

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 903 of 2016 with M.A. No. 904 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 237 of 2011)

Vijay Shanker Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case no one appear for the applicant rather Shri R. Chandra, Advocate, appears and states that Shri V.A. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant has not come to the Court being out of station. As such, he prays that the matter be adjourned.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for admission on 06.09.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 64 of 2011

Vijay Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate, appears and states that he will be filing Power on behalf of the respondents No. 5 and 6 in Registry during course of the day. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. When the case is listed next, his name be shown as counsel for the respondents no. 5 and 6.</p> <p>List this case for Order on 28.09.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 41 of 2015 with M.A. No. 80 of 2016

Parmjit Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri GS Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 80 of 2016</u></p> <p>This application has already been stands disposed off vide our order dated 28.03.2016. Office is wrongly listed this application while showing in cause list.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 19.10.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1333 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 278 of 2015)

Ram Bahadur Pal

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
-----------------------	------------------------

04.08.2016

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)

Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)

Present: Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental representative.

On call of the case, Shri Amrish Dubey, Advocate appeared. He states that Shri AK Dwivedi, learned counsel for the applicant is ill and has not come to the Court today, as such he prays that the matter be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.

List this case for orders on **26.09.2016** before the appropriate court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.

(Lt Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

RS/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 572 of 2010

HCS Rautela

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental representative.</p> <p>Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant appeared and says that he is not prepared with the brief, as such matter be adjourned for the day. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 26.09.2016 before the appropriate court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 531 of 2010

Sultan Ram

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Secy GOI & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
-----------------------	------------------------

04.08.2016

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)

Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)

Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental representative.

Report from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dehradun, Uttarakhand dated 20.07.2016 alongwith report of Station Officer, P.S. Rajpur, Dehradun is on record and the same has been perused by us. It has been reported by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dehradun that no document relating to case crime No. 208 of 1993, under Section 376/511 IPC, P.S Rajpur, Dehradun is available. The report of Station Officer, P.S. Rajpur, Dehradun annexed with the report of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dehradun shows that investigations in the aforesaid case though were started but the same were taken over by the Army as there was involvement of an army personnel in the aforesaid case. Thus, the claim so made and the point raised by Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant that in the aforesaid case final report has been filed, is belied. Shri Chaturvedi, however, prays for some time to go through the matter and ascertain as to whether final report has been submitted in the aforesaid case as argued by him earlier. Let him do so within two weeks.

List this case for orders on **14.09.2016** before the appropriate court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.

(Lt Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

LN/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

**Ex-A/54/2016 with M.A. No. 1688 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 260 of 2014)**

Bhaju Ram Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R.Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental representative.</p> <p>Heard Shri R.Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents, duly assisted by Major Soma John, Departmental representative on this Execution Application, which was filed by the applicant since the respondents did not implement the judgment and order dated 16.11.2015, passed in O.A.No. 260 of 2014 within the stipulated time i.e. three months from the date of receipt of the order.</p> <p>In spite of sufficient time having already been granted, the respondents did not implement the aforesaid judgment and order. On filing of this execution application, the respondents were again given three week's time while issuing notice to them to show cause as to why the aforesaid judgment has not yet been implemented. On the last date i.e. 31.05.2016, we were informed that the aforesaid judgment and order is pending with the Ministry of Defence for examination and directions and four weeks' time was sought to implement the same, which was allowed while imposing costs of Rs.20,000/-. The costs so imposed has been deposited by the respondents with the Registry of this Court, which shall be allowed to be withdrawn by the applicant. Today again a communication from the authority concerned has been placed before us by Major Soma John, Departmental Representative, which shows that still the implementation of the aforesaid judgment is under process, as such request has been made for grant of one month's further time. We, accordingly, grant one month's further time to the respondents to implement the aforesaid judgment while imposing costs of Rs.50,000/- which shall be deposited within a period of ten days from</p>

today. If the costs so imposed is deposited by the respondents, the same shall be allowed to be withdrawn by the applicant.

List this case for orders on **21.09.2016** before the appropriate court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.

(Lt Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

LN/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 21 of 2013

Pramod Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Col (Retd.) R.N.Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the applicant on the question of admission of this O.A, which has been filed by the applicant having aggrieved against the order of dismissal dated 09.12.2012 passed on the ground of plural marriage.</p> <p>Apart from other grounds, the main thrust of learned counsel for the applicant is on the ground that when a complaint case filed by his wife Smt. Umrawati Devi under Sections 494, 498-A and 323 IPC was pending in civil court at Chhapra, the Army authorities should not have proceeded against the applicant on the ground of plural marriage in view of Para 333 (C) (c) of the Regulations for the Army.</p> <p>We have gone through the judgment of the court below and find that when Army proceedings were initiated against the applicant and the order of dismissal under Section 20(3) of the Army Act was passed, proceedings in the civil court were already pending. There seems to be some substance in the argument raised by the learned counsel for the applicant that during pendency of said proceedings in civil court, it was wrong on their part to have proceeded against the applicant and passed the order of dismissal from service. Accordingly, we admit this O.A.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 28.09.2016 before the appropriate court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Col (Retd.) R.N.Singh be shown as counsel for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 296 of 2015 With M.A. No. 1577 of 2016

