

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1171 of 2023

Ex.Sep. Pancha Nand Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Shri Amit Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Matter relates to grant of disability pension. It is a fit case for adjudication.4. Admit.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.6. List this case on 11.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 1172 of 2023

Ex. HFL Kamal Krishna Roy Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Matter relates to grant of disability pension. It is a fit case for adjudication.4. Admit.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.6. List this case on 11.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No.1173 of 2023

Ex.HFO Devendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Matter relates to grant of disability pension. It is a fit case for adjudication.4. Admit.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.6. List this case on 11.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

Ex.A. No. 323 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 362 of 2022

NC (E) Ramji Prasad (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to seek instructions and file compliance report.4. List on 22.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

Ex.A.No. 324 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1038 of 2022

Ex.Hav.Harish Kumar Trivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to seek instructions and file compliance report.4. List on 22.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

Ex.A.No.325 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 824 of 2022

Ex. HavKheem Singh Mehra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to seek instructions and file compliance report.4. List on 22.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 327 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1089 of 2022

Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Arun Kumar Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to seek instructions and file compliance report.4. List on 23.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1508 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1509 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 850 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Ex.Hav. Chhotelal Yadav Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants- Union of India & Others is present.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 18.03.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 850 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 04 months and 24 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)

M.A No. 1510 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1511 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 840 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Rajesh Shukla , Advocate

Versus

Sep/MT OmJi Bajpai (Retd)

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – UoI&Orsis present.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 16.02.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 840 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 26 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)

M.A No. 1581 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1582 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 307 of 2022

Ex Nk Krishna Murti Tewari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing applicant in Person, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 10.01.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 307 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 07 months and 09 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)

M.A No. 1630 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1631 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 700 of 2021

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Preeti Mala , Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav Ajeet Singh Yadav Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Uol&Ors and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondent are present.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 11.10.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 700 of 2021.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 10 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 12)

M.A No. 1632 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 173 of 2019

Dip Chand Teli Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pushp Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pushp Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. By means of this correction application, applicant has prayed to correct the Army Number of the applicant in judgment and order dated 03.12.2020 passed in O.A. No 173 of 2019, Ex Hav Dip Chand Teli. Correct Army Number of the applicant is 13809475-M whereas in judgment and order it has been printed as 13899475-M.</p> <p>3. Correction Application is allowed and Army Number of the applicant is corrected as 13899475-M in Judgment and order dated 03.12.2020 passed in O.A. No 173 of 2019.</p> <p>3. M.A. No 1632 is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 13)

M.A No. 1633 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1634 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 561 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Versus

Lt. Col. Bhavesh Joshi Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others is present.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 09.01.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 561 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 07 months and 12 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 14)

O.A No. 858 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1060 of 2023

Ex WO Rameshwar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Devakinandan, Advocate
Shri R Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 06.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

Ex.A. No. 100 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 104 of 2020

Ex Nb Sub Shiv Shanker Chaubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C.Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C.Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is still pending with PS-4 and prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance report.3. As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report failing which responsible officer for not complying the order shall remain present in the Court on the next date fixed to clarify his position.4. List on 17.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 318 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 239 of 2021

Ex NkDhurvijai Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad.2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order of the Tribunal has been complied with but the cost of Rs. 10,000/- has not been deposited by the respondents.3. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost within two weeks.4. List on 18.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

Ex.A. No. 357 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 648 of 2021

Smt Archana Rai W/o Late Sep Satya Prakash Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. On call of the case, learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to comply the order. On perusal of order, it reveals that in spite of availing six opportunities, order of the Tribunal has not been complied with. On 31.08.2023, respondents were directed to comply the order by the next date fixed, failing which respondents have to show cause as to why attachment proceedings shall not be initiated against them for not implementing the order of this Tribunal.3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to take action accordingly and give details of property of the respondents by way of an affidavit and supply a copy of details of property in advance to respondents.4. List on 24.11.2023.5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel of both the parties without cost. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

Ex-A. No.361 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 32 of 2022

Ex Nk Prabhu Nath Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard ShriK.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad submits that due to problem in SPARSH software, order of the Tribunal could not be complied with. So far as filing of compliance report is concerned, Govt sanction has been obtained. PPO has not been issued due to technical problem.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to comply the order. As prays, four weeks and no more time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that cost of Rs. 10,000/- shall be deposited in AFT Bar Association Library.5. List on 02.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

