

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A No. 1155 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1156 of 2017
(Inre : T.A. No. 1285 of 2010)

Rakesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Present: Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 1155 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the recall application for recall of the order dated 16.09.2016 passed by the Tribunal dismissing M.A.Nos.1604 and 1605 of 2016 for non prosecution, which as per office report is delayed by 08 months and 29 days.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file the objection to the application for condonation of delay for recall of order.</p> <p>List on 11.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A No. 986 of 2013

Smt Meera Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Today is the date fixed for filing replication to the objection filed by the learned counsel for the respondents on the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has not yet received the copy of the said objection. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he will provide the copy of the objection to the learned counsel for the applicant during the course of the day.</p> <p>Let the replication to the objection be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant by the next date.</p> <p>List on 17.08.2017 for orders on the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A No. 745 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1730 of 2016

Munisha Devi

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Today is the date fixed for filing replication to the objection filed by the learned counsel for the respondents on the application for condonation of delay, but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>A mention has been made on behalf of the learned counsel for the applicant to adjourn the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, in the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List on 18.08.2017 for orders on the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>In the mean time, replication to the objection may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**M.A. No 946 of 2017 With M.A. No 947 of 2017 Inre M.A. No 2395 of 2016
& M.A. No 2396 of 2016 Inre O.A. No 49 of 2010**

Asha Devi

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No 946 of 2017 With M.A. No 947 of 2017</u></p> <p>Case called out. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing restoration application. Learned counsel for the respondents submits he does not intend to file objection on application for condonation of delay in filing restoration application because the case was dismissed for non prosecution due to illness of learned counsel for the applicant. The reasons given in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay in filing restoration application seem to be genuine.</p> <p>Application for condonation is allowed and delay in filing restoration application is condoned.</p> <p>Since delay has been condoned, restoration application is allowed and the Original Application is restored to its original number.</p> <p>Pleadings have been exchanged.</p> <p>List this case on 26.07.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 290 of 2015

Kaptan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri S.K. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Case called out. Today the case was listed for filing supplementary rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on 18.08.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 17 of 2010

Smt. Suman Devi & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Case called out. Vide order sheet dated 28.04.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner was ordered to file amended copy of the T.A. but the same was not filed hence the case was dismissed. On 11.05.2017 amended copy of the T.A. was filed by learned counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Today, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two days time to file restoration application.</p> <p>List this case on 25.07.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 573 of 2010 with M.A. No 1394 of 2016

Satyendra Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On 29.05.2017, it was informed by learned counsel for the respondents that the case of co-accused Major H.S. Aulakh had been dismissed in default by the Principal Bench, AFT Delhi on 20.05.2010.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he searched the website of the Principal Bench, Delhi but he did not find the said order of dismissal.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file additional affidavit to this effect.</p> <p>List this case on 01.08.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A No. 1891 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Shobha Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1891 of 2016</u></p> <p>This case is listed today for filing objection against the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Dr Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents submits that he does not want to file objection on the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on the application for condonation of delay and we find that the reasons mentioned in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of delay is genuine. Accordingly, the aforesaid application is allowed and the delay in filing the O.A. is hereby condoned.</p> <p>Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit case for admission.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Let the case be registered as Original application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks', thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 01.09.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A. No. 2211 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Shankar Dutt Bhatt
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	OrderRs of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Today is the date fixed for filing replication to the objection filed by learned counsel for the respondents but he could not file the same.</p> <p>Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a weeks' time to file replication to the objection against the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>List on 25.07.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 279 of 2013

Manoj Kumar Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Case called out. Learned counsel for the applicant has made a prayer for providing copy of Court of Inquiry and Summary of Evidence which had already been provided to him but the same have been misplaced. In the interest of justice, we direct learned counsel for the respondents to provide the same by the next date.</p> <p>List this case on 30.08.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 349 of 2010

Ramayan Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Case called out. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file additional rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on 02.08.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

Vijay Keshav Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Case called out. On perusal of record, it transpires that the case has not been admitted. Apart from it, delay in filing Original Application has not yet been condoned. Vide order sheet dated 23.05.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner was ordered to file replication to objection on application for condonation of delay but the same has not yet been filed by him.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted a weeks' further time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>List this case on 03.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 10 of 2016

Yogendra Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Case called out. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on 04.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

EX.-A. No. 69 of 2017
(In Ref : Inre T.A No 72 of 2012)

Ugra Sen Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr.S N Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This is a part-heard matter of the Bench comprising of Hon'ble Mr.Justice D.P.Singh, Member (J) and Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A).</p> <p>Let it be listed on 20.07.2017 for orders before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A. No. 255 of 2017
(Inre O.A No. Nil of 2017)

Sanjay Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	OrderRs of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate holding brief of Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate holding brief of Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, in the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List this case on 01.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

O.A No. 189 of 2010 with M.A. No. 107 of 2012

Shiv Kumar Joshi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	OrdeRrs of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Col (Retd) RN Singh and Col (Retd) Rakesh Johri, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments were heard partly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to file synopsis of his arguments within ten days from today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 01.08.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

DY-No. 593 of 2017
(Inre O.A No. Nil of 2017)

Amit Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	OrderRs of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: None appears for the applicant. Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The case is listed for removing the defects as raised by the Registry, but the same has not yet been rectified.</p> <p>In the interest of justice, list this case on 10.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

O.A No. 231 of 2015

Guptesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	OrdeRrs of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri S Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The case is listed for further hearing and it is a part-heard matter of the Bench comprising of Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) and Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Gyan Bhushan, Member (A).</p> <p>Let it be listed on 28.07.2017 for further hearing before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>On the date fixed, parties shall submit compilation of case law and chart of events.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

O.A No. 106 of 2013

Mahabir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	OrdeRrs of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit kumar, Advocate, holding brief of Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Siddharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by Shri Siddharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents, in Court, today is taken on record.</p> <p>Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate submits that Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant has not come to the Court being out of station and prays for adjournment.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on 24.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 1127 of 2017 With M.A. No. 1128 of 2017
Inre: O.A. No. 252 of 2016

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Nar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the applicant alongwith Maj Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1127 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 22.05.2017 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 252 of 2016.</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 19 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for grant of leave to appeal do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :</p>

“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitationThey cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.

