ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 192 of 2015

Rahmat Ullah Sheikh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders	of the Tribunal
	15.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Ma Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhusha	, , , ,
	O.A. No. 192 of 2015, Ra and others is allowed.	hmat Ullah Sheikh vs. Union of India
	For order see our judgment	passed on separate sheets.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1479 of 2016

Shambhu Nath Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ectitioner for Respondents	
Notes of the		
Registry		
	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>15.07.2016</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailend	ra Sharma Atal on
	behalf of respondents is taken on record.	
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for	or the applicant and
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the	e respondents.
	M.A. No. 1479 of 2016	
	This is an application for condonation of dela	ay in filing the O.A.
	which relates to grant of disability pension. As p	per stamp reporter's
	report, it is delayed by 03 years, 02 months and	06 days. Since the
	matter relates to grant of disability pension, grant	ounds taken in the
	application for condonation of delay seem to be	genuine as also an
	humanitarian ground taking sympathetic view	v, we allow this
	application and condone the delay. We direct the	office to register the
	O.A.	
		tice Abdul Mateen)
		Member (J)
	Sry	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 168 of 2016

Shambhu Nath Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant an
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.
	Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for
	the respondents on admission of this O.A. which has been filed by th
	applicant having aggrieved by rejection of his claim for grant of
	disability pension contained in Annexure No. A-1 dated 05.11.2012.
	Learned counsel for the applicant also submits that the applican
	has already preferred time barred appeal as at Annexure A-6 havin
	been aggrieved with the order of rejection of disability pension to the
	appellate authority, but the same has not yet been decided, as he has no
	received any information, whether the said appeal has been decided of
	not.
	The second prayer made in this case is that the order date
	05.11.2012 (Annexure A-1) be quashed.
	In any case, learned counsel for the applicant confines hi
	argument with respect to prayer No. 1 to the effect that respondents b
	commanded to decide the time barred appeal preferred by the applicar
	as at Annexure A-6, if not already decided.
	Accordingly with the consent of the parties, we dispose of the
	O.A. directing the appellate authority, to whom the appeal has bee
	preferred, to decide the appeal of the applicant with regard to gran
	disability pension, if not already decided, within three months b
	means of a reasoned and speaking order and communicate the same t
	the appellant.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 [See rule 11(1)] **ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1484 of 2016

Bimal Kishor Charan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	Bv	Legal	Practitioner	for Res	pondents
--	----	-------	--------------	---------	----------

Notes of the	Orders	of the Tribunal
Registry		
	15.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mated	on Member (I)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, I	
	Memo of appearance filed by	Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai on behalf of
	respondents is taken on record. Le	et her name be shown as counsel for the
	respondents, when the case is listed	next.
	Present : Col Y.R. Sharma (R	etd), learned counsel for the applicant and
	Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned	counsel for the respondents.
	M.A. No. 1484 of 2016	
	This is an application for cond	donation of delay in filing the O.A. having
	aggrieved by rejection of his clair	n for grant of disability pension. As per
	stamp reporter's report there is del	ay of 08 months and 05 days in filing the
	O.A. Copy of this application has	been served on learned standing counsel
	on 14.07.2016.	
	Learned counsel for the res	pondents prays for and is granted three
	weeks' time to file objection to t	he application for condonation of delay,
	indicating the status of second	appeal preferred by the applicant on
	06.11.2015, after rejection of his fir	est appeal.
	List this case on 08.09.2016	for orders before court concerned having
	jurisdiction as per roster of that day	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) SB	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. 1482 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1483 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 894 of 2010 Jitendra Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal on behalf of
	respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown as counsel for
	the respondents, when the case is listed next.
	Present : Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.
	M.A. No. 1482 of 2016
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the
	application No. 1483 of 2016 which relates to recall of order dated
	20.04.2011 dismissing the T.A. for want of instructions. As per stamp
	reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years, 01 month and 11 days.
	Copy of this application has been served on learned standing counsel on 05.07.2016.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection to the application for condonation of
	delay.
	List this case on 20.09.2016 for orders before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 93 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 59 of 2013

Shyam Babu Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ctitioner for Respondents	
Notes of the		
Registry		
	Orders of the Tribuna	ıl
	<u>15.07.2016</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A	<u>A)</u>
	Present : Shri Rajat Pratap Singh, learned	d counsel for the applicant
	and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the	he respondents.
	On call of the case, learned counsel for	the respondents states that
	the matter with respect to execution of judg	ment and order passed in
	T.A. No. 59 of 2013 is under process and it is	s likely to be implemented
	at an early date.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents pra	ays that as a matter of last
	opportunity, three weeks and no more time	ne be granted to him to
	implement the judgment. If the judgment is	s not implemented by the
	next date, the respondents shall be prepared to	o bear heavy cost and also
	be ready to face contempt proceedings.	
	List this case on 16.09.2016 for order	s before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	Sry	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 809 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Tej Bahadur Yadava

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	
	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Present : Shri Rakesh Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the
	applicant and Shri Md Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents.
	On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant states that he
	intends to amend the O.A. Although application for condonation of
	delay is pending but we grant time to the learned counsel for the
	applicant to move amendment application.
	As prayed, two weeks time is granted to move amendment
	application.
	List this case on 09.09.2016 for orders before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 212 of 2015

Jugendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Present : Shri K.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.
	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has not received
	copy of the counter affidavit, filed by the learned counsel for the
	respondents. Office report also shows that he has not received the copy
	of the counter affidavit.
	Learned counsel for the respondents states that he will be
	providing the copy of counter affidavit to learned counsel for the
	applicant within 24 hours to which, learned counsel for the applicant
	may file rejoinder affidavit within three weeks, thereafter.
	List this case on 13.09.2016 for orders before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) ukt