Ex-A.No. 25 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 218 of 2021

Ex Hav Arvinda Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal, PPO has been issued and arrears have been credited in applicant's account. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has not received any instruction from his client. He prays that respondents be directed to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost of Rs. 5,000/- and file compliance report within four weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

Ex-A.No. 26 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 274 of 2021

Hav Vikash Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for four weeks further time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it reveals that in spite of availing five opportunities, compliance report has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 5,000/- has also not been deposited by the respondents.3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to comply the order, failing which respondents have to show cause as to why attachment proceedings shall not be initiated against them for not implementing the order of this Tribunal4. List on 10.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 41 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 325 of 2022

Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Jahir Khan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As a matter of last chance, four weeks further time is granted to respondents to file compliance report, failing which respondents shall pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 07.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 45 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 390 of 2022

Ex Sub Ghewari Ramchandra Ganpati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As a matter of last chance, four weeks further time is granted to respondents to file compliance report, failing which respondents shall pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 07.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 110 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 118 of 2021

Ex Nk Arvind Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply to counter affidavit.3. List on 09.11.2023. <p>((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 143 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 658 of 2022

Sub Umakant Tiwari (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amit Pandey and Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection against application for exemption of cost filed by the respondents is taken on record. In reply to objection against exemption of cost, respondents have not given any reason for exemption of cost. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost by the next date fixed.</p> <p>3. List on 16.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A.No. 156 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 233 of 2021

Ex Rect Nikhil Singh Tomar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that respondents have annexed policy letter dated 28.02.1986 under which applicant was dismissed from service which is not legible.3. Respondents are directed to file legible copy of policy letter r 28.02.196 and also provide copy of the same to applicant.4. List on 14.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)

Ex-A.No. 216 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 829 of 2022

Ex Nk Hari Mohan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order passed by the Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 226 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 311 of 2022

Ex Hav Anil Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order passed by the Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.14)

Ex-A. No. 227 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 854 of 2022

Ex Sgt Sanjay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order passed by the Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.15)

Ex.A. No. 228 of 2023 Inre O.A.No. 111 of 2021

Ex Nk Gyanendra Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order passed by the Tribunal has been fully complied with and amount has been credited in applicant's account.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement given by the applicant, Execution Application is disposed of with fully satisfaction.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.16)

Ex-A. No. 242 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 568 of 2022

Ex Hav Nagendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents have wrongly filed counter affidavit in this Execution Application. Learned counsel for the applicant states that present position of the Execution Application has been filed by way of affidavit as counter affidavit which is wrong nomenclature.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents' states that in this case Govt sanction has not been received. He prays for four weeks' further time to file compliance report. As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report failing which respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 09.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

Ex-A. No. 266 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 571 of 2022

Ex Hav Ramanand Thakur Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col.A.K.Srivastava (Retd.) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col.A.K.Srivastava(Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and is pending with PS-4. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 20.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.19)

O.A. No. 1090 of 2022

Ex Nk Nand Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as a last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 20.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.20)

O.A. No. 242 of 2023

Maj Gen Arup Kumar Sasmal AVSM (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col.A.K.Srivastava (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Perusal of the file reveals that neither the cost has been deposited nor the order has been complied with by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for another opportunity to file counter affidavit.4. In the interest of justice, another last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file CA. It is made clear that if CA is not filed by the next date, the right to file CA shall stand closed and the matter shall be decided on the basis of material available on record.5. Respondents are also directed to deposit the cost on or before the next date.6. List on 09.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.21)

O.A. No. 252 of 2023 with M.A. No. 265 of 2023

Ex Swr Dharmendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.)