In view of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 1128 of 2017

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)
Member (A)

RS/-

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2**M.A. No. 1129 of 2017 With M.A. No. 1130 of 2017****Inre: T.A. No. 31 of 2013****Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioners

Petitioners

Versus

Chandrabhan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner alongwith Maj Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1129 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 08.05.2017 passed by this Court in T.A. No. 31 of 2013.</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 01 month & 03 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for grant of leave to appeal do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”</i></p> <p>The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :</p>

“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitationThey cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.

In view of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 1130 of 2017

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the petitioner beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)
Member (A)

RS/-

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 258 of 2016

Smt. Asha Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on 11.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 292 of 2016

Shaik Shabber

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri G.K. Gaur, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 13.09.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 128 of 2017 with M.A. No. 244 of 2016

Suraj Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri S.K. Pathak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>List this case on 09.10.2017 for hearing before appropriate Bench.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**M.A. No. 401 of 2017 with R.A. No. 7 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. 15 of 2016 (Connected with EX
A 4 of 2017)**

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Zile Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri R.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the respondent.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicants, four weeks time is granted to file counter affidavit to the Original Application. Four weeks, time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.</p> <p>List this case on 11.10.2017 for hearing before appropriate Bench.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 259 of 2013 with M.A. No. 795 of 2017

Chun Bahadur
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on 18.08.2017 for hearing to enable learned counsel for the applicant to prepare the case.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 23 of 2016

Shyam Sunder Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As agreed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on 10.08.2017 for hearing to enable him to prepare the case.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 125 of 2013

Abhishek Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list this case on 21.07.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 311 of 2015 with M.A. No. 154 of 2016

Nirma Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chattarjee, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on 13.09.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 38 of 2012

Subhash Chandra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate submits that learned counsel for the applicant does not intend to file any rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, list this case on 10.08.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A. No. 2154 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2460 of 2016

Sahamatul Nisha
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Ram Dheeraj Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week's time to file reply to the objection filed by the learned counsel for the respondents on the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>List this case on 31.07.2017 for order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A. No. 36 of 2017
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017)

Smt Kamil Nisa
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Objection to the application for condonation of delay filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate submits that Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant is reported to be out of station and prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List this case on 11.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

M.A. No. 2668 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 219 of 2014)

Chandrabhan Singh & Another
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Pankaj Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Let a notice be issued to Smt. Sonika Singh wife of Shri Chetan Singh resident of Param Hans Colony, Nilmatha Cantt, Lucknow (widow of Late Ashutosh Singh No.15344584 Sapper), respondent no.5 by speed registered post as well as through Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned returnable by the next date fixed.</p> <p>List this case on 09.10.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

EX-A. No. 107 of 2017
(Inre: O.A. No. 211 of 2010)

Shyam Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Present: Shri VA Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List after four weeks to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to show cause as to why the order of the Tribunal dated 02nd March 2017 passed in O.A. No. 211 of 2010 has not been complied with in its letter and spirit.</p> <p>List this case on 31.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

O.A. No. 93 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1675 and 2401 of 2016 and M.A. No. 399 of 2017

Smt Shakuntala Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate, holding brief of Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate, holding brief of Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant submits that Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant has not come to the court being out of station and prays for some more time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>Accordingly, four weeks' time is allowed to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on 14.08.2017 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 86 of 2013 with M.A. No. 1849 of 2016

Smt. Jai Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: None for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List revised.</p> <p>No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, the case is dismissed for non prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court 2

O.A. No. 266 of 2012

Shubhash Chand
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, in Court, today is taken on record.</p> <p>List this case on 03.08.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, parties shall submit compilation of case law and chart of events.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**O.A. No. 241 of 2016 with M.A. No 152 of 2017
& M.A. No 903 of 2017**

Ranjeet Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No 152 of 2017</u></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p><u>M.A. No 903 of 2017</u></p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Pleadings have been exchanged.</p> <p>List this case on 19.09.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) ukt</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 72 of 2013

Smt. Suman Devi

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list this case on 18.09.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case alongwith specific opinion of the medical board for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 41 of 2016

Smt Shakuntla Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Y.R. Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on 04.08.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 79 of 2016

Suman Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>Preliminary objection has been raised by learned counsel for the respondents that vide impugned order dated 07.05.2012 annexed alongwith Original Application as Annexure No A-1, family pension was rejected and applicant was advised to avail alternate remedy by filing appeal to competent authority but the applicant has not yet filed any appeal.</p> <p>Without entering into the merit of the case, the Original application is disposed of finally with the consent of learned counsel for the parties with direction to the applicant to file an appeal conveying all his grievances to the competent authority within a period of one month alongwith copy of this order. If he submits the same, competent authority shall decide his appeal in terms of law by a speaking and reasoned order and communicate the decision to the applicant within a period of four months from the date of filing the appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">rpm</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 327 of 2015

Arun Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on 18.09.2017 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm</p>