

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 411 of 2023 with M.A. No. 506 of 2023

Ex. Nb Sub Bijaypal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of seven months and three days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 411 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p>

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **24.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. 412 of 2023 with M.A. No. 507 of 2023

Ex. Sep Nirbhay Bux Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of seven months and eight days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 412 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p>

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **24.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 413 of 2023 with M.A. No. 508 of 2023

Ex Hav Sharad Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of five months and eight days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 413 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p>

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **24.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

O.A. No. 414 of 2023 with M.A. 509 of 2023

Ex. L/NK Amarnath Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of seven months and eight days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 414 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time</p>

to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **24.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

O.A. No. 416 of 2023 with M.A. No. 512 of 2023

Ex. Hav Vijay Kumar Singh Rathore Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V K Pandey , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri V K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is delay of more than 12 years in filing the O.A.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objections against the delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 15.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

O.A. No. 418 of 2023 with M.A. No. 512 of 2023

Ex JWO Rajendra Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is delay of more than 12 years in filing the O.A.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objections against the delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 15.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

O.A. No. 419 of 2023 with M.A. No. 515 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Munna Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate
Shri Rahul Chaurasiya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri R Chandra and Shri Rahul Chaurasiya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 21 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 419 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time</p>

to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **25.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

O.A. No. 420 of 2023

Ex Hav Gyanendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri .Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Matter pertains to disability pension which needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 25.07.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 421 of 2023

Lt. Col.Sandeep Bhatnagar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col NidhikantDhyani (Retd) , Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
MsUpasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Matter pertains to disability pension which needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 24.07.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)

O.A. No. 422 of 2023 with M.A. No. 516 of 2023

Ex Sub /SKT Virendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by ShriPushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 years 03 months and 22 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 422 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p>

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **25.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 423 of 2023 with M.A. No. 517 of 2023

Ex MWO Anil Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
MsUpsana Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 07 months and 08 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 423 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p>

Admit.

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **25.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.12)

O.A. No. 425 of 2023 with M.A. No. 519 of 2023

Ex HFO Balwant Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
MsUpsana Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of seven months and eight days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 425 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p>

Admit.

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **25.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 426 of 2023 with M.A. No. 520 of 2023

Ex Nk Pankaj Kumar Triwedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 05 months and 08 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 426 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time</p>

to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **25.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

Ex- A. No. 13 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 88 of 2021

Ex Sep Sant Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that compliance report has already been filed for which responsible officer was summoned.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Personal presence of the Officer is exempted.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that as per order of this Tribunal, interest amount has not been paid to the applicant for which he seeks time to file objection against the compliance report. Two weeks time is granted for the same.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 18.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

Ex A No. 336 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 666 of 2021

Ex NK Hira Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R K S Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R K S Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file compliance report by the next date fixed failing which responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be summoned.</p> <p>List on 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

EX-A No. 33 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 148 of 2021

Ex Sgt Chandan Kumar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Purna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rahul Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Purna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file compliance report by the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 10.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 60 of 2022

Ex Sep Ramadhar Saroj Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the assurance of Id. Counsel for the respondents, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file Counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 335 of 2022 along with O.A. No. 554 of 2022

Lt Col NidhikantDhyani (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the basis of the instructions received from the Department, Id. Counsel for the respondents submits that as on date no disciplinary proceedings is pending against the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to take further action in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 29.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 554 of 2022 with M.A. No. 510 of 2023 along with
O.A. No. 335 of 2022

Lt Col NidhikantDhyani Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has filed PPO and stated that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with and nothing remains to be adjudicated in the matter.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the statement of the Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In view of above, the instant O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 971 of 2022

Ex JWO Pravin Kumar Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ms Meghana Gupta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 15.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.22)

O.A. No. 972 of 2022

Ex Hav Shiv Raj Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri .Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 09.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 978 of 2022

