

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 294 of 2023

Lt. Col. Parsun Kanti Goswami Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Kunwar Sushant Prakash, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed against disciplinary proceedings being proceeded against the applicant.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that on the same charges in which disciplinary proceedings are being conducted against the applicant and he has been placed under suspension an FIR was lodged against the applicant with the civil police and the same were investigated and being not found substantiated with evidence a closure report was submitted and accepted also by the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate. He submits that charges being once investigated and not found substantiated the same can not be re-inquired into by the respondents through disciplinary proceedings and applicant can be put to trial. Thus he submits that suspension order of the applicant be quashed and after summoning the convening order of court-martial the same be also quashed.</p> <p>On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submits that there is no legal bar that charges once being investigated by the civil police and dropped the same can not be re-inquired into by the respondents.</p> <p>As regards disciplinary proceedings, learned counsel on instructions received, submits that recording of summary of evidence is in progress against the applicant, no charge sheet has yet been served nor court-martial has been convened. For smooth conduct of recording of summary of evidence applicant</p>

has been placed under suspension.

Case needs adjudication.

Original Application is admitted for hearing.

Issue notice.

Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, hence service of notice is waived. He may file Reply/Counter Affidavit within three weeks.

List on **12.04.2023**.

Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel of both sides within two days.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex. A. No. 65 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 497 of 2017

Smt. Satbiri wife of Late Ex. Sep. Ajaipal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K.Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>No objection has been filed against the compliance report.</p> <p>We have gone through the affidavit of compliance and we find that the order has been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 212 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 639 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ram Autar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that case file of the applicant has been processed for Government Sanction which is presently pending with the Ministry of Defence.</p> <p>In view of the above, four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 10.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 213 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 166 of 2021

Ex.Hav. Ramesh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On the last date, the respondents were granted four weeks time to make compliance of the order with condition that if the order is not complied with the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall appear in person before the Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain why coercive action be not taken against him for non-compliance of the order. Despite the same neither order has been complied with nor the officer responsible for implementation of the order is present to explain the reason.</p> <p>We are told that Record Officer, The Records Garhwal Rifles, PIN 900400, C/o 56 APO is responsible for implementation of the order.</p> <p>Registry is directed to issue notice calling upon him to appear before the Tribunal in person on the next date fixed to show cause why action be not taken against him.</p> <p>Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost, if any, due against them by the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on 11.05.2023.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 231 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Somdatt Awasthi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 19.04. 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 280 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 331 of 2021

Ex. Cpl. Dharm Raj Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Himanshu Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Himanshu Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that respondents have filed Leave to Appeal application against the order in question which has not yet decided.</p> <p>List along with Leave to Appeal application on 22.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 289 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 552 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ram Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Leave to Appeal application was filed in the matter which was dismissed on 15.02.2023, therefore, four weeks further time be granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 24.05. 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 303 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 176 of 2019

Ex. Sep. Uday Bir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that though in compliance of the order PPO has been issued and payment has also been received, but as per instruction received from his client, interest has not been paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the PPO issued in the mater there is clear mention of interest and with standing to this there is no reason to believe that interest has not been paid. The order seems to have been complied with.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 335 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 606 of 2021

Ex. JWO Vinod Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that in regard to payment of disability element PPO has been issued by the respondents, however, due to data being migrated to SPARSH now PPO has to be re-issued for which data has already been uploaded. Thus, further time is sought to file affidavit of compliance which is allowed.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance may be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>List on 14.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 345 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 650 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Sachida Nand Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The order to be complied with is 31.08.2021.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to make compliance of the order within four weeks without fail or else they will be liable to pay cost.</p> <p>List on 03.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 369 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 382 of 2021

Ex. Sep. (DSC) Sushil Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shir R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the last date i.e. 13.02.2023, four weeks further time was granted to the respondents to make compliance of the order as last chance. Despite the same, order has not been complied with nor any explanation has been offered for the same.</p> <p>We are told that matter is pending with AG PS-4 for Government Sanction.</p> <p>It is directed that order be complied with within four weeks failing which Director, AG PS-4, Directorate of Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army), New Delhi shall remain physically present in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed to show cause why coercive action be not taken against him for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>List on 03.05.2023.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 12)

Ex.A. No. 370 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 380 of 2021

Ex. Sep. (DSC) Shyam Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The order dated 02.02.2022 has not been yet implemented rather four weeks further time has been sought to make compliance of the order without assigning any reason as to why order could not be implemented.</p> <p>Respondents are, therefore, directed to make compliance of the order within four weeks without fail or else OIC Records, DSC Records, Mill Road, Burnacherry, Post Kannur, Kerala-670013 shall remain physically present in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain why action be not taken against him for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>List on 03.05.2023.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 388 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 97 of 2022