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 114 of 2016

Satish Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	cutioner for Respondents	
Notes of the	Orders of t	he Tribunal
Registry		
	<u>15.07.2016</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Matee	n, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, N	
	11011 Die Lie Gen Gyan Dhushan, 10	Temper (11)
	Present : Shri A.K. Singh, lea	arned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Namit Sharma, learned counse	I for the respondents.
	Learned counsel for the resp	pondents prays for and is granted
	further two weeks' and no more time	e to file counter affidavit.
	List this case on 14.09.2016	for orders before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of t	hat day.
	(I t Gan Gyan Rhughan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	`
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	ukt	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 218 of 2010

Rajesh Pandey Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

cuttoffer for Respondents	
Orders of	the Tribunal
15.07.2016	
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mate	een, Member (.D)
from the Lt Gen Gyan Dhushan,	Member (A)
Present : Shri P.N. Chaturve	edi, learned counsel for the applicant
and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned cou	insel for the respondents.
Learned counsel for the appl	icant prays for and is granted further
two weeks' time to move amendm	ent application.
List this case on 25.09.201 6	6 for orders before court concerned
having jurisdiction as per roster of	that day.
(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
•	Member (J)
SB	Wiember (3)
	15.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mate Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Present: Shri P.N. Chaturve and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned cou Learned counsel for the appl two weeks' time to move amendm List this case on 25.09.2016 having jurisdiction as per roster of (Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 87 of 2013 with M.A. No. 1826 of 2015, M.A. No. 400 of 2016 & M.A. No. 823 of 2016

Mahindra Singh Bisht

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of Ind	1
Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Alam Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Ashish Kunar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.
	Misc. Application No.1826 of 2015
	This is an application seeking amendment in the T.A., which was
	filed earlier, claiming disability pension. On receipt of counter affidavit
	filed on behalf of respondents, it came to the notice of the applicant
	that he was discharged as an undesirable soldier under Army Rule 13
	(3) III (v).
	Objection to the aforesaid amendment application has been filed
	vide Application No. 823 of 2016 together with another Application
	No.400 of 2016 for dismissal of the T.A.
	While hearing the learned counsel for the parties, it comes out that
	originally, this case in the form of writ petition was filed before the
	Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital, which after transfer to
	this Tribunal, has been registered as Transferred Application.
	Since it has come to the knowledge of the applicant that he has
	been discharged as undesirable soldier, as such he wants to challenge
	the same by means of amendment in the T.A. Since the applicant
	claims that he was not aware about the reasons for his discharge and
	Writ Petition was filed in the Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand at
	Nainital in 2001, we are also of the view that in case amendment in this
	T.A. is denied and the applicant is relegated to file new O.A.
	challenging his discharge, it will take considerably long time in
	imparting justice to the applicant.
	Accordingly, we allow the amendment application and reject the

Accordingly, we allow the amendment application and reject the objection raised on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate the necessary amendments in the T.A. within two weeks and file amended

copy of the T.A. along with Bench copy and also serve an amended copy of the T.A. on the learned counsel for the respondents, who may file counter affidavit to the amended T.A. after serving its copy on the learned counsel for the applicant.

List this case on **19.09.2016** for orders before court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

Sry

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 174 of 2011

Chandra Bhushan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Raksha Mantri Appellate Committee & OthersBy Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

	ctitioner for Respondents		
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>15.07.2016</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, holding brief of Shri Rohit		
	Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma,		
	learned counsel for the respondents.		
	On call of the case, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh appears and		
	states that Shri Rohit Kumar is out of station and prays for adjournment		
	of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.		
	Having gone through the record, we find that the instant O.A. is		
	not admitted.		
	List this case for admission on 22	.08.2016 before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) Sry	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1466 of 2016

Layak Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	ctitioner for Respondents		
Registry			
	Onders of the Taile	1	
	Orders of the Tribu	inai	
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Meteon, Members (1)		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh on behalf of		
	respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown as counsel for		
	the respondents, when the case is listed next.		
	Present : Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant		
	and Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	M.A. No. 1466 of 2016		
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A.		
	As per stamp reporter's report, it is delaye	d by 04 months and 10 days	
	in the filing the O.A. Copy of this app	lication has been served on	
	learned standing counsel on 05.07.2016.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents p	orays for and is granted three	
	weeks' time to file objection to the app	olication for condonation of	
	delay.		
	List this case on 05.09.2016 for ord	ders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day		
	(L+C C Pll	/T	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)	
	SB		

[See rule 11(1)] **ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1476 of 2016

Shiv Kumar Verma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of t	the Tribunal	
Registry			
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma on behalf of		
	respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown as counsel for the		
	respondents, when the case is listed next.		
	Present : Shri V.A. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri		
	Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	M.A. No. 1476 of 2016		
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A. which		
	has been preferred by the applicant for grant of disability pension. First and		
	second appeals moved by the applicant have been rejected by the authority		
	concerned on 15.01.2014 and 11.03.2016 respectively. As such there is no		
	delay in filing the O.A. Accordingly this application is hereby rejected.		
	So far as O.A. is concerned, it has been moved with the prayer that the		
	rejection order of the disability pension as also of first and second appeal be		
	set aside and respondents be directed to grant disability pension to the		
	applicant and also rounding off of the disability pension. Copy of this		
	application has been served on learned standing counsel on 12.07.2016. Since		
	the matter relates to prayer having be	en made for grant of disability pension,	
	it seems to be genuine. Accordingly v	we admit this O.A.	
	Learned counsel for the respond	ents prays for and is granted six weeks'	
	time to file counter affidavit. Rejoind	der affidavit, if any may be filed within	
	two weeks thereafter.		
	List this case on 29.09.2016 for	r orders before court concerned having	
	jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)	
	SB		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 132 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 176 of 2014