Smt. Bhuneshvari Devi W/o Late
Ex Sep Kailash Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu Advocate
Shri Ashish Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 & 6, is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 submitted that applicant may be directed to be physically present before the respondent No. 6 to settle the claim.</p> <p>Accordingly, applicant is directed to present before the respondent No. 6 within a week to settle her claim.</p> <p>List on 02.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 982 of 2022

Ex CPO Radio (Tel) Mahendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri .Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Interim relief granted earlier to continue till the next date of listing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 10.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 1046 of 2022

HFO Ram Prakash Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>None present for the applicant. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 02.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 27)

O.A. No. 1051 of 2022

Col (TS) Alok Kumar Jain (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Maj Vinay Sharma (Retd), Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit by the next date fixed failing which a cost of Rs. 10,000/- shall be imposed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 15.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.28)

O.A. No. 18 of 2023

Hav Praveen Kumar Raghav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri UKS Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>None present for the applicant. Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 07.07.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 29)

O.A. No. 62 of 2023

HFO Chandra Bhan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit by the next date fixed failing which a cost of Rs. 10,000/- shall be imposed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 15.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 30)

O.a. No. 76 of 2023

HFO Biswas Kumar Gupta (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Sri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit by the next date fixed failing which a cost of Rs. 10,000/- shall be imposed.</p> <p>List on 09.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 31)

O.A. No. 294 of 2023

Lt Col Parsun Kanti Goswami Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Kunwar Sushant Prakash, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents at length.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one week's time to file detailed counter reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.04.2023 as Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 32)

O.A. (A) No. 29 of 2021 with M.A. No. 999 of 2022

Ex Nk Shankar Ingali Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Prasoon Kumar Anjor, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Meghana Gupta holding brief for Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.</p> <p>Adjourned.</p> <p>List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 33)

T.A. No. 3 of 2021

Ex NkClkNetrapal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 04.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 34)

T.A. No. 32 of 2022

Ex HavSul Khan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anirudh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anirudh Kumar Singh, Advocate appears for the applicant and seeks time to file his Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Two weeks time is granted to Sri Anirudh Kumar Singh, Advocate to file his Vakalatnama.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 10.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 35)

T.A. No. 44 of 2022

Ex Cpl S P Dubey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. List on 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 36)

T.A. No. 56 of 2022 with M.A. No. 283 of 2023 & M.A. No. 284 of 2023

Dharam Nath Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anurag Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anurag Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that before transferring this case before this Tribunal from the Hon'ble High Court, a substitution applicant was filed before the High Court which he does not want to press. A fresh substitution application has been filed by the applicant before this Tribunal in which legal representatives have been arrayed.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to provide a copy of the substitution application to the respondents' counsel who may file objections, if any, within a week. Previous substitution application stands dismissed.</p> <p>List on 25.04.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 37)

DY- No. 627 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 330 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sep Sanoj S Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant / respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the interest of justice, another last opportunity is granted to remove the defects within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 38)

O.A. No. 2 of 2017 along with O.A. No. 254 of 2016 (Decided)

Ex Sub Maj Rashid Ali Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the joint request of the Id. Counsel for the parties, next date is fixed. In the mean time both the parties are directed to file their written submissions.</p> <p>On the date fixed Id. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 39)

O.A. No. 305 of 2017

Rajbeer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Sri Shailendra Kumar Singh holding brief for Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since original documents pertaining to the case, available with the respondents are required for adjudication of the case, same shall be retained and produced on the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 40)

O.A. No. 421 of 2019

Ex Lance Nk Dhirendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Sri Rang Nath Pandey holding brief for Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>List on 02.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 41)

O.A. (A) No. 391 of 2018

Ravinder Singh (Through Smt. Surekha, Legally Wedded Wife) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Sri Shailendra Kumar Singh holding brief for Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 42)

O.A. (A) No. 1052 of 2022

Ex Cfn Deepak Chandra Pandey (Through Applicant
ParokarSmt Renu Pandey)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Sri Manoj Kumar Awasthi holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 29.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>