

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1344 of 2023 with MA 1950 of 2023

MWO (HFL) Mahender Singh Sihag (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through vedio conferencing.</p> <p>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of four months and 19 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, as seems, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1344 of 2023</u></p> <p>7. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>4. List on 30.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1345 of 2023with M.A.No. 1951 of 2023

JWO Rajendra Pratap Singh (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of four months and 19 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, as seems, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1345 of 2023</u></p> <p>7. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1348 of 2023with M.A.No. 1960 of 2023

MWO Prabhat Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri A.K. Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 months and 02 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, as seems, delay deserves to be condoned.6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed. <p><u>O.A. No. 1960 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">7. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 29.01.2024. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A) UKT/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

O.A. No. 1351 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1962 of 2023

Ex Hav Mehebub Alam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. S. N. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 16 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, as seems, delay deserves to be condoned.6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed. <p><u>O.A. No. 1351 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">7. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 29.01.2024. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A) UKT/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

O. A. No. 1352 of 2023

Sub/JE (Civil Engineer) Vijay Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nitesh Verma, Advocate
Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nitesh Verma and Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed against discharge order. Matter needs adjudication.4. Admit.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.6. List on 29.01.2024. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

EX-A No. 448 of 2023Inre O.A.No. 88 of 2023

Ex Hav Om Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 05.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 88 of 2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance5. List on 17.01.2024. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

MA No.1952 of 2023with MA No. 1953of 2023
Inre O.A.631 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Umesh Singh Kushwaha

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1952 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 02.02.2023, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 631 of 2022</p> <p>3. This application has been filed by the applicant after a period of limitation. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 08 months and 17 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”</i></p>

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

"Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation. They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings".

4. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 1953 of 2023

5. This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the petitioner beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

6. Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also **rejected**.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

M.A. No. 1955 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1956 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 433 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Hav. Madhusudhan Singh

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1955 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 06.12.2022, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 433 of 2022</p> <p>3. This application has been filed by the applicant after a period of limitation. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of one year and 13 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”</i></p>

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation. They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.

4. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 1956 of 2023

5. This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the petitioner beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

6. Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also **rejected**.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)

Member (A)

Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)

MA No. 1963 of 2023 with MA No. 1964 of 2023
In re O.A. No. 328 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav Chaman Prakash Singh Negi Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1963 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 14.09.2022, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 328 of 2022</p> <p>3. This application has been filed by the applicant after a period of limitation. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 01 year, one month and 05 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”</i></p>

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation. They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.

4. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 1964 of 2023

5. This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the petitioner beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

6. Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also **rejected**.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)

M.A No. 1965 of 2023with M.A No. 1966 of 2023
Inre O.A.No. 172 of 2023

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav Anil Kumar Awasthi Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1965 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 26.04.2023, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 172 of 2023</p> <p>3. This application has been filed by the applicant after a period of limitation. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 06 months and 23 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”</i></p>

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation. They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.

4. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 1966 of 2023

5. This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the petitioner beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

6. Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also **rejected**.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 12)

EX-A No. 38 of 2022Inre O.A.No. 292 of 2020

Ex Sep Jag Vijay Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of order dated 26.08.2021, PPO has been issued.3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that amount has not been paid to the applicant till date. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to include Bank as a party.4. List on 03.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 13)

EX-A No. 190 of 2022Inre O.A. No. 145 of 2020

Hav Dev Prakash Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriK.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In compliance of previous order, affidavit filed by the responsible officer has been filed which is taken on record. Neither the cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited nor order of the Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance report. On perusal of Order Sheet, it transpires that compliance report has not been filed in spite of availing several opportunities.</p> <p>4. As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, this Tribunal has no option except to issue show cause notice to attach property of the officer responsible for non-compliance of order.</p> <p>5. List on 10.01.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of this order be provided to Departmental Representative as per rule for further action.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A) UKT/-</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.14)

EX-A No. 288 of 2022Inre O.A. No. 740 of 2021

Ex Hav Ram Asheesh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order of Tribunal has been complied with and payment has been made to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that amount due to the applicant has been credited in bank account of the applicant and nothing is pending.</p> <p>4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel of both the parties, Ex Application is disposed of with full satisfaction.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.15)

EX-A No. 357 of 2022Inre O.A. No. 648 of 2021

Smt Archana Rai W/o Late Sep Satya Prakash Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through video conferencing.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that it is the 8th adjournment and inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit.3. In view of above, respondents are directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings should not be initiated against them for not implementing the order passed by this Tribunal.4. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.5. List on 16.01.2024.6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.16)

EX-A No. 384 of 2022Inre O.A. No. 641 of 2021

Ex Hav Vijay Bahadur Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before the PS-4 since 09.10.2023 and prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that it is the 8th adjournment and inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit.3. In view of above, respondents are directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings should not be initiated against them for not implementing the order passed by this Tribunal.4. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.5. List on 22.01.2024.6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 17)

EX-A No. 12 of 2023Inre O.A. No. 794 of 2021

Ex Sub (Hony LT) Suresh Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In compliance of previous order passed by this Tribunal, affidavit of responsible officer has been filed which is taken on record. Perusal of record reveals that the order has not been complied with in spite of availing several opportunities. Today learned counsel for the respondents again prays for four weeks' time to comply the order.</p> <p>3. As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, this Tribunal has no option except to issue show cause notice to attach property of the officer responsible for non-compliance of order.</p> <p>4. List on 16.01.2024.</p> <p>5. Copy of this order be provided to Departmental Representative as per rule for further action.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.18)