Vijay Bahadur Chauhan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the			
Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	14.07.2016		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the		
	applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the		
	respondents.		
	Heard learned counsel for the applicant on this Execution		
	Application, which has been preferred by the applicant on account of		
	non compliance of judgment and order dated 09.03.2016 passed in		
	O.A. No. 176 of 2014. The matter pertains to grant of disability		
	pension to the applicant. Copy of this application has been served on		
	learned standing counsel on 05.04.2016.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three		
	weeks' time to obtain instructions and apprise the Court as to why and		
	under what circumstances, the aforesaid judgment and order has yet not		
	been implemented.		
	List this case on 14.09.2016 for orders before court concerned		
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1471 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1472 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 825 of 2010 Om Prakash Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry			
	Orders of the Tribunal		
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mate Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan,		
	Present: Shri P.N. Chaturve and Shri Shyam Singh, learned co Case transferred to Court No	•	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) Sry	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

Dy. No. 2553 of 2015

Ram Raj Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ctitioner for Respondents		
Notes of the			
Registry			
	Orders of the Trib	ounal	
	14.07.2016		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant states and he be granted three		
	weeks' further time to move application for condonation of delay. He		
	further submits that the application could not be filed as he was not		
	able to contact his client.		
	Accordingly three weeks' further time is granted to the applicant		
	for moving the application for condonatio	n of dely.	
	List this case on 15.09.2016 for or	rders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	Sry		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 739 of 2016

Ram Vishwas Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Col A.K. Srivastava (Retd), learned counsel for the		
	applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has been served		
	with a copy of objection against application for condonation of delay		
	by the respondents' counsel, today in the court. He further states that if the same is filed in the registry, he will be filing replication to the same		
	within two weeks.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to file the		
	objection in the registry by tomorrow.		
	List this case on 01.09.2016 for	orders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	Sry		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 772 of 2016

Mohan Chand Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the	Critioner for Respondents Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	14.07.2016		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A) Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents. On call of the case, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned standing counsel states that in this case Mr. Namit Sharma appears on behalf of the respondents, but today he is busy in High Court. He prays that the		
	instructions have been received and he be granted two weeks' further		
	time to file objection vis-à-vis the application for condonation of delay. Time prayed for is granted.		
	List this case on 06.09.2016 for orders before court concerned		
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	Sry		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 799 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Jeevan Chandra Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
<u> </u>	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	On call of the case, learned counsel for the respondents submits		
	that objection to the application for condonation of delay is ready an		
	the same will be filed within a week after serving its copy on the		
	applicant's counsel.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant states that after receiving copy		
	of the said objection, he will be filing replication to the same within		
	next ten days.		
	List this case on 07.09.2016 for	orders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1067 of 2016

Pratap Singh Negi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri D.S. Tiwari holding brief of Shri K.K. Singh Bisht,		
	learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Saxena, learned		
	counsel for the respondents.		
	Shri D.S. Tiwari states that Shri K.K. Singh Bisht has not come to		
	the Court as he is not feeling well and prays for adjournment of the		
	case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.		
	We have noticed that inspite of time having been granted to the		
	learned counsel for the respondents, no objection has been filed. Or		
	the request of learned counsel for the respondents, two weeks' further		
	time is granted to file objection to the application for condonation of		
	delay.		
	List this case on 08.09.2016 for orders before court concerned		
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1079 of 2016

Dhan Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent				
Notes of the				

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri D.S. Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents states that he will be filing	
	objection in the Registry during the course of day. Copy of the	
	application has been served on learned counsel for the applicant.	
	Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted ten days	
	time to file replication, if any.	
	List this case for orders on 17.08.2016 before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day, showing the name of Shri	
	Sunil Sharma as learned counsel for the respondents.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) Sry	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 176 of 2015 with M.A. No. 740 of 2016

Ganesh Prasad Kushwaha

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ctitioner for Respondents	
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	14.07.2016	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Me	· · ·
	Hon bie Li Gen Gyan Bhushan, Me	SHIDET (A)
	Present : Shri P.N. Chaturvedi,	learned counsel for the applicant
	and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	On call of the case, Shri Sidha	rth Dhaon states that Shri Namit
	Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents is busy in High Court and	
	not been able to come to the Court. He prays for and is granted ten	
	days to file objection on amendment application.	
	Time prayed for is granted.	
	List this case on 23.08.2016 for	or orders before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that	ıt day.
	(LC C PL L)	/T / A1 1 1 N / A
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
ĺ	Sry	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 214 of 2015

Mritunjay Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	14.07.2016		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Shri Sidharth Dhaon, learned counse	l for the respondents.	
	On call of the case, Shri Sidhar	th Dhaon, learned standing counsel	
	appears and states that the second	appeal of the applicant has been	
	rejected vide order dated 22.04.2016	, but the same is not on record.	
	Learned counsel for the application	ant submits that since copy of the	
	order rejecting his second appeal ha	as not been served, he is unable to	
	challenge the same before the court.		
	Learned standing counsel is dir	rected to bring on record the order	
	passed on the applicant's second appeal within ten days.		
	List this case on 11.08.2016 f	for orders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of th	at day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	Sry		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 319 of 2015 with M.A. No. 837 of 2016

Sanjay Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri V.A. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Misc. Application No. 837 of 2016	
	Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on	
record. M.A. is disposed of accordingly.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant prays or and is granted three	
	weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.	
	List this case on 24.08.2016 for orders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) Sry	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 323 of 2015

