

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 1009 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1343 of 2023**

**Ex. Nb Sub Ram Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 months and 16 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1009 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 1011 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1345 of 2023**

**Ex. Nb Sub Abhay Pal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 29 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1011 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 1013 of 2023**

**Ex. ACP Nb Sub. Lalji Singh Yadava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 1014 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1351 of 2023**

**Ex. Rfn Maghanand** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed against the discharge order of the applicant. There is a delay of 27 years 07 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant has been wrongly discharged by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised preliminary objection with regard to delay in filing the O.A. He seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file objection with regard to delay in filing of the Original Application.</li><li>6. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 1016 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1365 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Surendra Chand** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. As per office report, there is no delay in filing the O.A. However, delay condonation application has been filed alongwith the O.A. which stands dismissed having been not required.</li><li>5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)**

**Ex.A. No. 277 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 36 of 2022**

**Ex. NK Raj Muni Tewari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Manu Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 07.04.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 36/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>13.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)**

**Ex. A. No. 278 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 340 of 2022**

**Ex. Sep. Krishna Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 08.08.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 340/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>13.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)**

**Ex.A. No. 285 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 675 of 2022**

**Ex. NK Rambeer Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 16.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 675/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>13.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 9)**

**Ex.A. No. 286 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 599 of 2021**

**Ex.Hav. Amar Bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 31.01.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 599/2021.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>13.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 10)**

**M.A. No. 1346 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1347 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 758 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Sigmn Devraj Singh Bhadoriya**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 10.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 758/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months 06 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 11)**

**M.A. No. 1348 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1349 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1135 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Hav. Shushil Kumar Tripathi**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – UoI &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 12.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1135/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 12)**

**M.A. No. 1353 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1354 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 19 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh , Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. SWR (ACP – Hav) Sanjay Kumar Singh**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Uoi &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 28.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 19/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months 22 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 13)**

**M.A. No. 1355 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1356 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 223 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

**Versus**

Lt. Col. Mukesh Kumar (Retd)

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1355/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Uol &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.07.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 223/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year and 13 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than a year which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1356/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 14)

M.A. No. 1357 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1358 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 100 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. L/NK Deepak Kumar

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1357/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Uol &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 11.05.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 100/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 02 months and 09 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than a year and 02 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1358/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 15)**

**M.A. No. 1359 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1360 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 253 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

Ex. NK Anil Kumar Sharma Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-UoI &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 13.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 253/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 07 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 16)**

**M.A. No. 1363 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1364 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 460 of 2021**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Smt. Munni Devi, W/o Late Ex. NK Chandra Pal Singh** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1363/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Uol &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 10.01.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 460/2021.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 06 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than a year and 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1364/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 198 of 2023**

**Ex. HFO Shail Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anushri Verma , Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Ajit Ranjan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ajit Ranjan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is partly allowed.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**Ex. A. No. 26 of 2020 Inre O.A. No. 19 of 2019**

**Ex. Sep. Munna Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and compliance has been made. Further submitted that PPO has already been filed through affidavit dated 02.07.2023.</p> <p>3. Perusal of the file reveals that cost imposed has not been deposited. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost on or before the next date.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.2)**

**Ex.A. No. 89 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 1283 of 2010**

**Ex. Sep. Inderjeet Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks time to file reply/say over the objections raised by the applicant towards the compliance affidavit. Same may be filed on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**Ex.A. No. 59 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 107 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt.) Arvind Kumar Dubey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending with PS-4. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>06.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**Ex.A. No. 60 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 869 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. (MACP Nb Sub) Paramatma Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>06.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**Ex.A. No. 74 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 589 of 2022**

**Ex. WO Shibi Prosad Bandyopadhyay** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that on the basis of the instructions received from the Department, matter is under process. Clarifications sought by the PS-4, have been furnished and now the matter is pending with PIFA. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>10.10.2023</b>.</li><li>4. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex. A. No. 75 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 11 of 2022**

**MWO Tripti Kumar Mishra (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending with PIFA. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>10.10.2023</b>.</li><li>4. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex.A. No. 90 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 25 of 2022**