Prakash Rai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Maj (Retd) RD Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 1577 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an application moved by the applicant for taking the rejoinder affidavit on record, which is taken on record.</p> <p>The application stands finally disposed of.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 23.09.2016 before the appropriate court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1539 of 2016
(Inre: M.A. No. 1474 of 2016 & M.A. No. 1475 of 2016)

Mohan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri D.N Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1539 of 2016.</u></p> <p>This Misc. Application No. 1539 of 2016 has been moved under Rule 16(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 for recall of an order dated 14.07.2016 passed by this Court, dismissing M.A. No. 1474 of 2016, M.A. No. 1475 of 2016 and O.A. No. Nil(13) of 2010 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of the recall application. The reasons given in Para 3 of the application seem to be genuine. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the order dated 14.07.2016, dismissing the M.A. No. 1474 of 2016, M.A. No. 1475 of 2016 and O.A. No. Nil(13) of 2010 for non-prosecution, is hereby recalled and M.A. No. 1474 of 2016, M.A. No. 1475 of 2016 and O.A. No. Nil(13) of 2010 are restored to their original number.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1474 of 2016.</u></p> <p>This Misc. Application has been moved under Section 31(1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the Misc. Application No 1475 of 2016 for granting of leave to appeal, against our Judgment dated 30.05.2016 passed in Original Application No. Nil(13) of 2010, before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p>

As per office report, there is a delay of 11 days in filing the application for leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

We have carefully gone through the application and affidavit in support thereof and we do not find any justifiable reasons to condone the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 30.05.2016 passed by this Court in O.A. No. Nil (13) of 2010. Section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 specifically provides that an application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal. Even the reasons given in the application do not fall within the parameters of Section 5 of the Limitation Act. Each day delay has not been explained properly. Accordingly, we hereby reject Misc. Application No. 1474 of 2016 for condonation of delay.

M.A.No. 1475 of 2016

This is an application for leave to appeal. Since the application for condonation of delay in filing leave to appeal has been rejected, in consequence thereof this application also stands rejected.

Apart from it, while going through the judgment against which leave to appeal has been prayed, we do not find any point of law of general public importance is involved in the judgment for granting leave to file appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Accordingly, this application for leave to appeal is also rejected.

(Lt Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

rpm/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1668 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1669 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 28 of 2009)

Pramod Bhosale

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharm Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1668 of 2016.</u></p> <p>Heard Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant on Misc. Application No. 1668 of 2016 for condoning the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal, filed under Section 30 read with section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 arising out of our Judgment dated 03.09.2015 passed in T.A. No 28 of 2009.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 09 months and 28 days in filing the application for leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>We have carefully gone through the application and affidavit in support thereof and we do not find any justiciable reasons to condone the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 specifically provides that an application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal.</p> <p>The application itself is beyond time. Apart from it, while going through the judgment against which leave to appeal has been prayed, we do not find any xzpoint of law of general public importance</p>

is involved in the judgment for granting leave to file appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Accordingly, we hereby reject Misc. Application No. 1668 of 2016 for condonation of delay.

Since the application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof the Misc. Application No. 1669 of 2016 for leave to appeal is also rejected.

(Lt Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

rpm/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 819 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Kishore
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant appears and states that copy of condonation of delay, which has been filed in the shape of M.A. No. 819 of 2016, could not be served upon learned counsel for the respondent. He, therefore, served the same on Shri Amit Jaiswal, standing counsel, in court, today itself. Shri Jaiswal prays for and is granted three weeks time to file objection to the delay condonation application.</p> <p>List this case for Order on 07.09.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 267 of 2013

Kitab Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Notice was issued to respondents No. 2 and 3 on 17.05.2016. Shri Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate, who is appearing and filing power on behalf of respondent no 2 prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>As per office report, whether the notice to respondent no. 3 has been served, is not available. Let a fresh report be called of, from post office concerned whether notice to respondent no. 3 has been served or not.</p> <p>List this case for Order on 02.09.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 94 of 2016

Abdul Hameed

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Virendra Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant appears and states that he has received Counter Affidavit from Learned counsel for the respondents today itself. He prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>List this case for Order on 13.09.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 17 of 2014

Rajesh Kumar Upadhyay
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.08.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri O.P. Kushwaha, Advocate, appears and states that Shri Anand Prakash Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant could not come to the Court being out of station. As such, he prays that the matter be adjourned.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 02.09.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/</p>