Pramod Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Shri Sidharth Dhaon, learned counsel	for the respondents.	
	On call of the case, Shri Sidhar	rth Dhaon states that Shri Namit	
	Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, who appears on behalf or respondents in this case, is busy in High Court and has not been able to		
	come to the Court. He further submits that counter affidavit is rea		
	and the same will be filed within three days after serving its copy or		
	the learned counsel for the applicant.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the event of		
	counter affidavit being filed, he will be filing rejoinder affidavit within		
	ten days, thereafter.		
	List this case on 05.09.2016 for	or orders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of tha	t day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) ukt	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 23 of 2016

Umendra Prasad Rai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned co	ounsel for the applicant
	and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counse	l for the respondents.
	On call of the case, learned counsel for the applicant submits that	
	he has received copy of the counter affidavit only today. He seeks two	
	weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.	
	Time prayed for is granted.	
	List this case for orders on 06.09.2016 before the court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Member (A) ukt	Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 37 of 2016

Shree Pal Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>14.07.2016</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Con Cyan Physhan, Mombar (A)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri Abhishek Singh, learned counsel for the applican		
	and Shri Sidharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	On call of the case, learned standing counsel states that in view o		
	order dated 06.04.2016, he has not received any instructions in regard		
	to decision taken by the competent authority on the first appear		
	preferred by the applicant. He prays that he be granted ten days' further		
	time to obtain necessary instructions and bring the fact on record		
	through affidavit.		
	Time prayed for is granted. Let the affidavit as aforesaid be filed		
	within ten days.		
	List this case for orders on 01.09.2016 before the court concerned		
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) ukt		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 305 of 2013

Dinesh Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of t	he Tribunal
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Matee Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, N	
	Present : Shri R. Chandra, lea	arned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel	for the respondents.
	Heard learned counsel for the p	parties.
	Arguments concluded.	
	Judgment reserved.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) ukt	Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 220 of 2015

Alam Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ctitioner for Respondents	
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	14.07.2016	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Memb	
	Present : Shri R. Chandra, learned	counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for th	ne respondents.
	Heard learned counsel for the partie	s at length.
	It comes out that in the instant case	the controversy inter-se parties
	has been concluded by this court vid	le judgment and order dated
	28.02.2013 in T.A. No. 1315 of 2010.	
	Further while hearing learned counsel for the parties, the learned	
	counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw the instant	
	petition and prays that the same be dismissed as withdrawn.	
	Accordingly, the instant O.A. is disa	missed as withdrawn.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	ukt	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 686 of 2010

Jagdish Prasad Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respon

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Office report dated 12.07.2016 indicates that notice issued to	
	PCDA (P) Allahabad has not been received served/unserved.	
	Shri Sunil Sharma learned standing counsel submits that he has	
	received instructions from PCDA (P) Allahabad and he requires some	
	more time to study the details provided, as such he prays that the matter	
	be adjourned to some next date.	
	As prayed, the case is adjourned. Let an affidavit be filed on	
	behalf of PCDA (P) Allahabad within three weeks and the concerned	
	officer dealing with the case from PCDA (P) Allahabad is also directed	
	to remain present on the next date to assist the court.	
	List this case on 25.08.2016 for hearing before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) ukt	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 266 of 2015

Hari Bahadur Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	14.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	While dictating the judgment, it came to our notice that the instant		
	Original Application was filed with the prayer for grant of disability		
	pension with effect from the date of discharge, i.e. 31.01.1992. In this		
	case, the applicant's claim for disability pension was rejected vide		
	order dated 13.08.2001 and the appeal filed against it was rejected vide		
	order dated 01.03.2002.		
	Thus, it seems that there is delay in filing the Original Application		
	as per provisions contained in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, as		
	such we restrain ourselves and direct the matter to be listed on		
	26.07.2016 for orders before the court concerned having jurisdiction as		
	per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen)		
	Member (A) Member (J) Sry		

Form No. 4 [See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 126 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 142 of 2013

Asha Ram Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Dy Legai I la	etitioner for Respondents
Notes of the	
Registry	

Orders of the Tribunal 13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A) Memo of appearance filed by Shri A.K. Sahu on behalf of respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown as counsel for the respondents, when the case is listed next. Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.K. Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents. Heard learned counsel for the applicant on this Execution Application, which has been filed on account of non implementation of the judgment and order dated 07.01.2016 passed in O.A. No. 142 of 2013 whereby this court while allowing the O.A. had directed the respondents to pay pension to the applicant with the salary drawn in the rank of Naib Subedar w.e.f. 01.01.2006 and arrears were also directed to be paid with effect from 01.01.2006. For implementation of the said judgment four months' time was granted to the respondents but it is sad part of affair that inspite of expiry of aforesaid period, the judgment has not been implemented. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to obtain instructions within a month and show cause as to why and under what circumstances the judgment and order has not been complied with. List this case on 21.09.2016 for orders before court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 128 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 210 of 2012

Alam Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal

Registry		
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (About Mateen)	
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Sha	ailendra Sharma Atal on
	behalf of respondents is taken on record. Le	et his name be shown as
	counsel for the respondents, when the case is l	isted next.
	Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh	, learned counsel for the
	applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal,	learned counsel for the
	respondents.	
	Heard learned counsel for the applicant a	as well as learned counsel
	for the respondents on this Execution App	lication, which has been
	preferred by the applicant with the grievand	ce that the judgment and
	order of this court passed in O.A. No. 210 of	f 2012 on 08.02.2016 has
	not been complied with inspite of three month	s' time having expired.
	Learned counsel for the respondents	s is directed to obtain
	instructions and show cause as to why and u	under what circumstances
	the aforesaid judgment and order has not been	complied with within the
	stipulated period granted by the court.	
	List this case on 02.09.2016 for orders	s before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) Sry	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 129 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 39 of 2013

Chandan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry Orders of the Tribunal
--

13.07.2016

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)

Memo of appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma on behalf of respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown as counsel for the respondents, when the case is listed next.

Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned counsel for the respondents on this Execution Application, which has been preferred by the applicant on account of non compliance of judgment and order dated 18.02.2016 passed in T.A. No. 39 of 2013, whereby this Court while allowing the T.A. had set aside the orders dated 23.01.2003, 26.07.2008 and 05.03.2009 and had held that the applicant was entitled for disability pension @ 20% for life from the date his disability pension was stopped. In the light of the judgment of Hon'ble The Apex Court, his disability pension was rounded off to 50% and respondents were directed to pay the arrears of disability pension with interest @ 9% from the date disability pension was stopped till the date of actual payment. Three months' time was granted to the respondents for implementation of the judgment, but inspite of expiry of the aforesaid period, the judgment has yet not been implemented.

Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to obtain instructions within a month and to apprise the Court as to why and under what circumstances, the aforesaid judgment and order has yet not been implemented.

List this case on **02.09.2016** for orders before court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

Srx

Form No. 4 [See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 130 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 44 of 2013

Smt. Anita Kumari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the			
Registry			

Orders of the Tribunal
13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh on behalf
of respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown as counsel
for the respondents, when the case is listed next.
Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the
applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the
respondents.
Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned counsel
for the respondents on this Execution Application, which has been
preferred by the applicant on account of non implementation of
judgment and order dated 18.09.2015 passed in T.A. No. 44 of 2013.
Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to obtain
instructions within a month and to apprise the Court as to why and
under what circumstances, the aforesaid judgment and order has not yet
been implemented.
List this case on 02.09.2016 for orders before court concerned
having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.
(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) SB

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 131 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 69 of 2014

Adi Shankar Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	<u>l</u>		
Notes of the			
Registry			

Orders of the Tribunal

13.07.2016

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)

Memo of appearance filed by Shri Sidharth Dhaon on behalf of respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown as counsel for the respondents, when the case is listed next.

Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Sidharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned counsel for the respondents on this Execution Application, which has been preferred by the applicant on account of non implementation of judgment and order dated 11.02.2016 passed in O.A. No. 69 of 2014. The matter relates to grant of disability pension. It is sad part of affair that time granted for implementation of the judgment having expired, the same has not yet been implemented.

Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to obtain instructions within a month and to apprise the Court as to why and under what circumstances, the aforesaid judgment and order has not yet been implemented.

List this case on **02.09.2016** for orders before court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 63 of 2013 Inre O.A. No. 179 of 2012

Ram Kishore Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant	
	and Shri A.K. Singh, learned counsel for the respondents duly assisted	
	by Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative.	
	As prayed by the learned counsel for the respondents, list this case	
	on 03.08.2016 for orders before court concerned having jurisdiction as	
	per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) Sry	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 388 of 2016 with M.A. No. 998 of 2016, M.A. No. 1085 of 2016
Rama Shankar Bharti
Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & OthersBy Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri V.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the	e applicant and
	Shri A.K. Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	On call of the case, learned counsel for the applicar	nt states that he
	be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh.	
	As prayed, Original Application along with a	application for
	condonation of delay is dismissed as withdrawn with	liberty to the
	applicant to file a fresh, if he is so advised.	
	_ ` ` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Abdul Mateen) ber (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 389 of 2016 with M.A. No. 709 of 2016

Chandra SinghBy Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the T	ribunal
Kegisti y		
	13.07.2016	4 1 (5)
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, M Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Men	
		· ·-
	Present : Shri V.K. Pandey, learne	ed counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned coun	isel for the respondents.
	On call of the case, learned counse	el for the applicant states that he
	be granted two weeks time to file replication to the objection filed	
	against the application for condonation of delay.	
	Time prayed for is granted.	
	List this case on 22.08.2016 for orders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that	day.
	(I + C - n C Dlln)	(Torrige Albert M. (
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)
	SB	Wenter (3)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 754 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Bishambhar Nath Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribuna	l
Registry		
	<u>13.07.2016</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Memb	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (2	<u>A)</u>
	Present : Shri Vinay Pandey, learned cou	unsel for the applicant and
	Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the re	espondents.
	Learned counsel for the respondents su	ibmits that he has served
	copy of objection against the application for	condonation of delay on
	the learned counsel for the applicant who has	s received the same in the
	Court. Learned counsel for the respondents s	hall file the said objection
	in the Registry by tomorrow.	
	Learned counsel for the applicant pray	rs for and is granted two
	weeks' time to file replication to the same.	
	List this case on 23.08.2016 for order	s before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(I t Can Gyan Rhushan)	(Justice Abdul Meteon)
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)
	Sry	1410111001 (3)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 851 of 2016

Rajiv TandonBy Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	(<u>J)</u>
	Present : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, learned co	ounsel for the applicant
	and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel	for the respondents.
	Heard the learned counsel for the parties.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays	for and is granted three
	weeks' time to file objection on the application for condonation of	
	delay.	
	List this case for orders on 25.08.2016 before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) Sry	Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW M.A. No.1057 of 2016