Ex. JWO Ramakant Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that case file of the applicant was processed for implementation of the order in which the Principal Integrated Financial Advisor has made four recommendations including either to file Appeal against the order or change the Policy regarding grant of disability element. Thus, it is submitted that in view of the above recommendations made by the Principal Integrated Financial Advisor the order passed in the matter could not be implemented.</p> <p>The explanation offered by the respondents for not implementing the order is not acceptable. Therefore, the same is turned down and respondents are directed to ensure compliance of the order within four weeks without fail or else Director, Directorate of Veterans, Air Headquarters, SMC Building, 1st Floor, Suborot Park, New Delhi-110010 shall remain physically present in person before the Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain why coercive action be not taken against him for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>It is made clear that if the order is not complied with, the respondents will be liable to pay Rs.10,000/- fine also to the applicant.</p> <p>List on 04.05.2023.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/representative of Air Force within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 68 of 2019

Ex. Sub. Nand Lal Gupta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list tomorrow i.e. on 18.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 542 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Dev Nath Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate
Shri D.C. Lohumi, Advocate
Shri Nitin Khanna, Advocate.

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, Shri D.C. Lohumi, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 5 and Shri Nitin Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 6 are present.</p> <p>On the request of Shri Nitin Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 6 four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report subject to condition that if the order is not complied with then the concerned Branch Manager will appear in person before the Tribunal on the next date fixed and file his personal affidavit stating why necessary action be not taken against him for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 27.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 573 of 2022 along with O.A. No. 880 of 2021 (Decided)

Hav. Baggu Mahesh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Mr. Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for two weeks further time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents submits that since on the very first date when the Original Application was taken up for hearing i.e. 15.07.2022 proceedings in DCM against the applicant were stayed due to stay order being passed against disciplinary proceedings against Lt. Col. Awadhesh Kumar Singh in Original Application No. 880 of 2021 which was later on dismissed being not maintainable, hence stay order passed in the case be vacated.</p> <p>In the interest of justice, two weeks further time is granted to file Rejoinder Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>List on 04.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 900 of 2022

Sgt. Satish Kumar Tiwari (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>List on 06.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1066 of 2022

Hony. Lt. Devi Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1136 of 2022

Ex. Gdr. Dheerendra Pratap Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 4 of 2023

Ex.Sub. Puran Chandra Niyolia Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 7 of 2023

Ex. NK. Ramadhar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 19.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 8 of 2023

Smt. Raj Rani, w/o Late Ex. Hav. Bhagela Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 19.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 13 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Rajbir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 19.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 16 of 2023

Ex. L/NK Virendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate
Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 20.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 17 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Dvr (MT) Mahesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 31 of 2023

Col. Brijendra Singh Bhadauria, SM (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 13.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 33 of 2023

Ex. ACP Nb Sub Rabindra Yadaw Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 13.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A.(A) No. 159 of 2023 with M.A. No. 157 of 2023

NK (Dismissed) Bhanu Pratap Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This Original Application (Appeal) has been filed against the findings of DCM conducted against the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant had filed post confirmation petition against the findings of DCM and the same being not decided within six months from the date of filing this Original Application (Appeal) has been, thus, there is no delay in filing the Original Application (Appeal) and it is also not pre-mature.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents on being asked, said that he has no idea if findings of DCM are confirmed or not so time be given to seek instruction which is allowed.</p> <p>Instruction regarding confirmation of findings of DCM may be sought within two weeks and the Tribunal may be apprised accordingly.</p> <p>List on 18.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 29)

T.A. No. 70 of 2022

Ex. MWO (HFO) Rishi Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 12.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 30)

Dairy No. 3529 of 2022

Cdr. Preeti Tomar (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Defects have been removed.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ved Prakash, Shri Devendra Kuamr and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed for condoning the delay of 01 year and 02 months in filing the Original Application for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that disability claim of the applicant has been wrongly denied by the respondents on the ground of disability being neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service. It is further submitted that limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter.</p> <p>Cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>Original Application</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted for hearing.</p> <p>Issue notice.</p> <p>Shri Rajiv Pandey, Central Government Standing Counsel has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, hence service of notice is waived. He may file Reply/Counter Affidavit within four weeks.</p> <p>List on 22.05.2023.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A.(A) No. 474 of 2018

Mukesh Kumar through his wife Smt. Pooja Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Original documents are available with the AFT Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on 01.06.2023.</p> <p>Original documents be retained with AFT Legal Cell for production on the next date fixed for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. (A) No. 594 of 2018

NK Gyaneshwar Prasad Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vaibhav Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Vakalatnama filed by Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate, Ms. Upasna Singh, Advocate and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Their names be shown as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Applicant Nk. Gyaneshwar Prasad Pandey is also present and he states that he has not given any instruction to Shri Vaibhav Tripathi, Advocate to file Vakalatnama on his behalf in his case.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra and Shri Kapil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List the matter on 15.05.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Suppl. No. 1)

M.A. No. 347 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 51 of 2023

Nk. (Amb Asst.) Hari Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 347 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed against the early hearing of Original Application No. 51 of 2023.</p> <p>The Original Application is listed for hearing on 14.04.2023. No urgency being involved in the matter, early hearing application is rejected.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 51 of 2023</u></p> <p>List on the date fixed i.e. 14.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>