

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

M.A. No. 749 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 108 of 2023

Lt Col Prabhat Chaturvedi (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri BN Choubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the Case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. This is an application for modification of order dated 08.05.2023 passed in O.A. No 108 of 2023, Lt Col Prabhat Chaturvedi (Retd) vs. Union of India & Others.</p> <p>4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the order dated 08.05.2023 passed in O.A. No 108 of 2023. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection and modification application is allowed. Para 19 of the order dated 08.05.2023 is modified as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“19. In view of the above, the Original Application No. 108 of 2023 deserves to be partly allowed, hence partly allowed. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant’s claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, are set aside. Both the disabilities PRIMARY HYPERTENSION and DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE-II are held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability pension for the disability element @44% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of discharge. The respondents are directed to grant disability pension for the disability element to the applicant @44% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of discharge. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment”.</p> <p>5. Modification Application No. 749/2023 stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

M.A. No. 751 of 2023 with M.A. No. 752 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 257 of 2018

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Smt. Mahalakshmi Tiwari W/O

Respondents

Ex Sep (Late) Rama Shankar & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant – Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 25.10.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 257 of 2018.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

M.A. No. 747 of 2023 Inre: O.A. (A) No. 1103 of 2022

Hav Ctk Swapnil Santosh Suryavanshi Applicant
Through Pairokar Smt. Varsha Swapnil
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No 747 of 2023</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Puru Mudgil, holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Petitioner has filed O.A. (A) No 1103 of 2022 before this Tribunal challenging findings and sentence order dated 31.10.2022 passed by District Court Martial (DCM) whereby the applicant was awarded with sentence of "Reduction of Ranks", Dismissal from service" and one year RI". The petitioner is presently languishing in District Jail, Shamli since 23.03.2023. The petitioner filed bail application vide M.A. No 1315 of 2022 which was rejected by this Tribunal vide order dated 03.02.2023. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that against rejection of bail application, no bail is pending before any higher court except this second bail application. The petitioner has already undergone more than 10 months of incarceration. Petitioner has no involvement for alleged offences as mentioned in FIR No 083/2020 as his name is not present in the said FIR.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-2-</p>

3. Further the applicant has been granted relief of bail vide order dated 11.05.2023 passed by Hon'ble HighCourt of Allahabad in Crl Misc Bail Application No 21077 of 2023 for the offences as made out in the said FIR. Petitioner has filed this bail application under Section 15 (3) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 read with Section 389 of Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 for seeking enlargement on regular bail.

4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents opposed bail application mainly on the ground that petitioner has committed heinous crime of taking bribe from recruits for enrolment in the army. The petitioner earlier also applied for grant of bail which was rejected by this Tribunal vide order dated 03.02.2023. The petitioner is required by civil agencies on serious charges of fraud. Learned counsel for the respondents pleaded that considering the gravity of offence and involvement of petitioner in other cases, second application for grant of bail filed by the petitioner be rejected.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that second bail application is also not maintainable on the point of jurisdiction of this Tribunal submitting that under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 the Tribunal has jurisdiction to consider bail of accused persons only. Since the petitioner is a convict, hence, this Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to entertain the Bail Application. Since the petitioner has been convicted, hence, his Bail Application cannot be considered by this Tribunal.

6. We do not find any substance in the submission made by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents. When the Appeal is maintainable under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, the provisions for Bail has also been embodied in Section 15 of the said Act. An Appeal is preferred by convicted person only and bail has to be considered during pendency of Appeal. The submission of respondents is against the settled basic principles of criminal law.

7. Admittedly, the petitioner is languishing in District Jail Shamli since 23.03.2023 and has already undergone more than 10 months of incarceration. He has been granted bail by Hon'ble High Court Allahabad vide order dated 11.05.2023 in Crl Misc Bail Application No 21077 of 2023. In case the appellant is not granted Bail his Appeal shall become infructuous.

8. Keeping in view the charge against the petitioner that he has been sentenced for one year and he has already served out more than ten months of punishment and parents of petitioner are of old age with deteriorating health conditions and wife of petitioner is unable to take care of small child, therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we find substance in the submission made by the Ld. Counsel for the appellant. Hence, there exist sufficient ground to suspend the execution of the sentence during the pendency of the instant appeal and to release him on bail.

9. In view of above, the application for bail is allowed and the execution of sentence is hereby suspended. Ex Hav Swapnil Santosh Suryavanshi shall be released on bail forthwith on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty Thousand only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Registrar of this Tribunal. On furnishing surety, as stated above, Registrar shall then issue the release order, which in turn will be conveyed to the concerned Jail Superintendent, District Jail, Shamli, wherein the appellant is presently confined and serving out his sentence. Ex Hav Swapnil Santosh Suryavanshi released on bail shall attend Armed Forces Tribunal on each and every date of hearing. The petitioner shall not temper with the prosecution evidence by intimidating/pressurizing the witnesses, during the investigation or trial. He shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released

on bail. He shall surrender his passport (if any) and shall not leave the Country without the prior permission of this Tribunal where his case is pending. In case any complaint with regard to his conduct during the period of bail is received, the respondents will be free to apply for cancellation of the bail granted by this Court.