Mohan Ram Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the	Tribunal
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri Shailendra Kuma	ar Singh, learned counsel for the
	applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar	Singh, learned counsel for the
	respondents.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents submits that objection on the	
	application for condonation of delay is ready and he will be filing the	
	same in the Registry within a week.	
	In case the objection is filed as undertaken, learned counsel for the	
	applicant shall file replication to the same, within ten days thereafter.	
	List this case on 16.08.2016 for	or orders before court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) Sry	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 315 of 2011

Triveni Prasad Shukla

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ectitioner for Respondents	
Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>13.07.2016</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen,	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Me	ember (A)
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, learn	ned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel fo	or the respondents.
	Learned counsel for the applicant	nt prays that matter be adjourned
	today to enable him to file affidavit.	
	As prayed, the matter is adjourned.	
	List this case on 19.08.2016 for orders before court concerned	
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	Sry	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 278 of 2014 with M.A. No. 861 of 2016

Sanil Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

	ortitioner for Respondents	and.
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	13.07.2016	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Mei	nber (J)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Membe	
	Present : Shri Rohit Kumar, learned	counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel	for the respondents.
	Learned counsel for the applicant sub	mits that the grievance raised
	by the applicant in this application h	has been redressed by the
	respondents and the applicant has received	copy of the PPO filed by the
	respondents and thus, the application ha	s been rendered infructuous
	and accordingly, it be dismissed as such.	
	In view of the aforesaid statement ma	de by the learned counsel for
	the applicant, the instant Original Applic	ation is dismissed as having
	been rendered infructuous.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	ukt	Wiemoer (3)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 53 of 2016

Surendra Singh Sajwan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Present : Ms. Mayuri Sajwan, learned counsel for the applicant
	and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	Matter pertains with the prayer having been made that the
	respondents be commanded to extend the benefit of rounding off of
	disability pension from 20% to 50% as per provisions of Govt of India,
	Ministry of Defence letter dated 31.01.2001 as well as law laid down
	by Hon'ble Apex Court.
	From perusal of record, it comes out that the applicant was
	granted disability pension @ 20% for life, as such now prayer has been
	made that the same be rounding off to 50%.
	We find force in the submission made on behalf of the applicant.
	Accordingly we Admit this petition.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks' time to file counter affidavit.
	List this case for orders on 19.09.2016 before the court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) ukt

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 120 of 2016

Applicant

Raj Naik SinghBy Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Present : Ms. Mayuri Sajwan, learned counsel for the applicant
	and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	Matter pertains with the prayer having been made that the
	respondents be commanded to extend the benefit of rounding off of
	disability pension from 20% to 50% as per provisions of Govt of India,
	Ministry of Defence letter dated 31.01.2001 as well as law laid down
	by Hon'ble Apex Court.
	From perusal of record, it comes out that the applicant was
	granted disability pension @ 20% for life, as such now prayer has been
	made that the same be rounding off to 50%.
	We find force in the submission made on behalf of the applicant.
	Accordingly we Admit this petition.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks' time to file counter affidavit.
	List this case for orders on 26.09.2016 before the court concerned
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) ukt

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 178 of 2009

Sri Ram Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ctitioner for Respondents		
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	42 OF 2047		
	<u>13.07.2016</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, holding brief of Shri S.P. Singh		
	learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned		
	counsel for the respondents.		
	Shri V.P. Pandey submits that Shri S.P. Singh is not available		
	today and prays for adjournment of the case.		
	Accordingly, the case is adjourned.		
	List this case for orders on 22.08.2016 before the court concerned		
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)		
	ukt		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 266 of 2015

Hari Bahadur Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of	the Tribunal
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mater Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan,	
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, lo	earned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned co	ounsel for the respondents.
	Heard learned counsel for the	parties.
	Arguments concluded.	
	Judgment reserved.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) ukt	Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 4 of 2011

Dinesh Chandra Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri K.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	As prayed by the learned counsel for the applicant, list this case	
	for hearing on 02.08.2016 before the court concerned having	
	jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen)	
	Member (A) Member (J) ukt	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 11 of 2014

Ayodhya Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	13.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri R.K. Dixit, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Slhri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents. Heard learned counsel for the applicant on this case, which has		
	been received by way of transfer from High Court of Judicature at		
	Allahabad, Lucknow Bench and renumbered as T.A. No. 11 of 2014.		
	In this T.A., the applicant has prayed for grant of disability pension which has been denied by the respondents on the ground that		
	the injury sustained by the applicant was neither attributable to nor		
	aggravated by military service. Question that arises for consideration is as to whether injury		
	sustained by the applicant was attributable to and aggravated by		
	military service or not? Accordingly, we admit this petition.		
	Since counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged, list		
	this case for hearing on 09.09.2016 before the court concerned having invisdiction as per roster of that day		
	jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) ukt		

Form No. 4 [See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 125 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 220 of 2013

Sabhajeet Dubey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ctitioner for Respondents		
Notes of the Registry			
	Orders of the Tribunal		
	12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal on		
	behalf of respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown as		
	counsel for the respondents, when the case is	is listed next.	
	Present : Shri P.K. Shukla, learned co	ounsel for the applicant and	
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counse	el for the respondents.	
	Heard learned counsel for the applicant	nt on this application which	
	has been filed for execution of judgment and order dated 23.11.2015		
	passed in O.A. No. 220 of 2013 with the grievance that inspite of		
	judgment having been rendered as far back as on 23.11.2015 while		
	granting time of three months to comply with the judgment and order		
	of this court, but the same has not been	implemented. Copy of the	
	application had been served on learned counsel for the respondents on		
	08.07.2016.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents pr	rays for and is granted three	
	weeks' time to obtain instructions and to file affidavit of compliance		
	stating as to why and under what circumstances, the aforesaid judgment		
	and order has not yet been implemented.		
	List this case on 09.09.2016 for orders before court concerned		
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1437 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1438 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 104 of 2014

