

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 467 of 2023 with M.A. No. 560 of 2023**

**MWO Ravindra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>1. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 07 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 467 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on <b>20.07.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 470 of 2023 with M.A. No. 562 of 2023**

**Ex. ERA3 Ashish Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 07 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 470 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>20.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 471 of 2023**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Ram Samujh Yadava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>20.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. (A) No. 469 of 2023 with M.A. No. 561 of 2023**

**Smt. Vimala Pandey Widow of Cfn. VM (MV) Niranjan Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 29 years, 07 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant for issuing direction to the respondents to treat the husband of the applicant notionally in Army till completion of pensionable service and grant service pension with 12% interest, for setting aside the impugned order and directing the respondents to grant the service pension to the husband of the applicant from the date of dismissal and further family pension to the applicant from the date of death of the husband of the applicant with arrears and interest @10% p.a. from the date of discharge.</li><li>5. Briefly stated, the applicant's husband was enrolled in the Indian Army on 17.08.1974 and was dismissed from service on 11.03.1991 for the offence under Section 63 of the Army Act, 1950. The applicant's husband died on 31.05.2005.</li><li>6. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate. His further submission is that where a service related claim is based to a continuing wrong, relief can be granted even if there is a long delay in seeking remedy, with reference to the date on which the continuing wrong commenced, if such wrong creates a continuing source of injury. The applicant represented her case to the respondents on 01.12.2022. The EME Records has rejected the Appeal of the applicant vide it's letter dated 04.01.2023. Due to medical condition of the applicant as well as no proper</li></ol>

guidance regarding Court case, the Original Application could not be filed within limitation period. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.

7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and has submitted that long delay of more than 29 years has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.

8. Having heard the submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicant for delay in filing Original Application is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable. Further, documents relating to applicant's husband may have been destroyed after mandatory retention period of 25 years being a non-pensioner in terms of para 595 of Regulations for the Army, 1987.

9. Accordingly, delay condonation application is **dismissed**.

10. Original Application being time barred is also **dismissed**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)  
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**Ex. A. No. 96 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 772 of 2021**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Sheo Sagar Singh Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This application has been for implementation of order dated 21.05.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 772 of 2021 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability element of pension @40% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life with effect from three years prior to filing of Original Application within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of order.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that order has not yet been complied with.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</li><li>6. List on <b>13.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex. A. No. 97 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 654 of 2021**

**MWO Kamlesh Kumar Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents .</li><li>3. This application has been for implementation of order dated 08.04.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 654 of 2021 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability element of pension @30% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life with effect from three years prior to filing of Original Application within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of order.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that order has not yet been complied with.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance</li><li>6. List on <b>13.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex. A. No. 134 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 123 of 2010**

**Smt. Durgawati Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. An objection to the compliance report has been filed today in Court by the applicant which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to file Counter Affidavit which is allowed.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.07.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**Ex. A. No. 97 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 445 of 2020**

**Ex. Rfn. Harvir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. In regard to compliance Government Sanction is said to have been accorded on 21.04.2023. A WhatsApp message in this regard was shown to us during course of hearing.</p> <p>3. In view of above, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report annexing therein copy of PPO.</p> <p>4. List on <b>11.07.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**Ex. A. No. 76 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 707 of 2020**

**Ex. Ptr. Karambir Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution has been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p>3. Let copy of stay order be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>28.07.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 251 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 210 of 2020**

**Ex. Sub. Maj. Subhash Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents submits that case file of the applicant regarding financial concurrence for implementation of order is pending with Principal Integrated Financial Advisor since 01.03.2023.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>18.0.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex. A. No. 05 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 412 of 2021**

**Ex. Nk. Madan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate  
Ms. Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pawan Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Order dated 27.01.2022 has not yet been complied with.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report which is allowed. It is directed that in the event of order being not complied with within the given time the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain physically present in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reasons for non-compliance.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.07.2023</b>.</li><li>5. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal cell for compliance.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)**

**O.A. No. 520 of 2022**

**L/Hav. Sant Ram Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate appears and states that he has to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant in the case, four days time be granted for the same, which is allowed.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 967 of 2022**

**Ex. POR (TEL) Sunit Kumar Agrahari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 1022 of 2022**

**Hav. Satish Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant two week further time is granted to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.07.2023</b>.</p> <p>4. On the next date fixed respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the applicant for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 1064 of 2022**

**Maj. Neha Akhoon (Retd.)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J.L. Joel, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.L. Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents states that Counter Affidavit is ready and will be filed in registry within two days.</p> <p>3. List on <b>27.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 1127 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1348 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. Maj. Anil Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Matter regarding maintainability of Original Application filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the order of severe reprimand in summary trial is pending adjudication in Writ – A No. 8782 of 2022 in Lucknow Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.07.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 1129 of 2022**