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted last opportunity to file reply/say over the objections with regard to delay condonation application raised by the respondents.</p> <p>3. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.24)

O.A. No. 347 of 2023

Ex POELP Pankaj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal holding brief for Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity of four weeks for filing the counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 01.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.25)

O.A. No. 416 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Vijay Kumar Singh Rathore Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.K.Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R K S Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal holding brief for Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed by the applicant within a week.</p> <p>3. List on 11.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.28)

O.A. No. 501 of 2023

Ex. WO Kuldip Narain Chaturvedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri A.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity of four weeks to file counter affidavit on or before the next date failing which respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.29)

O.A. No. 502 of 2023

Ex JWO Janardan Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that Counter affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is granted a week's time to file the same.</p> <p>3. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.31)

O.A. No. 571 of 2023 with M.A. No. 703 of 2023

Ex.Hav. Karan Bahadur Basnet Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Advocate
Shri Krishna Kumar Seth, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Reply against the objections with regard to delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant's counsel. Same is taken on record.3. Delay is condoned for the reasons stated by the application in his reply.4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 571 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 13.12.2023 <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.32)

O.A. No. 605 of 2023

Ex.Sgt. Shakil Ahmed Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pramod Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C.Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pramod Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record to which the applicant may file his rejoinder affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 03.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 33)

O.A. No. 616 of 2023

Smt. Sheetal Tiwari W/o Ex. Sep Niraj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K.Singh, Advocate
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh and Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.35)

O.A. No. 850 of 2023

Ex.Hav. Dharmendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.36)

O.A. No. 851 of 2023

Ex Sub Jagdish Chand

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 03.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.37)

O.A. No. 859 of 2023

Ex Sub Musaphir Yadava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 03.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.38)

O.A. No. 860 of 2023

Ex. Sub HuidromBishorjit Meitei Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 07.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.40)

O.A. No. 917 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1197 of 2023

Ex. Sgmn Ghanshyam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Narayan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Kusumlata holding brief for Shri Raj Narayan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Objection against the maintainability of the O.A has been filed by the Id. Counsel for the respondents. Same is taken on record.3. Copy of the objections have been handed over to the Id. Counsel for the applicant who may file his reply/ say over the objections within a week.4. List on 10.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.41)

O.A. No. 927 of 2023

Ex. Sub (NA) Arun Kumar Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate
Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Objection against the maintainability of the O.A has been filed by the Id. Counsel for the respondents. Same is taken on record.3. Copy of the objections have been handed over to the Id. Counsel for the applicant who may file his reply/ say over the objections within a week.4. List on 06.11.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.42)

O.A. No. 945 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1252 of 2023

Ex.Sgt Sushil Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S.Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that there is delay of more than 26 years in filing the O.A. He prays for and is granted further a week's time to file objections with regard to delay.</p> <p>3. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.43)

O.A. No. 962 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1280 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Vishweshwar Kumar Gautam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N.Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Objection against the maintainability of the O.A has been filed by the Id. Counsel for the respondents. Same is taken on record.3. Copy of the objections have been handed over to the Id. Counsel for the applicant who may file his reply/ say, if any, over the objections within a week.4. List on 09.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.44)

O.A. No. 985 of 2023

Hav Shijith P Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) and Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Objection against the maintainability of the O.A has been filed by the Id. Counsel for the respondents. Same is taken on record.3. Copy of the objections have been handed over to the Id. Counsel for the applicant who may file his reply/ say over the objections within a week.4. List on 08.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.45)

O.A. No. 558 of 2018

NK (Amb Asst) Ram Prasad Ahirwar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 06.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.46)

O.A. No. 13 of 2021 along with Dy. No. 669 of 2016 (Decided)

Ex Hav Lal Babu Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that original documents required to adjudicate the matter are not available with him and requested for adjournment.3. Accordingly, matter is adjourned.4. Respondents are directed to produce the original documents related to the case for perusal of the Bench on the next date.5. List on 11.12.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.47)

O.A. No. 227 of 2021

Ex. Sep Ravendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. During course of hearing it transpires that this O.A has been amended vide order dated 15.07.2022 and subsequent amendment in O.A has been made on 21.07.2022. Respondents were directed to file supplementary CA against the amended O.A but unfortunately objections against the amendment have been filed by the respondents without knowing that the amendment has already been allowed and incorporated in the O.A.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, objections raised/filed by the respondents have become infructuous and are rejected.</p> <p>4. Now, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file supplementary Counter reply, if desire, against the amended O.A failing which the opportunity to file supplementary counter affidavit shall stand closed and the matter shall be decided on the basis of the material available on record.</p> <p>5. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>