with military service.</p> <p>It is further submitted that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matters.</p> <p>Cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 176 of 2023</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 177 of 2023

Ex Sigmn Ajay Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri K K S Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri K K S Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>It is submitted that disability suffered by the applicant is due to military service.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Supp. No. 3)

O.A. No. 178 of 2023 with M.A. No. 179 of 2023

Ex Rfn Deepak Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate
Shri K K S Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri K K S Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is an application for condoning the delay of 05 years and 09 months in filing application for grant of disability element of pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that disability pension has wrongly been denied. It is further submitted that delay in filing application is not deliberate. Law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matters.</p> <p>On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that application is not maintainable if no appeal being preferred against the rejection of disability claim.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 178 of 2023</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Supp. No. 4)

O.A. No. 179 of 2023 with M.A. No. 180 of 2023

Ex Sep Bhola Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>There is a delay of 05 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 179 of 2023</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Supp. No. 5)

O.A. No. 180 of 2023 with M.A. No. 181 of 2023

Ex Sep Surendra Kumar Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>There is a delay of 08 years, 03 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 180 of 2023</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 184 of 2023 with M.A. No. 186 of 2023

Ex Sgt Suresh Chandra Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>There is a delay of 10 years, 06 months and 25 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 184 of 2023</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 185 of 2023 with M.A. No. 187 of 2023

Ex Gnr Prem Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>There is a delay of 05 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 185 of 2023</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No.35 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 313 of 2022

Ex Hav Surander Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Advocate
Shri Ramakant Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ramakant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 04.08.2022 in O.A. No. 313 of 2022 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability element of pension to the applicant @ 80% for life to be rounded off to 100% for life w.e.f. three years preceding the date of filing of O.A. within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that order has not been complied with.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</p> <p>List on 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No.9)

R.A. No. 11 of 2023 with M.A. No. 178 of 2023 Inre
R.A. No. 67 of 2022 & O.A. No. 216 of 2022

Nb Sub (Nur Tech) Ritesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ritesh Kumar (In Person)

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Naib Subedar Ritesh Kumar applicant in person and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this second Review Application under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 with prayer to review this Tribunal order dated 07.07.2022 passed in O.A. No. 216 of 2022 and order dated 10.08.2022 passed in first Review Application No. 67 of 2022 in O.A. No. 216 of 2022 and pass a fresh order allowing his application for premature retirement from service.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection with regard to maintainability of the case being second review application filed by the applicant against the same impugned order, the same is not maintainable.</p> <p>We have gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the review application and have also gone through the judgment and orders sought to be reviewed. The judgment and orders sought to be reviewed were passed in proper prospective after considering all the facts and circumstances and also in view of the several pronouncement of the Hon'ble Apex Court. No illegality or irregularity or error apparent on the face of record has been shown to us so as to review the aforesaid judgment and order of this Court. The applicant has been denied premature retirement from service as per rules considering facts and circumstances of his case which are based on fictitious domestic compulsions on being selected for civil job.</p> <p>That apart, it is a settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record</p>

in the order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed vide Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure. Review is not an appeal in disguise. It is nowhere within the scope of review to recall any order passed earlier and to decide the case afresh.

In the light of the legal position crystalized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of ***Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and others***, reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715 and in the case of ***S. Madhusudhan Reddy Versus V. Narayana Reddy and Others***, Civil Appeal Nos. 5503-04 of 2022, decided on 18.08.2022, we are of the considered view that there is no illegality or irregularity or error apparent on the face of record being found in the impugned orders dated 07.07.2022 passed in O.A. No. 216 of 2022 and order dated 10.08.2022 passed in first Review Application No. 67 of 2022 in O.A. No. 216 of 2022, which may be corrected in exercise of review jurisdiction.

As far as maintainability of the Review Application is concerned, the first Review Application preferred by the applicant against the order passed in O.A. has already been rejected by this Tribunal considering all facts and circumstances of the case and therefore, second Review Application for the same prayer is not maintainable.

In view of above, present Review Application being second Review Application for the same prayer is not maintainable and is accordingly **dismissed**.

No order as to costs.