EX-A No. 15 of 2023Inre O.A.No. 237 of 2022

Ex Nk Vijendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant made statement that compliance has been made by the respondents and execution application may be disposed of.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement given by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the instant execution application stands disposed of in full satisfaction.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.19)

EX-A No. 25 of 2023Inre O.A.No. 218 of 2021

Ex Hav Arvinda Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of order passed by this Tribunal, PPO has been issued and one copy of PPO has been handed over to learned counsel for the applicant. He prays for and is granted one week time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 08.12.2023.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.20)

EX-A No. 101 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 114 of 2022

Ex Sub Arun Kumar Yadava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj Danish Faruqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In compliance of previous order passed by this Tribunal, affidavit of responsible officer has been filed which is taken on record. Perusal of record reveals that the order has not been complied with in spite of availing several opportunities. Today learned counsel for the respondents again prays for four weeks' time to comply the order.</p> <p>3. As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, this Tribunal has no option except to issue show cause notice to attach property of the officer responsible for non-compliance of order.</p> <p>4. List on 17.01.2024.</p> <p>5. Copy of this order be provided to Departmental Representative as per rule for further action.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.21)

EX-A. No. 247 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 998 of 2022

Sgt Mahendra Kumar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 24.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.22)

EX-A. No. 381 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 521 of 2022

Ex Nk Chakradhar Majhi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.23)

EX-A. No. 382 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1081 of 2022

Col Anand Kumar Tapadar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>3. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.24)

O.A. No. 847 of 2022

Ris Sheo Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further a week's time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 30.11.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.25)

O.A. No. 102 of 2023

Col Anil Kumar Mishra (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) UKT/-</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.26)

O.A. No. 373 of 2023

Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Surendar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi,
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and Shri Anshuman Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p>3. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) UKT/-</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.27)

O.A. No. 386 of 2023

Nb Sub (AA) Manoj Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents at length.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.28)

O.A. No. 406 of 2023

Ex Sub Pramod Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dhdeerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List for final hearing on 01.12.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.29)

O.A. No. 421 of 2023

Lt Col Sandeep Bhatnagar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 17.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.30)

O.A. No. 483 of 2023

Ex Nk Ranjeet Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Injury report filed by the Id. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.3. As prayed, two week's time is granted to the respondents to file objection to the amendment application filed by the applicant.4. List on 24.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.31)

O.A. No. 651 of 2023

Ex Hav Chandran PE

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter and rejoinder affidavits filed by the respondents and applicant respectively are taken on record.3. O.A is partly allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.32)

O.A. No. 668 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Krishna Kant Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 18.12.2023.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 33)

O.A. No. 709 of 2023

Ex. Ris Rakesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K.Singh, Advocate
Maj. S. M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri V.P.Pandey, Shri R.K. Singh and Maj SM Mustafa (Retd.) Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.34)

O.A. No. 730 of 2023

Ex. MWO Ram Dayal Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is under preparation. He prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 12.12.2023. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.35)

O.A. No.749 of 2023 with M.A. No. 903 of 2023

Ex. NK Pramod Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Last opportunity is granted to the applicant to file reply against the objections raised by the respondents with regard to delay in filing the petition.</p> <p>3. List on 11.12.2023.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.36)

O.A. No.768 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Maj Vibhishan Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala , Advocate
Shri Ankit Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ankit Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Shri Ankit Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the Bank stated that CA is under preparation. He prays for four weeks time to file counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 07.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.37)

O.A. No.831 of 2023

Col.Rajan Anand (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 18.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.38)

O.A. No.848 of 2023

Ex Hav Sankar C Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 04.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.39)

O.A. No.878 of 2023

Ex. WO Sushil Kumar Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R. C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is under vetting. He prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 09.01.2024. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.40)

O.A. No.879 of 2023

Ex WO Awadhesh Kumar Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J. N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.41)

O.A. No. 881 of 2023

Ex Sub Trilok Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit as a last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 19.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.42)

O.A. No. 882 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFL) Nalini Kanta Mahapatra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit as a last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 19.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.43)

O.A. No. 909 of 2023

Ex Sub Sushil Kumar Lawania

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D K Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit as a last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 23.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.44)

O.A. No. 913 of 2023

Ex Hav Raghuvir Chand Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit as a last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 23.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.45)

O.A. No. 1178 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1626 of 2023

Cfn /Inst Chandra Prakash Ojha

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing applicant in person, and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Reply to objection on maintainability filed by the applicant is taken on record.3. List on 08.12.2023 for further hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.46)

O.A. No. 1202 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1659 of 2023

Ex JWO Ramesh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through video conferencing.2. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.3. In spite of taking opportunity, respondents have not filed objection on maintainability with regard to delay in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1202 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 30.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.47)

R.A. No. 68 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1772 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 815 of 2022

Ex Craftman (Vehicle Mechanic)Rajesh Kumar Awasthi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to comply with the previous order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. List on 02.01.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.48)

R. A. No. 74 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1924 of 2023
Inre : O.A. No. 850 of 2021

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav Sharafat Minya Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. Review dismissed.3. Detailed order on separate sheets. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. Sl. No.01)

O.A. No. 81 of 2022

Cpl RS Deo

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pradeep Shukla, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C Shukla

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri , Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Pradeep Shukla assisted by Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the parties are directed to file their written submissions within a week.4. List on 15.12.2023. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>