

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No.950 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1262 of 2023

Ex. AG PO (RP) MD Ali Akhtar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Ved Prakash and Shri Devendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 950 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 05.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 965 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1282 of 2023

Ex. (AA) Sonveer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 months and 07 day in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 965 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 05.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1068 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Chhote Bhaiya Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 07.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 1071 of 2023 with No. 1444 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Niranjn Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 05 years 03 months and 13 day in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A. He seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A.5. List on 30.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1084 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1478 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Koshy PR Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 04 months and 21 day in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1084 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 07.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1085 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1479 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Lande Umesh Suresh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 08 months and 14 day in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1085 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 07.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 1087 of 2023

Brig. Asish Kumar Das, VSM (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 07.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 1088 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1483 of 2023

Ex. Spr Kshatrapal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element to the applicant. There is a delay of 05 months and 10 day in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1088 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 07.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1089 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1484 of 2023

Ex. MWO Brahampal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 04 months and 11 day in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1089 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 07.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1133 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1543 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Viresh Kumar @ Viresh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Kumar Thakur, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal appears on behalf of the respondents and stated that copy of the petition has not been received to him and regular O.A number has been allotted to this case by the Registry without service of the copy of the petition to the respondents.3. None is present on behalf of the applicant to press this petition. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to serve the copy of the petition to the respondent's counsel on or before the next date of listing.4. List on 16.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1150 of 2023

Col. Sandeep Kumar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 08.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 1151 of 2023

Lt. Col. Jasvinder Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 08.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1152 of 2023

Lt. Col.Simon PL (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 07 months and 09 days in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A. She seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A.5. List on 20.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No.14)

O.A. No. 1153 of 2023

Ex. Sub.Susanta Rana Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A. He seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A.6. List on 26.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1154 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1612 of 2023

Ex. Sub T Umamaheswara Rao Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is no delay in filing the O.A. However, delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant vide M.A No. 1612/2023.4. Delay condonation application stands dismissed as unwarranted.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A. He seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A.6. List on 26.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 16)

Ex. A. No. 334 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 627 of 2021

Col. (TS) Suresh Mangain (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 05.05.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 627/2021.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report5. List on 08.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 832 of 2023

Ex. JWO Sanjay Kumar Srivastava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 08.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

Ex.A. No. 18 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 320 of 2019

Sgt. Rohitash Kumar Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the order passed by this Tribunal has been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 25.04.2022.</p> <p>3. List on 18.12.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 258 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 533 of 2021

Ex.Sgt. Bramha Lal Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Singh holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents, is taken on record. Objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant with two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 19.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

Ex.A. No. 289 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 552 of 2021

Ex.Hav. Ram Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Perusal of the file reveals that though respondents have sought more than six adjournments, compliance report has not yet been filed. It has been informed that matter is pending with PS-4, MoD(Army) since 09.06.2023.3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit by the next date failing which respondents are directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings shall not be initiated against them.4. It has been also observed that cost imposed has not been paid. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost or nor before the next date of hearing.5. List on 25.10.2023.6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

Ex.A. No. 323 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 25 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Aditya Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N.Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Application for deferment of execution application filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that government sanction has been accorded and seeks further time for issuance of PPO and filing of compliance affidavit. Two weeks time is granted for the same.4. Respondent's counsel further stated that cost has been deposited.5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated before this Tribunal that the cost of the claimant be deposited to the AFT Bar Association under the Head of Lok Adalat.6. List on 18.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 342 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 16 of 2022

Ex. NK Indrajit Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K.Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that matter is under process and is pending with PS-4. He seeks further two weeks time to file compliance report.3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance report on or before the next date of listing failing which the responsible officer shall file his/her clarifications with regard to non compliance of the order by way of an affidavit.4. List on 25.10.2023.5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 118 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 302 of 2021