**Ex. JWO Suresh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending with PIFA. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>10.10.2023</b>.</li><li>4. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**Ex. A. No. 137 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 404 of 2022**

**GP Capt. Rajesh Kumar Mishra (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.) , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and PIFA has already concurred the case. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit.</li><li>3. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**Ex.A. No. 152 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 187 of 2020**

**Smt. Geeta Dixit W/o Ex. Gnr. Late Mahesh Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed.</li><li>3. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 153 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 459 of 2022**

**Col. George Alexander (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K.Singh Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed.</li><li>3. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex.A. No. 207 of 2023 Inre 35 of 2014**

**Ex. L/NK Nem Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that vide judgement dated 15.09.2015, applicant was directed to prefer his appeal/ representation within a month to the respondents. Applicant has not preferred the same within the stipulated time. On the other hand, applicant's counsel stated that applicant has preferred his representation within time.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to file the receipt or show the mode by which he had preferred his representation to the respondents, on or before the next date.</p> <p>4. List on <b>13.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 13 of 2023**

**Ex. NK Rajbir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri MK Awasthi holding brief for Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant seeks adjournment.</li><li>3. Adjourned.</li><li>4. List on <b>13.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 64 of 2023**

**Ex. Gnr. (MACP) Satish Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal holding brief for Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. At the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the parties are directed to file the report of RMB on or before the next date.</li><li>4. List on <b>16.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 387 of 2023**

**Ex. NK Amar Nath Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) and Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is partly allowed.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 419 of 2023**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Munna Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra , Advocate  
Shri Rahul Chaurasiya, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is allowed.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 429 of 2023**

**Ex. AC Pawan Negi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>4. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 442 of 2023**

**Ex. NK Shiv Bhawan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. R.C. Dixit (Retd.) , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Col. R. C Dixit (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is partly allowed.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 493 of 2023 with M.A. No. 585 of 2023**

**Ex. Rect. Mukesh Kumar Tewari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Respondents are directed to comply with the previous order dated 14.07.2023 with regard to filing of status report on or before the next date.</li><li>3. List on <b>20.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 536 of 2023**

**Ex POWTR Sandeep Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate  
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity to file counter affidavit. It is made clear that if CA is not filed by the next date, the opportunity to file the same shall stand closed and the case shall be decided according to law on the basis of the documents available on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.10.2023</b>.</p> <p>4. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 607 of 2023 with M.A. No. 744 of 2023**

**Ex. NK Vanama Srinivas Rao** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar(Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) and Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objections against the delay condonation application as well as on the maintainability of the O.A, filed by the respondents are taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to the applicant who may file his reply/ say within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 714 of 2023 with M.A. No. 866 of 2023**

**Ex. NK Udai Bir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that he may be permitted two weeks further time to comply with the order dated 06.07.2023 with regard to filing of status of holding of RMB. Permitted accordingly.</p> <p>3. List on <b>16.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A.(A) No. 200 of 2022**

**Ex. NK Ajay Kumar Gautam**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K.Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K.Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Pleadings are complete. Matter is ripe of final hearing.</li><li>4. List on <b>17.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)**

**Dairy No. 848 of 2023**

**Hav. Prem Shanker Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, , Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate  
Shri Praveen Kumar Srivastava, Advocate  
Shri Gaurav Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that defects have not been removed by the applicant.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that defects could not be removed as he has not the copy of the discharge order against which this petition has been filed.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted last opportunity of two weeks to remove the defects raised by the Registry.</li><li>5. List on <b>13.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 388 of 2017**

**Gagan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks adjournment to prepare the case.</p> <p>3. Adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 345 of 2019**

**Air Vice Marshal Rajesh Isser, AVSM, VM (Gallentary)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that statutory complaint of the applicant dated 26.04.2019 has been decided by the respondents by a detailed speaking order dated 09.08.2019 but the same has not been challenged by the applicant.</li><li>3. Original documents required to adjudicate the matter are not available.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench on the next date.</li><li>5. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>