

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 565 of 2023 with M.A. No. 698 of 2023

Col. Sumit Datta (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 01 month and 11 days in filing of Original Application.5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 565 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 09.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 566 of 2023

Brig. Sameer Bhaduria (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri S.G. Singh and Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 09.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 567 of 2023 with M.A. No. 700 of 2023

Ex. WO Mahendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 05 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 567 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 09.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 568 of 2023 with M.A. No. 701 of 2023

Ex. WO Shambhu Sharan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 02 years and 24 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 568 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 09.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 569 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Diwan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 09.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 570 of 2023 with M.A. No. 702 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Anjani Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 03 months and 29 days in filing of Original Application. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 570 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 09.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 119 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 754 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Santosh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 04.03.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 754 of 2021. By means of this order the applicant's claim for the grant of disability element @20% to be rounded off to 50% has been allowed from the next date of discharge and respondents have been directed to grant disability element to the applicant @20% for two years duly rounded off to 50% for two years from the next date of discharge with further direction to hold Re-Survey Medical Board for the applicant to assess his further entitlement of disability element within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that order has not yet been complied with.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.6. List on 28.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 655 of 2023 with M.A. No. 656 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 443 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Hav. Abhiram Goldar Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants herein – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 05.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 443 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 month and 02 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

M.A. No. 657 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 671 of 2022

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Applicants

Versus

Sgt. Vinay Kumar Tiwari (Retd.)

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants herein – Union of India & Others are present.</p> <p>2. List the matter before the Bench comprising of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J) and Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A).</p> <p>3. List on 22.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

M.A. No. 680 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 26 of 2022

Sqn. Ldr. Saurav Jagnani (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 680 of 2023</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Raj Kumar Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed seeking correction/modification in the order dated 15.12.2022 in Transferred Application No. 26 of 2022 by which the Transferred Application has been allowed and applicant has been held entitled to disability element @20% for life duly rounded off to 50% from the next date of his retirement from service.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant had filed Transferred Application for the grant of disability pension, and not for the disability element. It is further submitted that it appears that while allowing the Transferred Application for the grant of disability pension it has been wrongly mentioned in the order that applicant is entitled to disability element, therefore, order needs to be modified.</p> <p>5. Per contra, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents, submitted that Transferred Application has been allowed for the grant of disability element only, and not for the disability pension and, there being no typographical error in the order, the order does not need correction. If applicant feels that order should be reviewed he can file a Review Application in this regard, but order cannot be reviewed in garb of correction.</p> <p>6. During course of hearing, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that if Tribunal is of the opinion that Review Application is to be filed in the matter, the application may be dismissed with liberty to file Review Application.</p> <p>7. In view of the submission made by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the correction/modification application is dismissed with liberty to file Review Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 13 of 2021 with M.A. No. 534 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 88 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Sant Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>2. Vide order dated 28.01.2021 this Tribunal had allowed the Original Application and directed the respondents to grant disability pension to the applicant @100% for two years from the date of invaliding from service and to hold Re-Survey Medical Board of the applicant to assess his further entitlement of disability pension. It was also directed that default will invite interest @8% per annum till actual payment.</p> <p>3. Consequent to the above order, applicant was granted disability pension @100% for two years from the date of his invaliding from service and his Re-Survey Medical Board was conducted wherein he was found suffering with the same disability at the same rate. However, when the order was not complied with, an Execution Application was filed and, during pendency of this application PPO for the grant of disability pension for period of two years and also from the date of Re-Survey Medical Board was issued. In regard to disability pension for the intervening period, order dated 21.09.2022 was passed in the Execution Application directing the respondents to grant disability pension to the applicant @100% for the intervening period also after which a Corrigendum PPO has been issued and disability pension for the intervening period has been released. Now the contention of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that respondents should be directed to pay interest also on the arrears of disability pension for the intervening period.</p> <p>4. We have gone through the order dated 21.09.2022 and we find that there is no mention in the order directing respondents to grant interest on the</p>

arrears of disability pension for the intervening period. Therefore, applicant's request in this regard cannot be conceded.

5. The order passed in Original Application has been complied with and, with this, Execution Application has rendered infructuous.

