

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 862 of 2023 with M.A. NO. 1084 of 2023**

**Ex. L/Nk. Mahesh Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 10 months and 17 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 862 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**Ex. A. No. 213 of 2023 Inre : O.A. NO. 499 of 2023**

**Ex. Sep. Awadesh Kumar Singh Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chadnra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 09.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. ...</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**Ex. A. No. 216 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 829 of 2022**

**Ex. Nk. Hari Mohan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 31.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. ...</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**M.A. No. 1061 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1062 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 976 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Rfn. Mohar Singh** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 21.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 976 of 2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months and 26 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**M.A. No. 1063 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1064 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 145 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Sub/Clk (SD) Pravat Kumar Swain** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 23.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 145 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months and 26 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**M.A. No. 1066 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1067 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 505 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate  
**Versus**

**Col. (TS) Dharendra Kumar Thapliyal (Retd.)** Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 09.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 292 of 2020.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 06 months and 22 days in filing of leave to application.</li><li>4. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after getting the copy of order, the Government Standing Counsel appearing in the case was asked for legal opinion, which was given and the higher authorities however after discussing the matter, decided for challenging the same before Hon'ble Supreme Court. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.</li><li>5. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay in filing Leave to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case. We further find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.</li><li>7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is <b>dismissed</b>.</li><li>8. Leave to Appeal is also <b>dismissed</b> being time barred as well as on merit.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**M.A. No. 1068 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1069 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 108 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Lt. Col. Prabhat Chaturvedi (Retd.)** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 08.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 108 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 months and 09 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**M.A. No. 1073 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1074 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 94 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Sub. Yogendra Yadav** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Jai Narayan Mishra Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 08.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 94 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 09 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**M.A. No. 1077 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1078 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 610 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. L. Nk. Pradip Jung Shahi** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 16.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 610 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 01 day in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**M.A. No. 1087 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1088 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 171 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. L/Nk. Jeewan Singh** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 24.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 171 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 24 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 912 of 2022**

**Ex. Sep. Lalit Mohan Kothyari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>3. List on <b>30.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)**

**O.A. No. 33 of 2023**

**Ex. ACP Nb. Sub. Rabindra Yadaw** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 46 of 2023**

**Ex. WO Ram Naresh Pal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Warrant Officer S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 214 of 2023**

**Ex. WO Amarjit Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 411 of 2023**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Bijaypal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 412 of 2023**

**Ex. Sep. Nirbhay Bux Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 413 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Sharad Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>28.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 414 of 2023**

**Ex. L/Nk. Amarnath Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>14.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 421 of 2023**

**Lt. Col. Sandeep Bhatnagar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 458 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub (TA) Vinod Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate  
**Versus**  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 459 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Ratnesh Chandra Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 462 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Sanjay Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. No. 552 of 2023 with M.A. No. 682 of 2023**

**Ex L/Nk Vipin Chandra Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Objection to delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 10 years, 11 months and 13 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 552 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**T.A. No. 4 of 2023**

**Ex MCPO-I (Hony Sub Lt) Rama Shankar Rai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Shri AK Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Transferred Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)**

**Ex. A. No. 214 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 551 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Abhinay Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 01.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 551 of 2023.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>11.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)**

**Ex. A. No. 215 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 575 of 2023**

**Col. Bibhash Chadra Singh (Retd.)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 11.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 575 of 2023.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>11.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. NO. 1085 of 2023 with M.A. NO. 1086 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 825 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Sep/MT Hemanth Kumar B.** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 03.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 825 of 2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months and 17 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)**

**M.A. No. 1089 of 2023 Inre : O.A. NO. 433 of 2019**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Gp. Cpt. BKE Jacob**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)**

**M.A. No. 1109 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 1135 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Shushil Kumar Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1109 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. In the order dated 12.07.2023 following corrections be made :-<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) The name of the applicant be corrected as "<b>Sushel Kumar Tripathi</b>" in place of 'Shushil Kumar Tripathi'.</li><li>b) The date of enrolment of the applicant be corrected as "<b>28.04.1998</b>" in place of '30.04.1998'.</li><li>c) Total service period of the applicant be corrected as "<b>24 years and 03 days</b>" in place of '28 years and 01 day'.</li></ol></li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 6)**

**M.A. No. 1110 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 538 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Maj. Gen. Brijesh Kumar Singh (Retd.)** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1110 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p>3. In the order dated 09.01.2023 the Original Application Number be corrected as '<b>538 of 2022</b>' in place of '538 of 2023'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>