Delay condonation application (M.A. No. 178 of 2023) filed alongwith Review Application also stands disposed off.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 252 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 291 of 2021

Ex Sep Raghuvendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Order dated 09.11.2021 has not been complied with nor satisfactory reasons assigned for non compliance of the order. Rather, a request has been made to grant further time to make compliance of the order.</p> <p>Order needs to be complied with within a period of four weeks from today failing which Director PS-4, PS Directorate, AG's Branch, IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi will appear in person before this Tribunal on the next date fixed to show cause why coercive measures be not taken against him for non compliance of the order.</p> <p>Respondents are further directed to deposit cost by the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on 05.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 264 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 448 of 2020

Ex Sep Anjani Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On perusal of record it appears that Re-survey Medical Board (RSMB) of the applicant was held in which his disability being found Nil for life, the Board has rejected the claim.</p> <p>From the above it appears that order has been complied with. If the applicant feels aggrieved with the order, it is open for him to file a fresh O.A. against the findings of the RSMB.</p> <p>With this, Execution Application stands disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex.A. No. 285 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 602 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Jit Bahadur Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents states that file of the applicant is pending for financial concurrence with the office of Principal Integrated Financial Advisor (PIFA) since 13.11.2022. Thus, he sought four weeks further time to file compliance report which is allowed.</p> <p>It is directed that in case order is not complied within the given time, the PIFA (Q & M), Room No. 708, 'A' Wing, Sena Bhawan, New Delhi shall appear in person before this Tribunal on the next date fixed to show cause as why coercive measures be not taken against him for non compliance of the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex.A. No. 290 of 2022 Inre O.A. No.283 of 2021

Ex.Sub Brajendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D K Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This is an application for implementation of the order dated 21.12.2021.</p> <p>The submission of learned counsel for the respondents is that case file of the applicant has been processed for compliance of the order after the dismissal of leave to appeal application which was dismissed on 21.12.2022.</p> <p>In view of the above, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report. It is directed that if the order is not complied within the given time, the respondents will pay Rs. 5,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 352 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 523 of 2021

Ex. Nb Sub /SKT Ramanand Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K S Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K S Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed, three weeks further time is granted to file compliance report annexing therewith copy of PPO.</p> <p>List on 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. 357 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 648 of 2021

Smt. Archana Rai W/o late Sep Satya Prakash Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for four weeks further time to file compliance report saying case file of the applicant has been processed for implementation of the order after dismissal of leave to appeal application on 07.02.2023, which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 05.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 387 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 532 of 2021

Ex.MWO Awadhesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Rajeev Tiwari, AO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj submits that in compliance of the order PPO is generated on 30.11.2022 and arrears amounting to Rs. 6,04,761/- credited in applicant's account in Dec. 2022. The applicant is regularly in receipt of service pension @ Rs. 33,400/- and disability element @ Rs. 10,020/- per month.</p> <p>In view of the above, order seems to be complied with. Accordingly, Execution application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 556 of 2022

Ex. Sgt Dharmesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed in respect of disability No. 2 only. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 643 of 2022

Ex WO Ramesh Chandra Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V K Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V K Pandey and Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 827 of 2022

Ex Sep Chef (C) Lokesh Malik Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit. List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 839 of 2022

JWO Indra Dev (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that counter affidavit could not be filed as no instruction received in the matter.</p> <p>Heard Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 840 of 2022

Sep /MT Om Ji Bajpai (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 908 of 2022

WO Devendra Pal Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that counter affidavit could not be filed as no instruction received in the matter.</p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 910 of 2022

MWO Brijesh Kumar Parihar(Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate
Ms. Upsana Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that counter affidavit could not be filed as no instruction received in the matter.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 911 of 2022

Ex Nk Madhan Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upsana Mihsra, Advocate
Lt. Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Lt. Col Nidhikant Dyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 920 of 2022

Ex Sgt Manish Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate
Shri D K Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that counter affidavit could not be filed as no instruction received in the matter.</p> <p>Heard Shri V P Pandey and Shri D K Dixit, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 951 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Satyendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 963 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj (RT) Ran Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed in respect of disability No. 1 only. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 968 of 2022

Ex JWO Satya Prakash Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate
Shri D K Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that counter affidavit could not be filed as no instruction received in the matter.</p> <p>Heard Shri V P Pandey and Shri D.K. Dixit, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1127 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1348 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj Anil Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Objection to the maintainability of the application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>A request has been made from the side of applicant to adjourn the case which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 06.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 51 of 2023 with M.A. No. 44 of 2023

NK (Amb Asst) Hari Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Matter is pending decision before the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court.</p> <p>List on 14.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

T.A. No. 284 of 2010

Umesh Kumar Chourasia Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Transferred Application is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

T.A. No. 20 of 2017

Dhananjay Kumar Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Maj Vinay Sharma, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Maj Vinay Sharma and Shri Kapil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 27.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents/Legal cell shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

T.A. No. 4 of 2019

Virendra Singh Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Nand Kishore Vuttsya, Advocate
Shri Hemendra Pratap Singh, Advocate
Shri Deepak Vuttsya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nand Kishore Vuttsya, Shri Hemendra Pratap Singh and Shri Deepak Vuttsya, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, list the matter on 15.03.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/-</p>