

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 874 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1117 of 2023

Ex. L/NK Manmohan Ray (DSC) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 10 months and 23 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 874 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 06.10.2023 <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. 877 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1129 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Desh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. None present on behalf of the applicant.3. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 10 months and 23 days in filing of Original Application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the instant O.A is not maintainable and seeks time to file objection against the maintainability of the original application. Two weeks' time is allowed for the same.6. List on 08.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 878 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1130 of 2023

Ex. WO Sushil Kumar Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 05 months and 05 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 878 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 05.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

O.A. No. 879 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1131 of 2023

Ex. WO Awadhesh Kumar Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 10 months and 24 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 879 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 05.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

O.A. No. 880 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1132 of 2023

Ex. JWO Sarvesh Kumar Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 months in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 880 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 05.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

O.A. No. 881 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1133 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Trilok Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.4. Case needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.7. List on 05.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

O.A. No. 882 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1134 of 2023

Ex. MWO (HFL) Nalini Kanta Mahapatra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 05years 07 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 882 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 05.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1120 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1121 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 271 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sep. Amarjeet Yadav Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1120/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 15.07.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 271 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 11 months and 09 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 11 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 1121/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

M.A. No. 1122 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 18 of 2023

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. (HFL) Rakesh Kumar Tripathi Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants- Union of India and others.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents, vide instant misc. application has sought some correction in order dated 05.07.2023 passed in TA. No. 18/2023. He submits that in Para 12 of the judgement, the entitlement of disability element of pension percentage may be 20% in place of 30%.3. Perusal of the record reveals that this is a typographical error.4. Accordingly, MA No. 1122 of 2023 is allowed. Correction has been made in the judgement.5. Registry is directed to issue corrected copy to the parties. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)

M.A. No. 1123 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1124 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 949 of 2022

Union of India & others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sub. Maj. Ajay Kumar sharma Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 949 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 04 months 08 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)

M.A. No. 1125 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 38 of 2023

Santosh Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant, vide MA No. 1125/2023 filed an application to recall the order dated 12.07.2023 passed by this Tribunal in TA No. 38/2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection to the recall application.5. List on 30.08.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.12)

Ex. A. No. 51 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 731 of 2020

Maj. Vinay Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Shri R.K. Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary rejoinder affidavit alongwith written submissions filed by the Id. Counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 06.09.2023.</p> <p>4. In the meanwhile, say/ reply, if any, on behalf of the respondents may be filed.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 731 of 2020 with M.A. No. 117 of 2021, M.A. 443 of 2020
Along with Ex. 51 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 731 of 2020

Maj. Vinay Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the parties are directed to file their written submissions.</p> <p>3. List on 06.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 799 of 2021 along with of 2021Ex.A. No. 103 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Satyendra Narayan Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.) , Advocate
Ms. Hemlata, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the parties are directed to file their written submissions on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 25.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 300 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Ved Vijay Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original application is Partly allowed.3. Detailed order on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>