

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 198 of 2023 with M.A. No. 199 of 2023

Ex HFO Shail Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anushri Verma, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar, Advocate
Shri Ajit Ranjan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterji

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Pradeep Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Capt Priyanka Rathore, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 199 of 2023</u></p> <p>There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 29 days in filing the O.A. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. Regarding delay submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that the applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying, there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing the O.A. is condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 198 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 03.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 199 of 2023 with M.A. No. 200 of 2023

Ex HFO Ram Asrey Gupta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 200 of 2023</u></p> <p>There is delay of 01 year, 04 months and 05 days in filing the O.A. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. Regarding delay submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that the applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying, there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing the O.A. is condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 199 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 03.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 200 of 2023 with M.A. No. 201 of 2023

Ex Sgt Anand Kumar Pandey **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 201 of 2023</u></p> <p>There is delay of 13 years, 03 months and 05 days in filing the O.A. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. Regarding delay submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that the applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying, there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing the O.A. is condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 200 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 03.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 201 of 2023 with M.A. No. 204 of 2023

Ex Rect Santosh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col H M Maheshwari (Veteran), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Col HM Maheshwari (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 204 of 2023</u></p> <p>There is delay of 03 years, 11 months and 14 days in filing the O.A. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. Regarding delay submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that the applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying, there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and applicant was a recruit having only about 06 months of service and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Explanation of delay offered by the applicant being sufficient, objection raised by the respondents is rejected and delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing the O.A. is condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 201 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 04.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

Ex - A. No. 36 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 209 of 2022

Ex Hav Mudit Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to seek instructions and file compliance report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 11.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

M.A. No. 206 of 2023 with M.A. No. 207 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 209 of 2022

Union of india & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Ex Hav Mudit Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant on application for leave to appeal.</p> <p><u>M. A. No. 206 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for grant of leave to appeal in O.A. No. 209 of 2022. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after elapse of 06 months and 11 days which is beyond statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay under the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. It is well settled proposition of law that the Tribunal is not empowered to condone delay in moving application for leave to appeal. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 207 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</p> <p>In consequence thereof, the application for leave to appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

M.A. No. 209 of 2023 with M.A. No. 210 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 600 of 2021

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Ex Sub Jawahar Lal Mandal

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the applicant on application for leave to appeal.</p> <p><u>M. A. No. 209 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for grant of leave to appeal in O.A. No. 600 of 2021. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after elapse of 10 months and 15 days which is beyond statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay under the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. It is well settled proposition of law that the Tribunal is not empowered to condone delay in moving application for leave to appeal. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 210 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</p> <p>In consequence thereof, the application for leave to appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

EX- A No. 19 of 2019 Inre: T.A. No. 34 of 2010

Ex Sep Vinay Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh, Advocate
: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file objection against compliance report, hence his opportunity to file objection on compliance report is closed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

EX- A No. 51 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 108 of 2021

Ex Sep Bharat Chand **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Gyan Singh

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file compliance report. It is clarified that this adjournment is applicable only if the cost of Rs. 5,000/- is deposited by the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 06.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

EX- A No. 107 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 11 of 2021

Ex Hav Arun Kumar Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, holding brief of Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four time to file compliance report. Time prays for and is granted on the condition that if order is not complied with by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to deposit cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be paid to the applicant.</p> <p>List on 05.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

EX- A No. 328 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 815 of 2021

Ex Hav Mohan Lal Pal **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to file compliance report. On perusal of Order Sheet, it transpires that in spite of availing number of opportunities, respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last chance, two weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report.</p> <p>List on 05.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

M.A. No. 2018 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Kunwar Singh Shakya **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate
Versus
Chief of Army Staff & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on 26.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 54 of 2018

Hari Murat Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Written argument submitted by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Order in chamber.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 234 of 2018 alongwith M.A. No. 2018 of 2017

Kunwar Singh Shakya **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta
Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava
Shri Vinod Kumar Singh

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on 26.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 244 of 2020

Ex Nb Sub Radhe Shyam **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on 26.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 1015 of 2022

Ex Sep Surendra Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani
Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on 26.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

T.A. No. 40 of 2022

Rohit Mishra **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kuldeep Singh Sikarwar, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kuldeep Singh Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Kuldeep Singh Sikarwar, Advocate prays for and is granted one weeks' time to file vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>List on 20.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 2 of 2017 alongwith O.A. No. 254 of 2016 (Decided)

Ex Sub Maj Rashid Ali **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit on behalf of respondents No 5, 6 and 7 has been filed. He further states that counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 6 and 7 may be read for respondents No 1 to 4 in the instant O.A. Learned counsel for the applicant stated that rejoinder affidavit filed by him on behalf Respondent No 6 and 7 may be treated as rejoinder affidavit on respondent No 1 to 4.</p> <p>Pleadings have been exchanged and the case is ripe for hearing. List on 17.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. (A) No. 391 of 2018

**Ravinder Singh (Through Smt.
Surekha, Legally Wedded Wife)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, submits that Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant is not available today, hence the case may be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 21.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Supplementary Cause List Court No. 1

T.A. No. 13 of 2018

Ex Sep Sanjay Kumar **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Argument Concluded. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>