

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 1)
O.A. No. 458 of 2023 with M.A. No. 550 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub (TA) Vinod Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of fourteen days only. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.7. Delay condoned. <p><u>O.A. No. 458 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">8. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.9. Admit.10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.11. List on 24.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. 459 of 2023 with M.A. No. 551 of 2023

Ex Sub Ratnesh Chandra Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of one month and two days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.7. Delay condoned. <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No. 459 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">8. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.9. Admit.10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.11. List on 24.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 462 of 2023

Ex Sub Sanjay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Matter pertains to disability pension and needs adjudication.4. Admit.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.6. List on 24.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 4)

R.A. No. 16 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 12 of 2022

Ex Rect Pawan Kumar Kharwar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. This review application has been filed by the applicant to review the order passed by the Bench consisting of Hon'ble Mr. Justice U.C Srivastava, Member (J) and Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A).3. In view of above, list this case before the appropriate Bench consisting of Hon'ble Mr. Justice U.C Srivastava, Member (J) and Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A) on 03.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 230 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 93 of 2021

Ex NkBirija Bhar Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : ShriPrashant Kumar Singh , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 17.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 236 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 590 of 2021

Ex L/NK Sunil Kumar Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 23.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 250 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 398 of 2020

Ex LSTD Guptesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent Nos. 5 & 6 i.e. Bank, is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for Respondent Nos. 5 & 6 in the future cause list.3. Ld. Counsel for Respondent Nos. 5 & 6 submitted that payment has been made and seek time to file compliance report. Two weeks time is granted for the same.4. List on 08.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 350 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 77 of 2022

Ex Nk Chandra Mohan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Abhishek Singh holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 22.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 1 of 2023 Inre: Ex-A. No. 55 of 2018
& O.A. No. 316 of 2016

Smt Manju Devi, W/o Late Ex Applicant
Sep Jitendra Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R. Chandra holding brief for Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. None present on behalf of respondent No. 4. Notice has already been issued. 30 days have elapsed, notice has not been received back served or unserved. Hence, notice deemed to be sufficient.3. Respondent No. 4 is directed to comply with the order passed by this Tribunal.4. List on 23.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(Sl. No. 10)

EX- A. No. 6 of 2023Inre: O.A. No. 100 of 2019

Ex Rect Rahul Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Abhishek Singh holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further two weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report.3. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of two weeks is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report.4. List on 22.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 629 of 2022

Sep Jatinder Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate
Shri Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On case being taken up for hearing Shri Pawan Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents, matter is adjourned.3. List on 24.04.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No.12)

O.A. No. 763 of 2022

Ex Sgt Sandeep Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 08.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1098 of 2022

JWO Radha Raman Pandey (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Madan Pal Vats and Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Ld. Counsels for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1099 of 2022

WO Devindar Kumar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Madan Pal Vats and Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Ld. Counsels for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1100 of 2022

MWO (HFL) Ramesh Chander (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Madan Pal Vats and Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Ld. Counsels for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1(SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1101 of 2022

Ex Sub Deep Narain Shukla

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nilish Anand, Advocate
Shri Pahul Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sharad Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Sharad Kumar Shukla on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 and 6 is taken on record. Their names be shown in the future cause list as counsel for Respondent Nos. 5 & 6.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pahul Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Shri Vinay Pandey, Shri Sharad Kumar Shukla Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondent NOs. 5 & 6 submitted that payment has been made and compliance report is being filed in the Registry during the course of the day to which objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant by the next date.</p> <p>4. List on 16.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1124 of 2022

Ex WO Kameshwar Nath Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1 Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.</p> <p>2 Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3 O.A is allowed.</p> <p>4 Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1125 of 2022

Ex CPO LOG (MAT) Pujesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1126 of 2022

Ex MWO Ram Bilas Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1 Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2 Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3 List on 16.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1128 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Pawan Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A.(A) No. 200 of 2022

Ex Nk Ajay Kumar Gautam

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. None present for the applicant. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. CA has already been filed and taken on record.3. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.4. List on 29.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No.22)

T.A. No. 57 of 2022

Pappu Singh S/o Late Hom Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri -, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. None present for the applicant. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Supplementary CA filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Supplementary Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.4. List on 18.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 388 of 2017

Gagan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate appears for the applicant and seeks two week's time to file his Vakalatnama. Time as prayed is allowed.2. Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. List on 18.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 104 of 2022

Pnr Rohit Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Anugrah Narayan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file reply against the supplementary rejoinder filed by the applicant.3. List on 23.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A.(A) No. 39 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1237 of 2018

Smt. Sunita Singh W/o Abhishek Kumar
Singh (In Custody)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Jitendar Saksena, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar holding brief for Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. On perusal of the records as well as statement made by both the counsels that earlier hearings have been done on number of occasions by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice U.C Srivastava, Member (J). Hence, list this case before the Bench consisting of Hon'ble Mr. Justice U.C Srivastava, Member (J) in Court No. 1.3. Respondents are directed to retain the original documents in the matter and produced before the Court on the next date fixed.4. List on 03.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A.(A) No. 760 of 2020

Chandan Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VK Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. On the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned.3. List on 11.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>