**Col. Lokendra Singh Chauhan (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Priyanka Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 105 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. A.N. Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 110 of 2023**

**Lt. Col. Ashok Kumar Singh (Retd.)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 118 of 2023**

**Cdr. Himant Hothi (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. (A) No. 91 of 2022**

**Ex. Sep. Ajay Singh [Through Pairokar Smt. Poonam Yadav (Wife)]** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. R.K. Tripathi (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Atul Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate holding brie fof Col. R.K. Tripathi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list on <b>12.07.2023</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. (A) No. 238 of 2023 with M.A. No. 246 of 2023**

**Sep. Raj Kumar Yadav (Through Pairokar Dharmveer Singh)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Wg. Cdr. Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Ramakant Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. This Original Application (Appeal) has been filed under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal, 2007 against the order dated 15.11.2022 of the District Court Martial by which the applicant has been held guilty for the offence under Section 64€ of the Army Act, 1950 and sentenced to suffer following punishments:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i) To be reduced to rank.</li><li>ii) To suffer rigorous imprisonment for one year.</li><li>iii) To be dismissed from service.</li></ul> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that finding of learned District Court Martial holding applicant guilty for the offence under Section 64(e) of the Army Act, 1950 and sentencing him to suffer punishments stated above are based on no cogent evidence. It is further submitted that applicant was in custody for the period 28.09.2022 to 15.11.2022 during the course of trial and is in civil imprisonment since the date of sentence i.e. 15.11.2022 against punishment of one year rigorous imprisonment. In the circumstances he has prayed that applicant should be released on bail.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents, on the other hand, submits that earlier the applicant had filed only a Bail Application against the order of conviction, a copy of which was served to him also, but later on the said Bail Application was withdrawn from the registry itself. In the said Bail Application the respondents had raised a plea regarding maintainability of the application as no Appeal was filed against the order of conviction. Now, after the withdrawal of that application the applicant has filed afresh Original Application (Appeal) under</p>

Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 in which he has to seek instruction.

5. Original Application (Appeal) needs adjudication, hence admitted for hearing.

6. Issue notice.

7. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, hence service of notice is waived.

8. Respondents may file Counter Affidavit of the Original Application (Appeal) as well as on Bail Application within four weeks.

9. List on **31.05.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)**

**T.A. No. 38 of 2022**

**Zaheer Khan**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri ..... , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant despite service of notice held sufficient.</li><li>2. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. Non appearance of the applicant shows that applicant has no interest in prosecuting the case.</li><li>4. Transferred Application is, therefore, <b>dismissed</b> for non-prosecution.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**M.A. No. 1515 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1516 of 2018, R.A. No. 46 of 2018 along with**  
**Ex. A. No. 133 of 2017 Inre : T.A. No. 1451 of 2010**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Lance Dafedar Anil Kumar** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants herein – Union of India &amp; Others and Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent herein are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>28.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 211 of 2017**

**Bhupendra Gavar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate  
Major Vinay Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.06.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 549 of 2018**

**Ex. Hav. Suryabhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel of both sides the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>02.06.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 196 of 2020 with M.A. No. 207 of 2020**

**Ex. Gdr. (NR) Ram Autar Yadava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents, list on <b>04.07.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)**

**O.A. No. 228 of 2021**

**Dfr. Shiv Chand Singh Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dhruv Srivastava, Advocate holding brief of Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder Affidavit has been filed today in Court which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>11.07.2023</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)**

**O.A. (A) No. 191 of 2014**

**Ravi Shankar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>14.07.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)**

**O.A. (A) No. 420 of 2017**

**Mohd. Meraj Khan (through Wife Smt. Tarannum Nisha)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>26.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)**

**O.A. (A) No. 87 of 2018**

**Yadav Ajay Kumar Banwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj. R.D. Singh (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</li><li>2. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. List on <b>21.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)**

**O.A. (A) No. 133 of 2021**

**Smt. Manju Devi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that time be given to him to file Rejoinder Affidavit as he has received the copy of Counter Affidavit today only.</p> <p>3. List the matter on <b>26.05.2023</b> for final hearing on which date the respondents shall produce original documents for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed by the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)**

**T.A. No. 15 of 2017 with M.A. No. 35 of 2020**

**Bhupendra Singh Bhadauria** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>09.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)**

**T.A. No. 02 of 2018**

**Mohd. Yasin** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Written Arguments filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>06.07.2023</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 629 of 2022**

**Sep. Jatinder Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate  
Ms. Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pawan Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>29.05.2023</b> before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>