6. Accordingly, Execution Application is **dismissed**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

Ex. A. No. 108 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 260 of 2021 along with R.A. No. 48 of 2022

Col. (TS) Nagendra Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Vide order dated 08.02.2023 this Tribunal had directed Principal Integrated Financial Advisor to appear in person before this Tribunal on the next date fixed i.e. 23.03.2023 to show cause why coercive action be not taken against her for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. When Principal Integrated Financial Advisor did not appear on 23.03.2023, registry was directed to issue fresh notice to her to appear in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed i.e. 18.05.2023. As per office note, notice to Principal Integrated Financial Advisor has been served, however, she is not present.</p> <p>4. Registry is directed to issue notice to the Principal Integrated Financial Advisor, Army Headquarters, New Delhi through Controller General of Defence Accounts (CGDA), New Delhi with copy to the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Government of India, New Delhi to appear in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed failing which Tribunal will be forced to issue Bailabale Warrant against her.</p> <p>5. List on 19.07.2023.</p> <p>6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 257 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 340 of 2019

Ex. HFO Sureshwari Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri Rakesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent 7 – UCO Bank.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 submitted that in compliance of order dated 22.02.2021 PPO for the grant of disability element @30% for two years from the next date of discharge has been issued. The Re-Survey Medical Board of the applicant was held on 05.08.2021 and disability being found @50% for life, PPO for the grant of disability element @50% to be rounded off to 75% from the date of Re-Survey Medical Board and also for the intervening period though at different rate has been issued, and in compliance thereof disability element has been paid to the applicant. It is further submitted that there being no delay in issue of PPO after holding of the Re-Survey Medical Board, question of payment of interest does not arise, Execution Application should be dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant, on the other hand, seeks time to make submission in the above regard which is allowed.</p> <p>4. List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

Ex. A. No. 301 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 792 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Hukam Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj submits that PPO has been issued. Copy of PPO has been handed over to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant in Court who seeks time to go through it and file objection, if required.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed within one week.</p> <p>4. List on 31.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

Ex. A. No. 306 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 612 of 2021

Ex. MWO Suresh Chandra Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Sqn. Ldr. Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative and assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Sqn. Ldr. Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative of the respondents states that SPARSH PPO is not required to be issued as applicant's account has not been migrated to the SPARSH. PPO in the matter has already been issued and payment should have been made to the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, applicant is directed to produce updated Bank Pass Book of his pension account on the next date fixed.</p> <p>4. List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

Ex. A. No. 358 of 2022 Inre : T.A. No. 5 of 2022

Lt. Col. Amar Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Bharath Keshav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Major Bharath Keshav, Departmental Representative for the respondents submitted that after Leave to Appeal being dismissed the case file of the applicant was processed for implementation of the order which is presently pending with the Office of Director, PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence, New Delhi since 09.05.2023. Thus, four weeks further time has been sought to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. The order which is to be implemented is dated 25.05.2022.</p> <p>4. Four weeks further time is granted to make compliance of the order and to file affidavit of compliance failing which Director, PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence, New Delhi will appear on the next date fixed to explain the reasons for non-compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 19.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

Ex. A. No. 360 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 747 of 2021

Ex. MWO Krishna Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C.Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 25.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

Ex. A. No. 382 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 482 of 2021

Ex. Sub. Pawan Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents draws our attention to order dated 15.03.2023 wherein compliance affidavit filed by the respondents has been said to have taken on record whereas it should have been that Counter Affidavit is taken on record.3. Order stands corrected accordingly.4. Four weeks further time has been sought for making compliance of the order which is allowed.5. List on 25.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

M.A. No. 684 of 2023 with M.A. No. 685 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 524 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Col. Jai Prakash Singh (Retd.) Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Defects have been removed.2. Heard Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants herein – Union of India & Others.3. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.10.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 524 of 2022.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 94 days in filing of application be condoned.5. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.6. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.7. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 736 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Ajeet Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devenera Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 934 of 2022

Ex. CPO LOG (F&A) dheeraj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devenera Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 110 of 2023

Lt. Col. Ashok Kumar Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents one week further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>3. List on 31.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 118 of 2023

Cdr. Himant Hothi (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 166 of 2023

Col. Ashutosh Tripathi (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 13.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 167 of 2023

Col. Rajiv Bhardwaj (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 13.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 216 of 2023

Ex. MWO Prabhat Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 264 of 2023

Ex. ACP Nb. Sub. Sarvgesh Kumar Yadava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 20.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 265 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Ajay Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 267 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Anil Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 20.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 270 of 2023

Maj. Preeti Mishra (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri B.N. Choubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri B.N. Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that amendment is required in the relief clause of the Original Application, four weeks time be granted to file amendment application which is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 271 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Sudhakar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

T.A. No. 57 of 2022

Pappu Singh S/o Late Hom Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : None

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.2. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. Transferred Application is dismissed for non-prosecution. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

Diary No. 1111 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 39 of 2017

Govind Singh Negi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.G. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant a week time is granted to file delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. List on 25.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 230 of 2017

Smt. Urmila Devi Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the applicant and file Vakalatnama which is taken on record. His name be also shown as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week time to prepare the case.4. List on 31.05.2023 for final hearing.5. Respondents are directed to produce original documents pertaining to the case on the next date fixed for perusal of the Bench. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 35)

O.A. No. 330 of 2020

Ex. Hav. Gajendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate
Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.2. Heard Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is dismissed on merit.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>