

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 752 of 2020

Dhakne Deepak Babanrao Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that vide previous order dated 25.04.2023, respondents were directed to produce the leave record of the applicant for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to comply with the previous order dated 25.04.2023.</p> <p>4. List on 18.12.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A.(A) No. 39 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1237 of 2018

Smt. Sunita Singh W/o Abhishek Kumar Singh (In Custody) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Ashish Asthana , Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Jitendar Saksena, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsat length.</p> <p>2. Arguments continued.</p> <p>3. List on 02.01.2024for further hearing.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1339 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1939 of 2023

Ex. MWO Bhagwati Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.4. Case needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.7. List on 30.01.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1340 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1940 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Maj. (Hony Lt) Krishna Chandra DubeyApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>4. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 30.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 1941 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1942 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 21 of 2023

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sub Dubey Achay Radheshyam

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others</div><div>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 13.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 21/2023.</div><div>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months 25 days in filing of application be condoned.</div><div>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</div><div>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</div><div>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

M.A. No. 1943 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1944 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 172 of 2023

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others. 2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 26.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 172/2023. 3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months 12 days in filing of application be condoned. 4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly. 5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted. 6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

C.A. No. 2 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 412 of 2021

Ex NK Madan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pawan Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Danish Faruqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Reply to objection filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 03.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Ex-A. No. 5 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1119 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 412 of 2021

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pawan Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Danish Faruqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative prays for further time to comply the order. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs. 5,000/- shall be imposed.</p> <p>3. List on 03.01.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 6 of 2019 Inre: O.A. No. 290 of 2016

Ex. Sep. Harendra Vikram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that government sanction has been granted and PPO is awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 05.01.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 196 of 2022 Inre T.A. 16 of 2016

Ex.Rect. Ashutosh SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of order dated 09.02.2022, Govt sanction has been obtained. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on10.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 230 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 93 of 2021

Ex. NK Birija Bhar Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh holding brief for Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that government sanction has been granted and PPO is awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 08.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 239 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 618 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Sanjai Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Perusal of the file reveals that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. On previous date i.e on 28.08.2023, respondents were directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings be not initiated against them. Respondents are directed to comply with the order dated 28.08.2023 passed by this Tribunal on or before the next date.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 08.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 285 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 602 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Jit Bahadur Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Perusal of the file reveals that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. On previous date i.e on 28.08.2023, respondents were directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings be not initiated against them. Respondents are directed to comply with the order dated 28.08.2023 passed by this Tribunal on or before the next date. 3. List on 08.01.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)

Ex-A. No. 289 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No.552 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ram Prasad Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to comply the order. Neither order dated 21.03.2033 has been complied nor cost of Rs. 5,000/- has been deposited by the respondents. As prayed, four weeks’ further time is granted to comply the order by the next date fixed, failing which responsible officer for non- compliance of the order shall remain present in the Tribunal to clarify his position.</div><div>3. List on 18.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 293 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No.858 of 2021

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Narendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriVirendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Perusal of the file reveals that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. On previous date i.e on 28.08.2023, respondents were directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings be not initiated against them. Respondents are directed to comply with the order dated 28.08.2023 passed by this Tribunal on or before the next date. 3. List on 12.01.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.14)

Ex-A. No. 322 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 827 of 2021

Ex. Nb Sub Sheo Khelawan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Dharma Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Vedioconferencing.</div><div>1. Vide order dated 10.05.2023, PIFA, Army headquarters, New Delhi was directed to comply the order or to appear in person before this Tribunal to explain the reasons for not granting financial concurrence. Today on call of the case, Shri Pankaj Pandey, AIFA is present. PIFA could not be connected due to technical error. AIFA Shri Pankaj Pandey, AIFA prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to comply the order and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>2. List on 22.01.2024.</div><div>3. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for further action.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.15)

Ex-A. No. 323 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 25 of 2022

Ex.Rect Aditya Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that government sanction has been granted and PPO is awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that it is the 9th adjournment and inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit.</div><div>3. In view of above, respondents are directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings should not be initiated against them for not implementing the order passed by this Tribunal.</div><div>4. List on 15.01.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the Id. Counsel for the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.16)

Ex-A. No. 324 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 793 of 2021

Ex Sub Pyar Chand Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>4. Heard Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Vedio conferencing.</p> <p>5. Officer from PIFA could not be connected due to technical error. Shri Pankaj Pandey, AIFA present in person prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to comply the order and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>6. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p>7. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for further action.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

Ex-A. No. 332 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 473 of 2021

Ex HFO Bhubneshwar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate
Mohd.Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singhand Mohd.Zafar Khan Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance has been made but due to technical reasons PPO could not be uploaded. He seeks further two weeks time to upload the same. Time as prayed is allowed.</div><div>3. List on 12.12.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.18)

EX-A. No. 343 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 76 of 2022

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Girish Chandra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before PS-4/MoD. He seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit.</div><div>3. Perusal of the file reveals that it is the 8th adjournment andinspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit.</div><div>4. In view of above, respondents are directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings be not initiated against them for not implementing the order passed by this Tribunal.</div><div>5. List on 16.01.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the Id. Counsel for the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.19)

EX-A. No. 355 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 864 of 2021

Ex HavTekawade Santosh Bapurao

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Vedio conferencing.</div><div>2. Reply filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to provide a copy to learned counsel for the applicant.</div><div>3. Officer from PIFA could not be connected due to technical error. Shri Pankaj Pandey, AIFA present in person prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to comply the order and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>4. List on 22.01.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for further action.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.20)

EX-A. No. 356 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 379 of 2020

Ex SigmnRohitash Gurjar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Officer from PIFA could not be connected due to technical error. Shri Pankaj Pandey, AIFA present in person prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to comply the order and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>3. List on 22.01.2024.</div><div>4. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for further action.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.21)