10. After the release of the petitioner, the bail bonds furnished for his release, shall be kept on record of this Original Application.

11. M.A. aforesaid stands disposed of accordingly.

12. List this case on **14.08.2023** for orders.

13. Let a copy of this order be supplied to Ld. Counsel for the respondents free of cost forthwith for onward transmission.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

O.A. No. 99 of 2022

Ex Hav Tirth Nath Ojha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Sri Puru Mudgal holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that he does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.3. Pleadings are complete.4. List on 10.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

O.A. No. 527 of 2022 with M.A. No. 640 of 2022

Cfn Deepak Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RK Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection against the maintainability of the O.A on the grounds that the applicant is resident of Secunderabad, Telangana, hence, O.A is not maintainable before this Tribunal. Moreover, the instant application has been preferred under Section 15 of the AFT Act, 2007 which deals with jurisdiction power and authority of the Tribunal in matters of appeal against court martial, the court martial is yet to commence and tentative charge-sheet has been challenged.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is permanent resident of District Jalaun, U.P which is under territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Further, filing of instant petition under Section 15 of the Act is mere, not deliberate mistake on the part of the applicant and to this extent the applicant be allowed to withdraw this application with liberty to file afresh.4. In view of above, the instant petition stands dismissed having been withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file fresh petition as per rules. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

O.A. No. 528 of 2022 with M.A. No. 641 of 2022

Cfn Tej Prakash Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RK Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection against the maintainability of the O.A on the grounds that the applicant is resident of Secunderabad, Telangana, hence, O.A is not maintainable before this Tribunal. Moreover, the instant application has been preferred under Section 15 of the AFT Act, 2007 which deals with jurisdiction power and authority of the Tribunal in matters of appeal against court martial, the court martial is yet to commence and tentative charge-sheet has been challenged.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is presently residing at District Meerut, U.P which is under territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Further, filing of instant petition under Section 15 of the Act is mere, not deliberate mistake on the part of the applicant and to this extent the applicant be allowed to withdraw this application with liberty to file afresh.4. In view of above, the instant petition stands dismissed having been withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file fresh petition as per rules. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

O.A. No. 529 of 2022 with M.A. No. 642 of 2022

Sep Hemant Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RK Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection against the maintainability of the O.A on the grounds that the applicant is resident of Secunderabad, Telangana, hence, O.A is not maintainable before this Tribunal. Moreover, the instant application has been preferred under Section 15 of the AFT Act, 2007 which deals with jurisdiction power and authority of the Tribunal in matters of appeal against court martial, the court martial is yet to commence and tentative charge-sheet has been challenged.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is permanent resident of District Meerut, U.P which is under territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Further, filing of instant petition under Section 15 of the Act is mere, not deliberate mistake on the part of the applicant and to this extent the applicant be allowed to withdraw this application with liberty to file afresh.4. In view of above, the instant petition stands dismissed having been withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file fresh petition as per rules. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1109 of 2022

Ex MWO Akhilesh Kumar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit on the condition that if Counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List this case on 11.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)

O.A. No. 343 of 2023

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb/Sub) More Sandip
Ramchandra**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List this case on 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 418 of 2023 with M.A. No. 514 of 2023

Ex JWO Rajendra Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Objection to the delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Sri Yashpal Singh holding brief for Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 514 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">3. This application has been filed for condoning the delay in filing the Original Application.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter. It is further stated that delay in filing the Original Application is not intentional.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and has submitted that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.6. Considering that pensionary benefits cannot be denied on the ground of delay, delay if any, in filing of Original Application is condoned.7. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly. <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No. 418 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">8. Case needs adjudication.9. Admit.10. Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.11. List on 11.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(SI. No.12)

O.A. No. 603 of 2023

Lt Col Kartikeyan Rao

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Piyush Thakran, Advocate
Shri UdghoshThakran, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri Piyush Thakran, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that instructions have not been received from the Department and seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. List this case on 01.06.2023 for hearing on I.R.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 31 of 2014

Jeetendra Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India Respondents
On Pension (SACP), New Delhi & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original documents are not available with the respondents.3. Respondents are directed to produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench on the next date.4. List this case on 16.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 241 of 2018

Anju Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 98 of 2021

Cpl Sudhir Kumar Tripathi (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the Case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Matter pertains to Pay and Allowances which is subject matter of Court no. 2.3. Accordingly, list this case before the appropriate Bench on 03.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 16)

O.A. (A) No. 420 of 2017

**Mohd. Meraj Khan (Through
Wife Smt. Tarannum Nisha)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 17)

O.A. (A) No. 133 of 2021

Smt. Manju Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 17.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Supplementary Cause List
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

DY. No. 1111 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 39 of 2017

Govind Singh Negi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SG Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Yadav holding brief for Shri SG Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Restoration application has been filed by the applicant.3. Perusal of the records reveals that defects raised by the Registry have not been removed by the applicant.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is granted a week's time to remove the defects.4. List on 11.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p>

RK/-