

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 236 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 590 of 2021

Ex. L/Nk. Sunil Kumar Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Respondents were directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of execution case, but affidavit has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of execution case failing which additional cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why properties of the respondents and salary of the responsible officer be not attached for compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. List on 22.03.2024.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex. A. No. 336 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 666 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Hira Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of execution case.4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them by the next date fixed.5. List on 26.04.2024.6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 22 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 830 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Ris. Pradeep Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents.2. Today Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.3. Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative submits that order under implementation has been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court on 05.02.2024.4. Respondents are directed to bring the stay order on record till next date of listing.5. List on 15.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 40 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 505 of 2019

Ex. L Nk. Mithlesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri S.S. Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order under implementation has been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court on 07.02.2024.4. Respondents are directed to bring stay order on record till next date of listing.5. List on 15.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 43 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 188 of 2020

Ex. Rect. Puran Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 27.10.2021.3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. It is also reported by the registry that total costs have not been deposited.5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that cost of Rs.10,000/- has been deposited.6. Affidavit of responsible has not been filed.7. Issue notice to the respondents to show cause as to why in exercise of powers under Order 21 C.P.C. attachment of property be not issued against the respondents.8. List on 26.04.2024.9. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 44 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 326 of 2022

Ex. Ris. Satendra Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Status report of the execution proceedings filed by the respondents is taken on record.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. List on 17.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 145 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 655 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Maj. (Hony. Lt.) Vinod Kumar Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order in implementation has been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court on 19.12.2023.4. Respondents are directed to bring the stay order on record by the next date fixed.5. List on 17.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 477 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 11 of 2023

JWO Manoj Kumar Jaiswal (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order has been corrected by the order of this Tribunal on 20.02.2024.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 20.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

M.A. No. 136 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 176 of 2023

Lt. Col. Sushil Kumar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 136 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. This is modification application.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw the modification application with liberty to file afresh.4. Applicant is permitted to withdraw the modification application with liberty to file afresh.5. Modification application is disposed off accordingly. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 847 of 2022

Ris. Sheo Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are present.2. Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj is also present.3. Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 are not present.4. Heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4.5. During the course of hearing it is pointed out by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that notice has not been served on respondents Nos. 5 and 6.6. Perusal of the order-sheet shows that notice was issued earlier to respondent Nos. 5 and 6 but service report is not available on record.7. Issue fresh notice to the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 returnable within four weeks.8. Applicant to take steps within a week.9. List on 03.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 180 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Surendra Kumar Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Today is last opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit, but Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time Rejoinder Affidavit failing which opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit shall stand closed.5. List on 04.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 474 of 2023

Sub. Major Sumer Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing =.3. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.4. Rejoinder Affidavit has also been filed by the applicant is taken on record.5. Pleadings are complete.6. List on 16.04.2024 for hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 763 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Kailash Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Today is last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit, but Counter Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has sent the Counter Affidavit for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time as second last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 18.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (SI. No. 14)

O.A. No. 910 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1181 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Ranjit Kumar Das Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate
Shri Karunanidhi Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed copy of rent agreement as a proof of residence.3. Since applicant is residing within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal, therefore, this Original Application is entertainable by this Tribunal.4. There is a delay of 05 years, 09 months and 21 days in filing of Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.5. Cause of delay mentioned in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are sufficient is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.6. Objection filed by the respondents is set aside. <p><u>O.A. No. 910 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.8. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.9. Matter needs adjudication.10. Admit.11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.12. List on 22.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 949 of 2023

Ex. WO Krishna Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.4. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks.5. List on 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 951 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Dileep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Praksh, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to Counter Affidavit.4. Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that his name is not reflecting in cause list. He submits that his name be also shown as Counsel for the applicant.5. Registry is directed to reflect the name of Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate also as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.6. List on 02.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1014 of 2023

Ex. Rfn. Maghanand

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that complete Original Application has not been provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents. One page is missing in Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he will provide the missing page within two weeks.5. List on 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1193 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Maj. Ashok Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have not been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 03.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1206 of 2023

Ex. Hav. (MACP Nb. Sub.) Patil Chandrakant Abhiman Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Md. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has not received para-wise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 07.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1213 of 2023

Ex. HFO Ashok Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.S. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has prepared the Counter Affidavit and he prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 19.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1277 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Virendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1398 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2026 of 2023

Sgt. Sayeed Khan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Gp. Capt. Y. Venugopal (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Objection against delay condonation application has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one week further time to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.5. List on 01.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 28 of 2024 with M.A. No. 31 of 2024