EX-A. No. 377 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 763 of 2021

Ex Nb Sub Randhir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Officer from PIFA could not be connected due to technical error. Shri Pankaj Pandey, AIFA present in person prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to comply the order and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p>4. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for further action.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Inre: O.A. No. 637 of 2021

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit. 3. Perusal of the file reveals that it is the 6th adjournment and inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. 4. In view of above, respondents are directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings be not initiated against them for not implementing the order passed by this Tribunal. 5. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal. 6. List on 16.01.2024. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the Id. Counsel for the respondents as per rules. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.23)

Ex-A. No. 19 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 508 of 2018

Ex Sub Jitender Pal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before Line Directorate. He seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit.</div><div>3. Perusal of the file reveals that it is the 6th adjournment and inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit.</div><div>4. In view of above, respondents are directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings be not initiated against them for not implementing the order passed by this Tribunal.</div><div>5. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 15.01.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the Id. Counsel for the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.24)

EX-A. No. 69 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 207 of 2022

Ex Hony Sub Maj Lal Bachan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Mrs. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before PS-4/MoD. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 16.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.25)

EX-A. No. 77 of 2023 with M.A. No. 611 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 355 of 2021

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that payment along with arrears have been paid, corrigendum PPO has also been issued in favour of the applicant and nothing remains on part of the respondents. Further submitted that objections raised by the applicant are with regard to the previous PPO issued and now the revised/ corrigendum PPO has been issued on 19.03.2023.</p> <p>3. None present on behalf of the applicant to press the objections raised against the compliance report filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. On the basis of the statement made by the Id. Counsel for the respondents, the instant execution application stands disposed of in full satisfaction.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="350 1986 784 2050" style="width: 45%; text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="935 1986 1377 2050" style="width: 45%; text-align: center;"> (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.26)

EX-A. No. 143 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 658 of 2022

Sub Umakant Tiwari (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. This execution application has been filed for compliance of order of this Tribunal dated 31 January 2022 passed in O.A. No 658 of 2022 by means of which respondents were directed to issue duplicate ECHS Card to the applicant and to pay cost of Rs. 25,000/-. Duplicate ECHS has been provided to the applicant but cost has not been deposited by the respondents till date.</p> <p>3. On perusal of order sheet, it reveals that vide order dated 15.10.2023, last chance was granted to deposit the cost, but the cost was not deposited. Further vide order dated 10.11.2023, respondents were directed to comply the order by the next date fixed, failing which coercive action shall be taken against the officer responsible for non- compliance of the order but the order was not complied with. Today on call of the case, learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to deposit the cost.</p> <p>4. As prayed, one week’s further time is granted to respondents to comply the order failing which Officer Incharge ECHS Cell, Allahabad shall file affidavit to explain the reasons for non- compliance of the order and also why coercive action be not taken against him.</p> <p>5. List on 01.12.2023.</p> <p>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for further action.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.27)

EX-A. No. 249 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 256 of 2022

Ex Hav Manoj Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 15.01.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.28)

EX-A. No. 310 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 206 of 2019

Smt. Savitri Chand, W/o Late
Ex Nb Sub Rami Chand Rajan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Applicant in person

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none present for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that certain documents are required from the applicant to process the case and applicant has been requested to provide the same. He seeks two weeks' time to file an affidavit in this regard. Time as prayed is allowed.</div><div>3. List on 12.12.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.29)

EX-A. No. 311 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 943 of 2022

Col Pramod Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with PS-4. He prays for further four weeks’ time to comply the order.</div><div>3. As a last chance, four weeks’ time is granted for comply the order and file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs. 5,000/- shall be imposed on the respondents.</div><div>4. List on 19.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.30)

EX-A. No. 312 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 605 of 2022

Ex Hav / Clk Arun Kumar Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DK Upadhayay holding brief for Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. 3. List on 24.01.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1757 782 1838"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="907 1757 1347 1838"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.31)

EX-A. No. 315 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 750 of 2022

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same. 3. List on 18.01.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1658 784 1720"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="922 1658 1356 1720"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.32)

EX-A. No. 316 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 530 of 2022

Ex Cfn Surya Bhan Giri

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 18.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

O.A. No. 847 of 2022

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is ready and the same shall be filed within two days.</p> <p>3. List on 24.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.34)

O.A. No. 441 of 2023

Maj Gen Bhaskar Kalita, YSM (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that counter affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed shortly. He seeks two week’s time to file the same. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on 20.12.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.35)

O.A. No. 482 of 2023

Ex Sgt Ashish Prakash SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.</div><div>4. List on 18.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.36)

O.A. No. 736 of 2023

Ex Sgt Ashutosh TewariApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>21.11.2023 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment on the ground that he has to attend case at High Court. Learned counsel for the respondents appeared through Vedio Conferencing. He prays for and is further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. 3. As a last chance, four weeks and no more time is granted to file counter affidavit. 4. List on 18.01.2024.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.37)

O.A. No. 770 of 2023

Ex Sep Rajat ThapaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Vedia conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant by the next date fixed.</div><div>4. List on 16.01.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.38)

O.A. No. 786 of 2023

Ex Nk Babu RamApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through vedio conferencing.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 16.01.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.39)

O.A. No. 804 of 2023 with M.A. No. 956 of 2023

Ex MWO Shiv Darshan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file reply to objection against maintainability of the case.</p> <p>2. List on 18.01.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.40)

O.A. No. 877 of 2023

Ex RectDesh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Dr. Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file reply to objection against maintainability of the case.</p> <p>2. List on 17.01.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>