

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Diary No. 1549 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Maj. Singalata B. Gurvi Reddy Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : None

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Issue fresh notice to the applicant returnable within four weeks.4. List on 27.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Diary No. 233 of 2024

Sgt. Gaurav Awasthi (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Avind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to rectify the defect pointed out by the registry but defect has not been rectified.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to rectify the defect failing which this Original Application shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>4. List on 27.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 49 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 253 of 2019

Ex. Sgt. Dinesh Kumar Saxena Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Government Sanction has been accorded and PPO shall be issued shortly. He prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.</p> <p>4. List on 28.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 213 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 166 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ramesh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 74 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 589 of 2022

Ex. WO Shibi Prosad Bandyopadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which additional cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of execution case and cause of delay.5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.6. List on 24.04.2024.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 162 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 693 of 2022

Ex. Hav. (SHT) Ashok Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri D.K. Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing assisted by Major Danish Farooqi, Departmental Representative for the respondents.3. Respondents were directed to file affidavit of responsible officer but required affidavit has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are further directed to file affidavit of responsible regarding present status of execution case and cause of delay.6. List on 19.04.2024.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 333 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 497 of 2017

Smt. Satbiri Wife of Late Ajaipal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.3. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 is present through Video Conferencing assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 that they have complied with the order and now order has to be complied with by the respondent Nos.5 and 6.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 compliance has been processed and he will file affidavit of compliance within two weeks.6. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.7. List on 26.03.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 167 of 2022

Hony. Lt. Mahendra Nath Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. On previous date amendment application moved by the applicant was allowed and applicant was directed to incorporate the amendment in the Original Application but amendment has not been incorporated.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to incorporate the amendment in the Original Application and provide a copy of amended Original Application to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents may file Supplementary Affidavit thereafter within two weeks.6. List on 14.05.2024.7. Respondents are also directed to produce original documents pertaining to this case on the next date fixed for perusal of the Bench.8. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 403 of 2023

Ex. Rfn. Amit Kumar Bajpai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. In this case time was granted to the applicant to file Rejoinder Affidavit but Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit.5. List on 15.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 409 of 2023

Ex. Swr. Ankit Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate
Shri D.K. Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Major S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This Original Application is a part heard case of Bench consisting of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) and Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A).</p> <p>3. List on 18.04.2024 before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 561 of 2023

Ex. AC (U/T) Jai Kant Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were directed to file Supplementary Counter Affidavit to the amended portion of Original Application but Supplementary Counter Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file Supplementary Counter Affidavit, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 19.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 687 of 2023

Gp. Capt. Raj Narayan Chakraborty (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.G. Singh and Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.2. Applicant was directed to file Rejoinder Affidavit but Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.4. List on 15.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 884 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Subhash Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.5. Original Application is dismissed.6. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.7. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1030 of 2023

Ex. Nb. Sub. Raj Kumar Lawania Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is dismissed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1042 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Sanjeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.3. On previous date Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that para-wise comments have been received.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.5. List on 16.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1210 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Manish Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 06.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1211 of 2023

Ex. HFO Ram Laxhan Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.3. Original Application is partly allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1219 of 2023

Ex. WO Qadir Ali

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 13.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1220 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Ashish Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.5. List on 07.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1240 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1756 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Shyam Babu Roy Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the respondents but reply has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file reply.4. List on 18.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1424 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2154 of 2023

Sqn. Ldr. Subodh Dixit Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Advocate
Gp. Capt R.P. Singh (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2154 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Wg Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. This is amendment application moved by the applicant.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has no objection to the amendment application.4. Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties we find that the amendments sought for will not change the nature of the Original Application.5. Accordingly, amendment application is allowed.6. Applicant is directed to incorporate the amendment in the Original application within a week.7. List on 20.03.2024 for hearing on admission. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1444 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2092 of 2023

Ris. (ADT) Prabha Shankar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Diwakar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Anjani Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Rajneesh Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Jainendra Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Jainendra Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objections filed by the respondents are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 26.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 1462 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2116 of 2023

