

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1392 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2015 of 2023

NK (TS) Badar Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Lt. Col. Nidhikant dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 22 years 04 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to delay in filing the O.A. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objections with regard to delay in filing of the O.A.5. List on 25.01.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)
O.A. No. 1393 of 2023

Ex. Hav. (MP) Hire Yogeshwar Nanaji Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections that applicant has not attached any proof of preferring appeal to the authority concerned to which the Id. Counsel for the applicant stated that the appeal/representation was preferred to the respondents through registered post and shown the registry slip. Applicant is directed to file the copy of the same in the Registry.5. Learned counsel for the applicant further states that ends of justice would be served if a direction is given by this Tribunal to the respondents/competent authority to consider and dispose of the pending representation of the applicant dated 31.05.2023 (Annexure A-1, Page 15 of the O.A) by a reasoned and speaking order within a stipulated period of time.6. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the same.7. In view of the above submissions, respondents /Competent authority is directed to consider and decide the representation of the applicant dated 31.05.2023 (Annexure A-1) by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, under intimation to the applicant.8. It is made clear that no comments have been made on the merits of the case.9. The OA is disposed of accordingly. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1394 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2023 of 2023

MCHA –I (Hony Lt.) Devendra Singh Rautala (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 02 years 06 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1394 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 12.02.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

**{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)**

O.A. No. 1395 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2024 of 2023

MECH 3 Surendar Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms.Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriSarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1395 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 12.02.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1397 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2025 of 2023

POELA (R) Ankit Thapa (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1397 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 12.02.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1398 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2026 of 2023

Sgt. Sayeed Khan (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : GP Capt. Y Venugopal (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing GP Capt. Y Venugopal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 33 years 03 months and 14 days in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to delay in filing the O.A. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objections with regard to delay in filing of the O.A.5. List on 29.01.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex-A No. 460 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 321 of 2019

Nb Sub (MP) Visarjan Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 29.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 321 of 2019.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 23.01.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

M.A. No. 2009 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2010 of 2023
Inre : O.A. No. 285 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Nb. Sub. Tara Chand Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 2009/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 16.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 285/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 09 months and 17 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 2010/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

M.A. No. 2019 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2020 of 2023
Inre : O.A. No. 800 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sub. (Hony Capt) Pramod Kumar Mishra

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 2019/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 800/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 10 months and 08 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 2020/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

M.A. No. 2021 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 653 of 2023

Ex.Sigmn Ashutosh Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Through M.A No. 2021/2023 applicant has sought correction in judgement and order dated 07.11.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A No. 653 of 2023, Sigmn. Ashutosh Tripathi and Uol.4. It has been submitted that in Para 7 of the judgement it has inadvertently been typed as '..... disability has started after more than 12 years of Army service i.e. on 29.06.2025.' instead of "..... disability has started after more than 12 years of Army service i.e. on <u>29.06.2015</u>.5. Perusal of the file and judgement reveals that it is a typographical error, hence, correction application is allowed. Necessary correction has been incorporated in the judgement dated 07.11.2023.6. Registry is directed to provide the corrected copy of the judgement to the Id. Counsel for the parties.7. M.A No. 2021/2023 stands disposed of accordingly. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 2033 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 666 of 2023

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Hav. Krishnendu Patra

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 19.10.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 666/2023.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal.4. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.5. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on merits. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)

M.A. No. 2034 of 2023 with M.A. No. 3035 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 928 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex.Sep. Ravi Kant Dubey

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 2034/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 10.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 928/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 08 months and 13 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 2035/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 13)

T.A. No. 52 of 2023

Gp. Capt. S.K. Srivastava (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Gp. Capt. Y. Venugopal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Gp. Capt. Y. Venugopal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.3. Vakalatnama filed by Gp. Capt. Y. Venugopal, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is already on record. Registry is directed to print his name as counsel for the applicant in the future cause list.4. Perusal of the file reveals that counter affidavit has been filed in the case.5. As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant, two week's time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit.6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is further directed to supply a copy of the counter affidavit and original application to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents on or before the next date.7. List on 01.02.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>