

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

Dairy No. 894 of 2024

Ex. Nb Sub Pradeep Kumar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. In this case, Registry has raised objection regarding illegibility of page Nos. 52 and 65.4. We perused the pages attached with the petition and objection raised by the Registry is over ruled. Registry is directed to register this case as Original Application.5. List on 08.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

Dairy No. 895 of 2024

Sub Unas Ali Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. In this case, Registry has raised objection regarding illegibility of some pages of the petition.4. We perused the pages attached with the petition and objection raised by the Registry is over ruled. Registry is directed to register this case as Original Application.5. List on 08.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A No. 339 of 2024 with M.A. No. 415 of 2024

Ex Sgt Prem Kant Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection with regard to territorial jurisdiction. He submits that this O.A is not entertainable on the ground that applicant is not ordinarily resident of Uttarakhand.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any.5. List on 22.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A No. 340 of 2024 with MA No. 416 of 2024

Ex Hav/Clk Surendra Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Wg. Cdr. SN Dwivedi (Redt.) Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is delay of more than 26 years in filing this O.A. Applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any.5. List on 23.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A No. 341 of 2024 with M.A. No. 417 of 2024

MWO Nanak Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents are present.3. This O.A. has been filed for grant of disability element of pension w.e.f. 01.07.2023 (next date of discharge) along with arrear and interest.4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on admission of O.A.5. Learned counsel for the respondents raised preliminary objection for not availing alternative remedy of appeal against rejection of disability vide order dated 03.01.2024 (Annexure-1).6. In reply, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that appeal has been filed on 31.08.2023, copy of which is annexed as Annexure A-3.7. Having perused the record it comes out that rejection order of disability element of pension was passed on 03.01.2024 and said appeal was preferred on 31.08.2023 i.e. prior to rejection order being passed. In the above scenario, the said appeal is not against rejection order but mere request/appeal for grant of disability element of pension.8. In true sense, alternative remedy has not been availed under Section 21 of Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 by filing appeal against rejection order.9. In view of the above, this O.A. is disposed off with direction that applicant may file fresh O.A. after availing alternative remedy by filing appeal against disability element rejection order dated 03.01.2024.10. In case appeal is filed by the applicant before appropriate authority against disability rejection order dated 03.01.2024, it shall be considered and decided by the authority within 03 months from the date of receipt of the appeal. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 344 of 2024 with M.A. No. 419 of 2024

Ex Sub Prakash Chandra Kandpal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri K.P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 19 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 344 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element to the applicant.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 26.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 346 of 2024 with M.A. No. 425 of 2024

Ex Sub Pandiyan S. Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri K.P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is no delay in filing this original application, however, delay condonation application has been moved by the applicant which is rejected as not required.4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element to the applicant.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 26.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 347 of 2024 with M.A. No. 424 of 2024

Air Cmde SP Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate
Gp Capt. Y Venugopal (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 01 year 06 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 347 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element to the applicant.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 29.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 348 of 2024

Ex Hav Niraj Kumar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element to the applicant.4. Case needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.7. List on 29.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 352 of 2024

Nk Rajendra Singh Dhami & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned.4. List this case for hearing on admission on 03.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 11)

Diary No. 507 of 2024 Inre: O.A. N. 839 of 2023

Union of India & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hony Lt Thaman Singh Gurung, Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : -

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Ld. Counsel for the applicants(UoI&Ors) is not present today.2. Heard Departmental Representative and perused the records.3. Registry has raised objection that affidavit in support of this LTA application has not been filed.4. Applicants are directed to rectify the defects raised by the Registry and file the requisite affidavit within a week.5. List on 22.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 1)

EX- A. No. 212 of 2022 Inre O.A No. 639 of 2021

Ex Hav Ram Autar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.5. List on 08.05.2024.6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 2)**

EX- A. No. 245 of 2022 Inre O.A No. 317 of 2020

NC (E) Naresh Kumar Safaiwala Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms Mamta Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Mamta Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued on 01.03.2024.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record through an affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 30.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J) (Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 3)

EX- A. No. 29 of 2023 Inre O.A No. 656 of 2021

Ex Hav Sarabjeet Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Arvind Thakur, Advocate

Shri Ashish Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none present for the parties. Departmental representative is present.2. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj appraised the Bench that PPO has been issued and order has been complied with.3. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record through an affidavit within four weeks failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. List on 25.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 4)

EX- A. No. 104 of 2023 Inre O.A No. 362 of 2021

Ex Sep Raju J Akkatangerhal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant is present in person and submitted that his present counsel is not well and he is engaging new counsel Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate.</p> <p>2. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Vakalatnama has been filed by Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the applicant, is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance affidavit has already been filed. Applicant was granted time to file objection but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant who has been engaged today by the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>6. List on 16.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 5)

EX- A. No. 150 of 2023 Inre O.A No. 269 of 2021

Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Manzoor Ali Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents regarding present status of this execution matter and cause of delay, is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 22.09.2021. Despite grant of several opportunities compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are further directed to take immediate action towards compliance of order of this Tribunal failing which proceeding of attachment of property of the respondents shall be initiated against the respondents.</p> <p>6. List on 13.05.2024.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 6)