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Present : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the
	applicants and none for the respondent.
	M.A. No. 1437 of 2016
	This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the
	application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 200°
	for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated
	18.11.2015, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 104 of 2014.
	As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 06 months and 18
	days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the
	Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave
	to appeal shall be made for approaching the Hon'ble Supreme Cour
	within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the
	Tribunal. Apart from it, the grounds taken in the affidavit filed in
	support of the application are general in nature and not in consonance
	with the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Office o
	the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India
	Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the
	Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:
	"Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as a
	anticipated benefit for government departments" and since "the claim of
	account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of
	making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologie
	being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybod
	including the Government."
	The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under:
	"Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with th
	issues involved including the prescribed period of limitationThe
	cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when th Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with cour
	proceedings".
	In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in th
	aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot b
	entertained and it is hereby rejected.
	M.A. No 1438 of 2016

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces

Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also rejected.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 1449 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1450 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 88 of 2011

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Kailash Chandra Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal 12.07.2016	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicants and	

none for the respondent.

M.A. No. 1449 of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 18.05.2016, passed by this Court in T.A. No. 88 of 2011.

As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 19 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal **shall** be made for approaching the Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, the grounds taken in the affidavit filed in support of the application are general in nature and not in consonance with the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another** reported in **2012 STPL** (**LE) 46200 SC** in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:

"Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments" and since "the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government."

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under:

"Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitationThey cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings".

In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 1450 of 2016

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces

Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also rejected.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

Form No. 4 [See rule 11(1)] **ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Versus

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 30 of 2015 with M.A. No. 1107 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 624 of 2010 Smt. Anshu Chauhan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	12.07.2016		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri A.S. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr.		
	Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents duly assisted by Lt		
	Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative.		
	Heard learned counsel for the applicant on this application which has		
	been filed with the grievance that judgment and order of this court passed in		
	T.A. No. 624 of 2010 on 08.02.2012 has not been implemented.		
	Directions were issued to the respondents for which an affidavit of		
	compliance has been filed vide Application No. 1107 of 2016 indicating that		
	pension due to the applicant is now being paid regularly.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Bank vide its letter dated		
	20.08.2007 had deducted an amount of Rs 48,839/- which has not been paid		
	back to him, to which the learned counsel for the respondents states that Air		
	Headquarters has not deducted any amount from the applicant, rather it is		
	State Bank of India, Amroha (J.P. Nagar) U.P. who has deducted the same.		
	Let notice be issued to the Branch Manager, State Bank of India,		
	Amroha (J.P. Nagar) U.P. through Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amroha, asking		
	him apprise the court as to why and under what circumstances the aforesaid		
	amount has been deducted from the pension of the applicant for which the		
	applicant is entitled and how an amount of Rs, 38,705/- is still to be		
	recovered from the applicant. He should file an affidavit before the court by		
	the next date.		
	Let Senior Registrar of the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad be informed		
	that notice has to be issued to Branch Manager State Bank of India, Amroha		
	(J.P. Nagar) U.P. through CJM Amroha.		
	List this case on 20.09.2016 for orders before court concerned having		
	jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)		
	Sry		

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

EX.A. No. 43 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 423 of 2012

Ajay Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A) Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents and Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well learned counsel for the respondents duly assisted by Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative, on this Execution Application. It is painful to indicate that despite the judgment having been passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.	By Legal Pra Notes of the Registry	Ctitioner for Respondents Orders of the Tribunal		
Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents and Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well learned counsel for the respondents duly assisted by Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative, on this Execution Application. It is painful to indicate that despite the judgment having been passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)		
Prasad, Departmental Representative. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well learned counsel for the respondents duly assisted by Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative, on this Execution Application. It is painful to indicate that despite the judgment having been passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Present : Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and		
Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well learned counsel for the respondents duly assisted by Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative, on this Execution Application. It is painful to indicate that despite the judgment having been passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents and Lt Priya		
the respondents duly assisted by Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental Representative, on this Execution Application. It is painful to indicate that despite the judgment having been passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Prasad, Departmental Representative.		
Representative, on this Execution Application. It is painful to indicate that despite the judgment having been passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well learned counsel for		
It is painful to indicate that despite the judgment having been passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		the respondents duly assisted by Lt Priya Prasad, Departmental		
passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Representative, on this Execution Application.		
been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on 20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		It is painful to indicate that despite the judgment having been		
20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		passed in O.A. No. 423 of 2012 as far back as on 17.09.2015 has not		
implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		been implemented within the stipulated time. While passing order on		
should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		20.05.2016, it was clarified that if the judgment and order was not		
been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		implemented by today, the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi		
present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		should appear to show cause, but neither the aforesaid judgment has		
Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		been implemented nor the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is		
placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		present, rather a letter from DGMS (Army), AG's Branch, Integrated		
implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D) (Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Headquarters of MoD (Army), New Delhi dated 11.07.2016 has been		
(Pension/Legal). Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		placed before the Court, wherefrom it comes out that the matter for		
Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		implementation of judgment is still pending with MoD/D)		
Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		(Pension/Legal).		
cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Let a fresh notice be issued to Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal),		
under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Delhi, asking him to appear before this Court on 19.08.2016 and show		
cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his salary. Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him		
Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		under Section 19 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and heavy		
Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		cost be not imposed against him, which should be debited from his		
notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		salary.		
them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		Let this order as well as order dated 20.05.2016 be brought to the		
is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		notice of the Chief of Army Staff and the Defence Secretary, informing		
and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		them the manner in which the Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi		
errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		is functioning. It is expected from the side of the Chief of Army Staff		
errant and irresponsible Director, MoD/D (Pension/Legal), Delhi.		and Defence Secretary that necessary action will be taken against the		
The Registrar is directed to get copies of this order as well as				
order dated 20.05.2016 despatched to the Chief of Army Staff and the				
Defence Secretary, within two days.				
List this case on 19.08.2016 for orders before the court concerned				
having jurisdiction as per roster of that day, showing the name of Dr.				

