

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1279 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1834 of 2023

Ex. PO EL (P) Sudhir Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year 01 month and 23 day in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A. She seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A.5. List on 13.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 1280 of 2023

Ex. wO Chob Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year 01 month and 23 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1280 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed six weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 22.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1281 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1836 of 2023

Ex. MCPO LOG (MAT) II Pravesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year 01 month and 23 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1281 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed six weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 22.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1282 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Thang Siian Mang Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A. She seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A.4. List on 13.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 416 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 23 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Kamlesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 13.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 23 of 2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 18.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 418 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 78 of 2022

MWO Anand Prakash Tripathi (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri A.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 30.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Transfer Application No. 78 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 19.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 419 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 76 of 2022

MWO Yogendra Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri A.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 24.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Transfer Application No. 76 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 19.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 10)

M.A. No. 1832 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1833 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 487 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Nk. Hansraj Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1832/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 15.11.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 487/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 10 months and 09 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 10 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 1833/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 1837 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1838 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 645 of 2021

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Versus

Smt. Renu Wife of Late Nag Narayan Singh & Others.

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1837/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 08.04.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 645/2021.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of one year 05 months and 16 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than a year and 05 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 1838/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No.12)

M.A. No. 1839 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1840 of 2023 Inre : Ex. A. No. 152 of 2022
Inre : O.A. No. 21 of 2018

Ex. Nk. SKT Uma Shankar Yagik Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant filed a recall application vide MA No. 1839/2023 for recall of the order dated 25.08.2023 passed by this Tribunal in Execution application No. 152 of 2022. The recall application has been filed alongwith delay condonation application (MA No. 1840/2023).4. Perusal of the file reveals that several opportunities were granted to the applicant to file objections against the compliance affidavit but the same were not filed. Hence opportunity to file objection was closed and the execution application was disposed of in full satisfaction.5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that due to his illness, he could not file the objections. He further stated that respondents should have issued a disability PPO comprising of service as well as disability element of pension wef date of applicant's discharge from service. The said PPO is not traceable in Treasury Office of Banda/ Chitrakut. He urged that the order dated 25.08.2023 be recalled and an opportunity to file objection be granted to the applicant.6. In view of above, delay condonation application is allowed for the reasons stated in the affidavit and order dated 25.08.2023 is recalled. Execution application is accordingly restored to its original number.

7. Last opportunity is granted to the applicant to file objections against the compliance affidavit file by the respondents on or before the next date.
8. List on **18.12.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 13)

M.A. No. 1841 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1842 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 445 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sub. Braj Bhan Sachan Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1841/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 28.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 445/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 27 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 1842/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 14)

M.A. No. 1843 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1844 of 203 Inre : O.A. No. 1089 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Versus

Sub. Maj. (Hony. Capt.) Arun Kumar Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 27.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1089/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months 28 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 15)

M.A. No. 1845 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1846 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 22 of 2023

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Versus

Ex. MACP Nb. Sub. Julias Robinson Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 13.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 22/2023.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months 12 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

EX-A. No. 97 of 2021 Inre: O.A. No. 445 of 2020

Ex Rfn Harvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that compliance affidavit has been filed and PPO has also been issued.3. Perusal of the file reveals that inadvertently on the last occasion this Tribunal had recorded that PPO has not been issued and compliance affidavit has not been filed. Compliance affidavit filed on the previous date, is taken on record. Objection, if any, against the compliance affidavit may be filed by the applicant on or before the next date.4. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed by this Tribunal by the next date.5. List on 20.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 241 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 308 of 2021

Ex HFO/MWO Bal Krishna Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and compliance affidavit has already been filed.3. No objection has been filed by the applicant against the compliance affidavit.4. Accordingly, the instant execution application stands disposed off in full satisfaction. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 1 of 2023 Inre: EX-A. No. 55 of 2018
& O.A. No. 316 of 2016

Smt. Manju Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Jitendra Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal Saket Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri R.K. Singh holding brief for Shri Saket Srivastava, Id. Counsel representing the Bank.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel representing the Bank is directed to file compliance affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 16.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 145 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 655 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj (Hony LT) Vinod Kumar Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh holding brief for Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 18.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 154 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 785 of 2022

Ex Nk Sandeep Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 02.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 155 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 665 of 2022

Ex Hav (TTC) Praveen Kumar Guleria Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending with PS-4. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 02.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 295 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 931 of 2022

Ex Hav Prem Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before the PS-4. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same.3. List on 03.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 294 of 2020

Nk Shakti Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that rejoinder affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 57 of 2023

Ex Rect Aman Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 11.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 73 of 2023

Ex Cfn Arun Kumar Shahi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant, is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that he wants to file supplementary counter affidavit.4. List on 14.12.2023.5. In the meantime, respondents may file supplementary CA. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 95 of 2023

Ex Gnr (DMT) Manpreet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is ready and the same shall be filed within a week.</p> <p>3. List on 09.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 213 of 2023

Ex Rect Pradeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing in the revised list Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 18.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)
O.A. No. 374 of 2023

JWO Anil Kumar Sood (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 05.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.14)

O.A. No. 589 of 2023

Ex Hav Sunil Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit as a matter of last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 04.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.15)

O.A. No. 590 of 2023

Gp Capt Ashok Kumar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is partly allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 628 of 2023

Ex Sgt Harish Chandra Singh Bisht Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is partly allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 642 of 2023

Ex Ris Manoj Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Utkarsh Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.3. List on 09.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.18)

O.A. No. 669 of 2023

Ex MCEL (R) I (Hony Lt) Lalji Srivastava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Singh holding brief for Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity of four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 03.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 674 of 2023

Ex Sub Diwesh Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri BB Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Amit Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.P Singh holding brief for Shri BB Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.3. List on 23.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.20)

O.A. No. 880 of 2023

Ex JWO Sarvesh Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1009 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Ram Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.22)

O.A. No. 1011 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Abhay Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.23)

O.A. No. 1013 of 2023

Ex ACP Nb Sub Lalji Singh Yadava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.24)

O.A. No. 1016 of 2023

Ex Sub Surendra Chand

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 15.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.25)

O.A. No. 1071 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1444 of 2023

Ex Sgt Niranjn Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file objection to the maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>3. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.26)

O.A. No. 582 of 2020

Ex Rfn Chandra Bahadur

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh holding brief for Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant stated that arguing counsel is out of station and seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.27)

O.A. No. 36 of 2021

Ex Lance Nk Narayan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that the instant petition is barred by limitation and this point has already been raised in Para 11 of the counter affidavit.3. Last opportunity is granted to the applicant to present his case.4. List on 08.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>