

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 406 of 2023 with M.A. No. 498 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Pramod Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 17days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 406 of 2023</u></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 18.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 407 of 2023

Col. Dev Raj Gupta (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri S.G. Singh and Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 18.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 409 of 2023

Ex.Swr. Ankit Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate
Shri D.K. Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 18.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 415 of 2023 with M.A. No. 511 of 2023

Hony. Sub. Maj. Akhilesh Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 07 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 415 of 2023</u></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 18.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

M.A. No. 495 of 2023 with M.A. No. 496 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 98 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sgt. V.K. Chahar Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 08.08.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 98 of 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 month and 26 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 499 of 2023 with M.A. No. 500 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 804 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Hav. Pramod Kumar Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Jai narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 02.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 804 of 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 month and 15 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

M.A. No. 501 of 2023 with M.A. No. 502 of 2023
Inre : T.A. No. 838 of 2010 & O.A. No. 888 of 2022

Ex. Sep. Raji Hasan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 17.09.2015 of this Tribunal in Transferred Application No. 838 of 2010 and Judgment and Order dated 01.11.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 888 of 2022.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 years, 05 months and 02 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 81 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 236 of 2018

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Phool Chand Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Hari Prasad Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Hari Prasad Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 4/Bank .</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 4/Bank that in regard to compliance of the order Rs.37,259/- towards disability pension and Rs.14,475/- towards interest total amount Rs.52,004/- has been credited in applicant's account. A letter dated 12.04.2023 has also been produced before us.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant also admits that above amount has been credited in applicant's account yesterday.</p> <p>In view of above, order stands complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 207 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 296 of 2020

Col. Suresh Pratap Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the last date it was submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that respondents have filed a Civil Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal wherein notice has been issued to the applicant herein. No stay order has been granted in the matter by the Hon'ble Apex Court so when the prayer was made to adjourn the case on the ground of Appeal being filed the respondents were directed to bring stay order by the next date fixed or to make compliance of the order. Despite this, neither the stay order has been brought nor the order has been complied with.</p> <p>We are told that Director, AG PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of the Ministry of Defence (Army), New Delhi is the officer responsible for implementation of the order. Issue notice to him calling upon him to present in Tribunal on the next date fixed to show cause for non-compliance of the order and why action be not taken against him for non-compliance.</p> <p>List on 12.07.2023.</p> <p>Copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/ AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 306 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 612 of 2021

Ex. MWO Suresh Chandra Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance failing the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain physically present in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reason for non-compliance.</p> <p>List on 18.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 329 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 652 of 2021

Hav. Navin Kumar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The order dated 18.02.2022 has not yet been complied with.</p> <p>A prayer has been made by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents to grant three weeks further time to make compliance of the order.</p> <p>On the last date also a similar prayer was made which was allowed subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as cost which is also not paid.</p> <p>In the circumstances, respondents are directed to make compliance of the order within three weeks within three weeks failing which the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall appear in person and file his personal affidavit stating the reason therein for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>List on 31.05.2023.</p> <p>Copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/ AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

Ex. A. No. 351 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 862 of 2021

Ex. L/Nk. Ram Deo Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that case file of the applicant is pending consideration with the Principal Integrated Financial Advisor, New Delhi for financial concurrence from 06.02.2023. Thus, he has sought three weeks time to make compliance of the order which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 04.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 230 of 2017

Smt. Urmila Devi Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing the applicant Smt. Urmila Devi Chauhan in person and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Smt. Urmila Devi Chauhan, the applicant submits that since her Counsel is not attending the Court due to some personal reason, she has to engage some other Counsel, time be granted for the same.</p> <p>Three weeks time is granted to the applicant to engage another counsel.</p> <p>List on 18.05.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 70 of 2022

Ex. MWO Dinesh Kumar Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Karuna Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 527 of 2022 with M.A. No. 640 of 2022

Cfn. Deepak Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.K. Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Shri R.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that two more Original Applications have been filed by the applicant which are listed for hearing tomorrow i.e. 14.04.2022 and 14.04.2022 has been declared Holiday and the cases listed on that date are to be listed on 19.04.2022, therefore, this case be also listed on the said date i.e. 19.04.2023.</p> <p>In view of the above, list this matter on 19.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1016 of 2022

Ex. Ris. Maj. (RT) Satya Narayan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Onkar Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1108 of 2022

MCEAA-II (Hony. Sub. Lt.) Harendra Prasad (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1116 of 2022

Ex. JWO Dinesh Chandra Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1135 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Shushil Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Applicant wants to file Supplementary Affidavit which is allowed.</p> <p>Supplementary Affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 04.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 21 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Dubey Achay Radheshyam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 22 of 2023

Ex. MACP Nb. Sub. Jilias Robinson Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 23 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Kamlesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 27 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Amod Kumar Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 04.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 28 of 2023

Ex. Cpl. Kamal Dixit Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 04.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 29 of 2023

Ex. L/Nk. Naresh Pal (DSC) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 31 of 2023

Col. Brijendra Singh Bhadauria, SM (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 11.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 33 of 2023

Ex. ACP Nb. Sub. Rabindra Yadaw Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 04.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 89 of 2023

Ex. Sigm. Sohan Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Purna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Purna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is partly allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 170 of 2023

Ex. MWO Ramesh Chandra Prajapati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

Diary No. 518 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 524 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Col. Jai Prakash Singh (Retd.)

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants herein – Union of India & Others is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants a week further time is granted to remove the defects.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

M.A. No. 523 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 63 of 2022

Smt. Mamta Yadav W/o Hav. Surendra Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 523 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed to fix an early date for hearing of the Transferred Application.</p> <p>The Transferred Application is already listed on 28.07.2023.</p> <p>The matter in issue is pending before the Larger Bench, Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p>In view of the above, there may be no use in fixing an early date in the Transferred Application, therefore, early hearing application is rejected.</p> <p><u>T.A. No. 63 of 2022</u></p> <p>List on the date fixed i.e. 28.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 670 of 2022

JWO Kamal Kumar Omar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 985 of 2022

Hav. (DMT) Jitendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit has been filed by the respondents yesterday which is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 12.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>