Gyan Singh as counsel for the respondents.	
(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) Sry	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

M.A. No. 222 of 2016 with M.A. No. 603 of 2016, M.A. No. 1084 of 2016

B.B.S. Chauhan Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ia & Others Respondents Respondents
Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
	Present : Shri V.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Sh
	Virendra Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties on this application for condonatio
	of delay in the filing the O.A. which relates to the prayer having been made
	for grant of disability pension to the applicant.
	Admittedly, the applicant has not preferred any appeal to the authorit
	concerned, rather several representations were made by him to the authorit
	concerned, which have not been decided.
	Since the matter pertains to the claim having been made for grant of
	disability pension, which has been rejected by the PCDA (P), Allahabad vic
	order dated 30.05.2011 and communicated to the applicant vide letter date
	10.06.2011.
	It is admitted by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant
	has not preferred any statutory appeal provided in the Act to the authorit
	concerned claiming disability pension.
	The applicant has not availed of the alternative remedy as available
	him which is a prerequisite condition before filing the O.A. Therefore, with
	the consent of the parties, we dispose of the O.A. along with the application
	for condonation of delay with direction to the applicant that if the appeal
	preferred within three weeks from today, the same shall be entertained by the
	appellate authority, and decided by means of a reasoned and speaking order
	after taking into consideration the judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court in the
	case of Dharamvir Singh vs. Union of India & others reported in (2013)
	SC 316, Sukhvinder Singh Vs. Union of India reported in (2014) STP
	(WEB) 468 SC and Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors (Civ
	Appeal No. 418 of 2012 dated 10 th December 2014) within three month
	from the date of its filing along with a certified copy of this order.

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

(Justice Abdul Mateen)

Member (J)

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)

Member (A)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A. No. 387 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Puran Chander

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the	Tribunal	
	12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey holding brief of Shri K.K. Singh Bisht,		
	learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal,		
	learned counsel for the respondents.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted ten		
	days further time to file objection on the application for condonation of		
	delay.		
	List this case on 10.08.2016 for orders before court concerned		
	having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	

Form No. 4 [See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

M.A. No. 551 of 2016 with M.A. No. 813 of 2016

Laxman Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present : Shri V.P. Pandey holding brief of Shri K.K. Singh Bisht	
	learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal	
	learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Misc. Application No. 551 of 2016	
	On call of the case, Shri V.P. Pandey argued the matter in	
	response to the application for condonation of delay, learned counsel	
	for the respondents has filed objection vide application No.813 of	
	2016.	
	Heard the learned counsel for the parties on the application for	
	condonation of delay.	
	Matter pertains to grant of pensionary benefits to the applicant.	
	who had been granted the rank of Hony Naib Subedar, wherein the	
	controversy in question has been decided by this Court in many such	
	cases.	
	After going through the reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in	
	support of the application, we find that the delay of 06 years, 02	
	months and 25 days, as reported by the stamp reporter, is genuine.	
	Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the	
	O.A. is condoned.	
	Let the O.A. be registered and list for admission on 10.08.2016	
	before court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) Sry	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW O.A. No. 81 of 2016

Mahendra Sharma Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of th	e Tribunal	
	12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri R. Chandra, lear	rned counsel for the applicant and	
	Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	Learned counsel for the respon	dents submits that although she is	
	in receipt of instructions, but counter affidavit could not be filed. She		
	prays that only a week's time be gran	nted for this purpose.	
	Time prayed for is granted.		
	List this case on 20.07.2016 for orders before court concerned		
	having jurisdiction as per roster of th	at day.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) Sry	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 138 of 2010

Lal Chandra Dubey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	ctitioner for Respondents	T. A	
Notes of the	Orders of the	Tribunal	
Registry			
	12.07.2016		
		Marshau (I)	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen,		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Mei	mber (A)	
	Present : Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, l	earned counsel for the applicant	
	and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	On call of the case, learned couns	sel for the applicant states that he	
	requires two weeks' more time to	comply with the order dated	
	04.05.2016.		
	Time prayed for is granted.		
	List this case on 17.08.2016 for fu	arther hearing.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice Abdul Mateen)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	ukt	,	

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

O.A. No. 198 of 2015

S.N. Pandey Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tri	ibunal
	12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, M Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Memb	
	Present : Shri Abhishak Singh, lea	arned counsel for the applicant
	and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.	
As ordered vide order dated 01.04.2016, learned standing		2016, learned standing counsel
	has not been able to produce the relevan	nt original record pertaining to
	the case. He prays that four weeks' further time be granted to his this purpose.	
	Time prayed for is granted.	
	List this case for hearing on	22.08.2016 before the court
	concerned having jurisdiction as per roste	er of that day.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) ukt	(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

T.A. No. 1224 of 2010

Ravindra Nath Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	10.00000		
	12.07.2016 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the		
	applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the		
	respondents.		
	Learned standing counsel submits that by mistake the record of		
	this case had been transferred to Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional		
	Bench, Jabalpur, so in order to get the record back, he prays that the		
	matter be listed after a month.		
	As prayed, list this case for hearing on 18.08.2016 before the court		
	concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that day.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice Abdul Mateen)		
	Member (A) Member (J)		
	ukt		