

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 1)

O.A. No. 864 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1093 of 2023

Ex Sgt Sanjeev Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 07 years 01 month and 27 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 864 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 26.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 217 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 604 of 2022

Ex ACP Nb Sub Kailash Chandra **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri KP Datta, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non - compliance of the order dated 03.11.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 604/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 220 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 800 of 2022

Ex Sub (Hony Capt) Pramod Kumar Mishra **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri KP Datta, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 20.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 800/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 222 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 109 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Naresh Ram Dulari Bhagan **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri KP Datta, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non - compliance of the order dated 07.07.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 109/2023.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' timeto seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 226 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 311 of 2022

Ex Hav Anil Kumar **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non - compliance of the order dated 17.01.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 311/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 227 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 854 of 2022

Ex Sgt Sanjay Kumar Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non - compliance of the order dated 01.03.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 854/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 7)

M.A. No. 1094 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1103 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 1057 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate.**

Versus

Ex Nk Rahul Kumar Tyagi

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant – Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 28.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1057 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 21 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1095 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1096 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 938 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate.**

Versus

Ex Hav Ravindra Kumar Singh

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant– Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 08.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 938 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 04 months and 11 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 9)

M.A. No. 1097 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1098 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 644 of 2022

Union of India & Others **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate.**

Versus

Ex Hav Awadhesh Kumar Dixit **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and - Union of India & Others, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 1097/2023</u></p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 11.11.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 644 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 07 month and 08 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 07 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 1098/2023</u></p> <p>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 10)

M.A. No. 1104 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1105 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 17 of 2023

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate.**

Versus

Ex Sep Dvr (MT) Mahesh Kumar

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant – Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 17.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 17 of 2023.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months and 02 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 11)

M.A. No. 1106 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1107 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 785 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate.**

Versus

Ex Nk Sandeep Kumar

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant– Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 785 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 25 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 12)

EX-A. No. 203 of 2016 Inre: T.A. No. 39 of 2013

Selina John **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Maj RD Singh (Retd), Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri DK Pandey, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. It has been informed that stay order has been granted by the Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p>3. List on 05.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 13)

EX-A. No. 84 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 170 of 2019

Ex Sailor Sohan Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending before PIFA and prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks' time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 29.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 14)

EX-A. No. 360 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 747 of 2021

Ex MWO Krishna Kumar Tripathi **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri VK Pandey, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri RC Shukla, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance has been made and affidavit to this effect shall be filed during the course of the day in the Registry to which the applicant may file objections, if any, within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>3. List on 17.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 15)

EX-A. No. 382 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 482 of 2021

Ex Sub Pawan Kumar Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under consideration and is pending with IHQ, MoD (Army), PS-4 and prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks' time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 30.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 16)

EX-A. No. 22 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 830 of 2021

Ex Nb Ris Pradeep Kumar Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri SN Pandey, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Uma Yadav representing the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Major Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative submits that matter is under process and prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. It has also been noted that cost imposed earlier has not been deposited yet.</p> <p>3. As a last opportunity four weeks' time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 17)

EX-A. No. 30 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 11 of 2022

Ex Hav Dushyant Kumar Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri R Chandra, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Govt. sanction has been accorded yesterday itself and prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity of four weeks' time is granted to the respondents to file compliance report by the next date fixed failing which responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be summoned.</p> <p>4. List on 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 18)

EX-A. No. 43 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 188 of 2020

Ex Rect Puran Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri KK Misra, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 19)

EX-A. No. 134 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 14 of 2022

Col Gautam Kumar Deb (Retd) **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Col RN Tiwari (Retd), Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col RN Tiwari (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 13.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 20)

EX-A. No. 141 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 406 of 2022

Ex Sgt Harsh Vardhan Sharma **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending for government sanction. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 05.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 21)

O.A. No. 8 of 2023

Smt. Raj Rani, W/o Late Ex Hav
Bhagela Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 25.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 22)

O.A. No. 13 of 2023

Ex Nk Rajbir Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate.**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that counter is under preparation and has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 24.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 24)

O.A. No. 419 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Munna Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri R Chandra, Advocate**
Shri Rahul Chaurasiya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 17.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 26)

O.A. No. 422 of 2023

Ex Sub / SKT Virendra Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate**
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 27)

O.A. No. 423 of 2023

Ex MWO Anil Kumar Pandey **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate**
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is ready and the same shall be filed in the Registry during the course of the day. Applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>3. List on 18.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 28)

O.A. No. 425 of 2023

Ex HFO Balwant Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate**
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is under preparation and prays for ten days further time to file counter affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 11.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 29)

O.A. No. 426 of 2023

Ex Nk Pankaj Kumar Triwedi **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant by the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 22.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 30)

O.A. No. 474 of 2023

Sub Major Sumer Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri KK Misra, Advocate**

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file objection on the maintainability of the Original Application.</p> <p>3. List on 17.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 31)

DY. No. 58 of 2023

Ex Rect Subhash Kumar Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate**
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that defects raised by the Registry have been removed.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Registry is directed to allot regular O.A number to this petition.</p> <p>4. List on 16.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 32)

O.A. No. 245 of 2018

Dharmendra Singh Tomar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri R Chandra, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that this is a Part Heard matter of Bench comprising of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar and Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, list this case before the appropriate Bench on 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 33)

O.A. No. 261 of 2020 with M.A. No. 667 of 2023

Ram Nath Mishra **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Vide M.A No. 667/2023, the applicant has sought certain amendments in the petition. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that after going through the counter affidavit filed by the respondents, some facts have come into knowledge which are vital for adjudication of the case. He seeks permission of the Court to make necessary amendments in the petition.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents opposed the prayer of the applicant and urged that through the amendment, the applicant wants to challenge the order pertaining to the year 2012. He submits that any amendment on the basis of delay be dismissed.</p> <p>4. Keeping in view that the proposed amendments are necessary to properly adjudicate the matter and amendments are not likely to change the nature of the case, amendment application is allowed subject to the payment of cost of Rs. 1000/- (One thousand only). M.A No. 667/2023 stands disposed of.</p> <p>5. Applicant is directed to incorporate the necessary amendments within two weeks and copy of the amended petition be supplied to the Opposite Parties who may file their say/reply, if any, within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>6. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Supplementary
Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 1)

EX- A. No. 218 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 950 of 2022

Ex Dfr Ved Parkash **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri KP Datta, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non - compliance of the order dated 15.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 950/2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.5. List on 13.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Supplementary
Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 2)

EX- A. No. 223 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 877 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Vijay Kumar Singh **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri KK Misra, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 08.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 877/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Supplementary
Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 3)

EX- A. No. 224 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 907 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Manesh Shanker NS **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri KK Misra, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : **Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 06.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 907/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Supplementary
Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 4)

M.A. No. 1099 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1100 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 568 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate**

Versus

Ex Hav Nagendra Kumar Singh

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant – Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 10.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 568 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 09 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Supplementary
Court No. 1 (Ser. No. 5)

M.A. No. 1101 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1102 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 786 of 2022

Union of India & Others **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate**

Versus

Ex Hav (Cik) Ram Bilash Singh Yadav **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant – Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 19.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 786 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>