Ex. NK. Madan Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. HeardMaj SM Mustafa (Retd.) holding brief for Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents, is taken on record.3. At the outset, Id. Counsel for the respondents stated that the order passed by this Tribunal has been fully complied with.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the submission of the Id. Counsel for the respondents.5. In view of above, the instant execution application stands disposed of having been complied with in full satisfaction. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 160 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 271 of 2022

Ex. Sep. Amarjeet Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Perna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Perna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents, is taken on record. Objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant with two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 26.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 222 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 109 of 2023

Ex. Nb Naresh Ram Dulari Bhagan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and seeks further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Last opportunity is granted to file the same.</p> <p>3. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

M.A. No. 1125 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 38 of 2023

Santosh Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objections to recall application filed by the respondents, is taken on record. Reply/ say, if any, over the objections be filed by the application on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 27.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)

O.A. No. 508 of 2022

Ex L/NK Ram Prabesh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Agnihotri for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents Nos. 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the Bank submitted that matter is under process and seeks further time to file compliance affidavit. Last opportunity of two weeks is granted for the same.</p> <p>3. List on 20.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 942 of 2022

Ex. Sep. Ravinder Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate
Ms. Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.3. List on 02.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 945 of 2022

Ex. Sep. Ravi Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) holding brief for Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 144 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Sanni Raj

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.3. List on 10.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.14)

O.A. No. 204 of 2023

NK Akhil George

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. It has been filed to the effect that the reliefs sought by the applicant have been granted to him and the O.A has become infructuous.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks time to go through the affidavit filed by the respondents and for filing the rejoinder affidavit. Two weeks time is granted for the same. It is made clear that if RA is not filed by the next date, matter shall be decided on the basis of the material available on record.</p> <p>4. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.15)

O.A. No. 206 of 2023

Col. Satyaroop (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.G.Singh and Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that In spite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit failing which respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 27.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 406 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Pramod Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit failing which respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 19.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 457 of 2023

Col. A.K. Pandey (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri B.L.Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri B.L.Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of two weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.3. List on 19.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.18)

O.A. No. 512 of 2023

Ex. Sub/Gnr Surendra Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is partly allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 569 of 2023

Ex. Sep Diwan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of three weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.3. List on 25.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.20)

O.A. No. 570 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Anjani Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.3. List on 25.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.21)

O.A. No. 642 of 2023

Ex. Ris Manoj Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Utkarsh Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Kumar Singh and Shri Utkarsh Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of two weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit failing which the case shall be decided on the basis of the material available on record.3. List on 18.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.22)

O.A. No. 646 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Prashant Kumar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.3. List on 10.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.23)

O.A. No. 647 of 2023

Ex. MWO Jagdish Prasad Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that CA is under vetting and the same shall be filed shortly. He prays for further two weeks time to file the same. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 11.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.24)

O.A. No. 662 of 2023

NC (E) T Ram Sajiwan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Rejoinder affidavit has also been filed which is taken on record.4. Pleadings are complete and matter is ripe for hearing.5. List on 27.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.25)

O.A. No. 769 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Kashi Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ghan Shyam Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing the applicant present in person. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is also present.</p> <p>2. Objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A filed by the respondents is taken on record. Reply/ say over the objections may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 20.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.26)

O.A. No. 830 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Sanjeet Kumar Patel Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 27.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.27)

O.A. No. 831 of 2023

Col. Ranjan Anand (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 16.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.28)

O.A. No. 855 of 2023

Gp. Capt. Sanjeev Kumar Sing Gosain (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is ready and the same shall be filed shortly. She prays for and is granted two weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.29)

O.A. No. 856 of 2023

Ex. Rfn. (MACP NK) Sandeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 27.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.30)

O.A. No. 906 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1176 of 2023

Col. Ravindra Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K.Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K.Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A have been received from the Legal Cell and seeks a week's time to file the same. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 04.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.31)

O.A. No. 907 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1177 of 2023

Ex. Sub. K.P.Vijayan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A, filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that he has not received the copy of the objections filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is directed to furnish a copy of the same to the applicant's counsel who may file reply/ say over the objections raised by the respondents within a week.</p> <p>4. List on 04.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>