Hav/AA Bindu Mahesh Jaladi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh , Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing is present through Video Conferencing.3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.4. This Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-<ol style="list-style-type: none">(a) <i>Issue/pass an order setting aside the letter/order dated 19.03.2023 issued on behalf of the Commandant and OIC Records, Army Medical Corps Centre and College, Lucknow, rejecting the case of the applicant for premature discharge from service, after summoning the relevant original records.</i>(b) <i>Issue/pass an order directing the respondents to re-consider and grant the applicant premature discharge on own request with consequential service benefits from due date.</i>(c) <i>Issue/pass any other order or direction as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit in the circumstances of the case.</i>(d) <i>Allowing this Application with cost.</i>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection regarding Territorial Jurisdiction of this Tribunal. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that the applicant is permanent resident of Guntur, Andhra Pradesh and he was posted at Military Hospital, Golconda, as such this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application.6. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant was lastly posted at Army Medical Corps Records, Lucknow and the letter dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure A-6) with regard to pre-mature retirement from service

has been issued by the Army Medical Corps Record which is situated at Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, as such the cause of action partly arose at Lucknow, hence this Tribunal has territorial jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application.

7. Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both parties with regard to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal we find that the applicant the letter dated 18.04.2022 with regard to pre-mature retirement from service on compassionate ground, enclosed at Annexure A-6 of Original Application (page 32 and 33 of the Original Application), has been issued by the Army Medical Corps Record (respondent No. 3) which is situated at Lucknow, therefore, in view of Rule 6 (1) (ii) of Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 this Tribunal has territorial jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application. As such the Original Application is **maintainable**.

8. As per notes of the registry, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been filed which being not required is **dismissed**.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **22.05.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

R.A. No. 78 of 2023 with M.A. No. 174 of 2024 & M.A. No. 2088 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 686 of 2021

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex. HFO Man Bahadur Singh

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative for the applicants – Union of India & Others is present.2. Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is not present.3. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned for the day.4. List on 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

T.A. No. 54 of 2023

Sgt. Amit Kumar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing assisted by Gp. Capt. Amulya Dayal, Departmental Representative for the respondents.3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.4. Order reserved. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 227 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Ravendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative for the respondents is also present.4. Today is last opportunity to file Supplementary Counter Affidavit, but Supplementary Counter Affidavit has not been filed.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one week further time as one more last opportunity to file Supplementary Counter Affidavit failing which opportunity of respondents to file Supplementary Counter Affidavit shall stand closed. At the risk of respondents.6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.7. List on 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 634 of 2022

Rfn. Salim Ahmad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Madhulika Yadav, Advocate
Ms. Jyoti Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Amrendra Pratap, Advocate
Shri Ashish Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. None is present on behalf of the applicant.2. Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Applicant was directed to incorporate the amendment in Original Application within a week, but perusal of record shows that amendment has not been incorporated.4. Applicant is directed to incorporate the amendment within two weeks failing which this Original Application shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.5. List on 08.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 621 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Vijay Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate
Shri V.K. Chahar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.4. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.5. Original Application is allowed.6. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.7. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1060 of 2023

Ex. JWO Girish Chandra Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing assisted by Gp. Capt. Amulya Dayal, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>2. Original Application is partly allowed.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. SI. No.1)

O.A. No. 398 of 2023

Ex. Spr. Lakshman Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Order pronounced today in open court.2. Original Application is allowed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 223 of 2024 with M.A. No. 281 of 2024

Ex. Rect. Pawar Suresh Vishwambhar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anand Kumar, Advocate
Shri S.N. Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Anand Kumar and Shri S.N. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is delay of more than 18 years days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection against delay condonation application.6. List on 05.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 84 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 90 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Chandrama Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. It is fresh Execution Application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 22.05.2023 passed in Original Application No. 90 of 2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 22.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

M.A. No. 279 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 1053 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Dharmendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 279 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that in the order dated 12.02.2024 passed in Original Application No. 1053 of 2023 the name of the applicant has been wrongly typed which needs to be corrected.4. Upon hearing Ld. Counsel of both the parties we find that spelling of the applicant's name is incorrect which requires to be corrected. Accordingly, correction application is allowed.5. Let In the order dated 12.02.2024 passed in Original Application No.1053 of 2023 the name of the applicant be corrected as "DHARMENDRA KUMAR SINGH" in place of 'DHAREMDRA KUMAR SINGH" during the course of the day. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>