AC (UT) Vineet Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Objection on delay condonation application as well as on maintainability filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-<ol style="list-style-type: none">(i) <i>This Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to re-appoint the applicant by quashing the discharge order dated 13.10.2021.</i>(ii) <i>This Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be directed the opposite party to provide the marks obtained by the applicant, answer sheet and other documents.</i>(iii) <i>Pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case.</i>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 03 months and 13 days in filing of Original Application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the applicant has not filed any Statutory Complaint to redress his grievances, as such the applicant has approached this Tribunal without exhausting alternative remedies, hence, the Original Application is not maintainable. With regard to delay she submitted that the delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained by the applicant. The grounds are vague in nature and not supported by any existing law.6. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant was discharged from service on 10.10.2021 and cause of his discharge certificate was "<i>unlikely to make an efficient AIRMEN</i>". Nothing else has been told. After

discharge from service, for knowing his marks the applicant moved application under Right to Information Act and notice was also issued to the respondents but to no avail. In this Original Application relief has also been sought to provide the marks obtained to him and to provide answer sheet and other documents. With regard to delay it is submitted that the delay caused in filing of Original Application is neither deliberate nor intentional but for the reasons stated in affidavit.

7. Keeping in view the submissions made by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, at this stage the objection raised against the delay and not availing alternative remedy have no force. Original Application is entertainable for hearing on merit.

8. Accordingly, objections raised by the respondents are overruled.

9. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands disposed off

O.A. No. 1462 of 2023

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

13. List on **28.05.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 69 of 2024 with M.A. No. 80 of 2024

Col. Dilip Kumar D.S. Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Respondents were directed to file objection on delay condonation application as well as on maintainability of Original Application.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file objection.4. List on 23.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 71 of 2024 with M.A. No. 86 of 2024

Sep. Shyam Babu Prasad Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharmbeer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharambeer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>3. List on 23.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 175 of 2024

Sgt. Ashwani Kumar (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the application.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. List on 28.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 203 of 2024 with M.A. No. 263 of 2024

Sgt. Umesh Chandra Tarve Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. There is a delay of 03 years, 02 months and 14 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation has been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 203 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 28.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 204 of 2024

SDgt. Prabhat Gaur (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.4. Matter needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.7. List on 28.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

T.A. No. 08 of 2023

Satish Kumar S/o Late Hari Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ambika Prasad Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ambika Prasad Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file reply.</p> <p>3. List on 08.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 699 of 2023

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Shyam Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey and Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original Application is allowed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 224 of 2024 with M.A. No. 282 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Ram Naresh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 24 years and 11 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.6. List on 03.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 225 of 2024 with M.A. No. 283 of 2024

Ex. JWO Tejvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant , Advocate
Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N.Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Rama Kant and Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents t.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 26 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 225 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Leave Encashment of 300 days.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 21.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 285 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 745 of 2023

Maj. (MNS) Sunakshi Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that in the order dated 14.02.2024 passed in Original Application No. 745 of 2023 the name of second disease of the applicant has been wrongly typed which needs to be corrected.</p> <p>4. Upon hearing Ld. Counsel of both the parties we find that the name of second disease of the applicant name is incorrect which requires to be corrected. Accordingly, correction application is allowed.</p> <p>5. Let In the order dated 14.02.2024 passed in Original Application No.745 of 2023 the name of second disease of the applicant be corrected as "PRIMARY HYPOTHYROIDISM (ICD E-39)" in place of 'PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (ICD NO. I39)' during the course of the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 211 of 2024

Lt. Col. Nand Kishore Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. This Original Application has been filed to consider and issue fresh release date of the applicant.3. Matter needs adjudication.4. Admit.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.6. List on 28.05.2024. <p><u>Interim Relief</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">7. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties for the grant of interim relief for extension of service of the applicant till disposal of this Original Application.8. The extension of service of applicant has been refused for not meeting medical criteria.9. It is not disputed that applicant has been placed in Low Medical Category P4 (T-06) with effect from 18.07.2020, therefore, there is no sufficient ground to grant interim relief.10. Accordingly, prayer for grant of interim relief is rejected. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>