EX- A. No. 359 of 2023 Inre O.A No. 67 of 2023

Ex JWO Salil Bhatnagar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Heard the parties and perused the records.3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of order is under process. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. List on 13.05.2024.6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 7)

M.A. No. 1839 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1840 of 2023
Inre: Ex-A. No. 152 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 21 of 2018

Ex Nk / SKT Uma Shankar Yagik Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order has already been complied with by the respondents on their part and PPO No. S/026100/2000 (Army) dated 17.06.2000 has already been issued and forwarded to the concerned Treasury Office of that time i.e. Treasury Office Banda (now comes under Treasury Office Chitrakoot).</p> <p>5. Perusal of the record reveals that after filing the execution, written compliance report was not filed by the respondents but as mentioned in order sheet dated 12.07.2023 of the execution case, it was apprised by the respondents' counsel to the Court that order has been complied with and PPO has been issued and RSMB has also been done.</p> <p>6. On the above submission of the Id. Counsel for the respondents, applicant was directed to file objection. In compliance of the order, applicant has filed his objection which is available on record. In objection filed by the applicant, it is submitted that order has not been complied with and nothing has been paid to him in compliance of the order.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and forwarded to the Treasury Office Banda. The respondents have complied their part and now Treasury Office Banda has to comply the order. It is submitted that letter was sent by the PCDA (Pension) to the Treasury Officer Banda for payment on the basis of said PPO and in reply sent by the Treasury Office Banda to PCDA (Pension), Prayagraj dated 17.02.2023, it is mentioned that said PPO is not available on their record and pension has never been paid to</p>

the applicant on the basis of that PPO and applicant has not met regarding it.

8. In view of above, before considering need of the impleadment of Treasury Officer Banda/ Chitrakoot for further compliance, it would be proper to direct the respondentsto file copy of the initial letter which has been sent by them to the Treasury Officer Banda alongwith copy of PPO for payment to the applicant so that further necessary action can be taken by this Tribunal for implementation of the order.

9. Respondents are directed to file copy of the letter mentioned in Para 8 of this order within three weeks.

10. List on **06.05.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)

Member (A)

Member (J)

(Justice Anil Kumar)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 244 of 2023

Ex Sep Rakesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none present for the applicant. Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were directed to file RMB papers alongwith an affidavit which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week's further time as a last opportunity to file requisite papers otherwise adverse inference shall be drawn.</p> <p>4. List on 09.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 407 of 2023

Col Dev Raj Gupta (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SG Singh, Advocate

Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SG Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed by the applicant. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List this case for final hearing on 16.04.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 611 of 2023 with M.A. No. 260 of 2024

Ex Gnr Baljeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Amendment application has been filed by the applicant.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw the amendment application filed earlier by the applicant and also wants to file a fresh amendment application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has no objection.5. Applicant is allowed to withdraw the earlier amendment application which was taken on record on 27.02.2024.6. Fresh amendment application filed by the applicant is taken on record to which the objection, if any, may be filed by the respondents within two weeks.7. List for hearing on amendment application on 06.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 669 of 2023

Ex MCEL (R) I (Hony Lt) Lalji Srivastava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is hereby closed.</p> <p>3. List for final hearing on 06.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 724 of 2023

Ex L/NK Har Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. On 07.03.2024, it was the last opportunity to file CA but on submission of Id. Counsel for the respondents that she will file the CA during the course of the day, time was granted.</p> <p>3. Today, Id. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, one more last opportunity of two weeks is granted to the respondents to file counter affidavit failing which right to file counter affidavit shall stand forfeited.</p> <p>5. List on 22.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 900 of 2023

Ex Sgt Lal Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Chandrakant Jha, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Chandrakant Jha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit failing which opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>3. List for final hearing on 13.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 903 of 2023

Ex Hav Niranjana Pawar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity failing which opportunity to file CA shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 02.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 983 of 2023

Ex Gnr Jitendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms. Gitanjali Nayak, Advocate
Ms, Jigyasa Sanyal, Advocate
Shri Alil Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none present for the applicant. Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 08.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1074 of 2023

Ex Nk Abhimanyu Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List for final hearing on 26.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J) (Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1095 of 2023

Col Arun Kumar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter and rejoinder affidavits filed by the respondents and applicant respectively are taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1166 of 2023

Ex Cpl Manish Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.4. List on 09.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1225 of 2023

Ex Cplamit Srivastava

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri saurabh Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none present for the parties.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Departmental representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.4. List on 03.05.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1253 of 2023

Brig tapan Kumar Sahoo (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. She prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 03.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1398 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2026 of 2023

Sgt Sayeed Khan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: GpCapt Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record to which the reply may be filed by the applicant within a week.</p> <p>3. List on 25.04.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1399 of 2023

Sub Hari Prasad Kashyap (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ajay Pratap Singh, Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 19 of 2024 with M.A. No. 22 of 2024

Nb Sub (HA) Virender Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: WgCdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none present for the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. List this case on 02.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 167 of 2024 with M.A. No. 213 of 2024

WO Tarkeshwar Upadhyay (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week's time to file reply to the objection raised by the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on 24.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 691 of 2021

Lt Col Hardat Singh Keswan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Yogendra Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yogendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List this case on 05.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>