

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 845 of 2024 with M.A. No. 947 of 2024

Sgt. Vipul Kumar Upadhyay MTD (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 947 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 01 year 07 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 845 of 2024</u></p> <p>5. This application has been filed for conducting the RSMB to assess the present condition of the applicant and to grant of disability element.</p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 16.12.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 847 of 2024 with M.A. No. 949 of 2024

Col. Badri Nath Rai (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravindra Nath Tiwari, Advocate
Ms. Vibha Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravindra Nath Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 949 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 02 months and 23 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 847 of 2024</u></p> <p>5. This application has been filed for grant of disability Pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 16.12.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/-</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 849 of 2024

Ex. Nb Sub. Hasanur Islam

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearingDr. Amit Asthana , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>4. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 16.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 852 of 2024

Hav. (Chief Mess) Samsher Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Ansuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and submits that he will his Memo of appearance during the course of the day. Memo of appearance, if filed, be taken on record and his name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. On request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, list this case for hearing on admission on 24.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 860 of 2024 with M.A. No. 960 of 2024

Ex. CPL Chandra Bhushan Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.3. Heard the Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.4. As reported by the Registry, there is delay of 16 years 09 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file objection to the delay condonation application.6. List on 16.10.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 862 of 2024 with M.A. No. 962 of 2024

Lt. Col. Bal Bahadur Reshmi (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Ravindra Nath Tiwari, Advocate

Rati Verma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravindra Natha Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 962 of 2024</div><div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 03 months and 26 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 862 of 2024</div><div><div>5. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 17.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 863 of 2024 with M.A. No. 963 of 2024

Ex. Sub. Pravin Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>02.09.2024</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearingMohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div> <div><div><u>M.A. No. 963 of 2024</u></div><div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 03 months and 28 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div></div> <div><div><u>O.A. No. 863 of 2024</u></div><div><div>5. This application has been filed for direction to fix the anomaly in the basic pay of the applicant w.e.f. 2021 and for grant of consequential benefits pursuant to his pension.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 17.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 343 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 839 of 2023

Ex. Hony. Lt. Thaman Singh Gurung
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K.Singh, Advocate
Shri D.K. Dixit, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the records.</div><div>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 21.01.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 839 of 2023.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed fourweeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>5. List on 12.12.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No.344 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 66 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Rakesh Kumar Dikshit

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 09.08.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 66 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 12.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex- A. No. 2 of 2020 Inre: O.A. No. 269 of 2015

Ex Nb Sub Radhey Shyam Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicantandShri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file clarification regarding ECHS deduction which has not been filed today.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further twoweek’s time to file clarification as required.</p> <p>4. List on 22.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

Ex - A. No. 84 of 2022Inre: O.A. No.170 of 2019

Ex Sailor Sohan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the matter is subjudice before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on 25.11.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex - A. No. 1 of 2023 Inre: Ex-A. No. 55 of 2018 &
O.A. No. 316 of 2016

Smt. Manju Devi, W/o Late Ex
Sep Jitendra Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Saket Srivastava, Ld. counsel for Respondent No. 4are present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Respondent No. 4 was granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the applicant showing therein details of calculation sheet and amount paid as service element but the reply has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 4 prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file reply to objection failing which respondent No. 4 shall deposit the cost of Rs. 1000/- in the Registry.</p> <p>4. List on 27.11.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

Ex - A. No.10 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.841 of 2021

Ex Sgt Sandeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit with regard to present status has also not been filed. Cost due against the respondents has not been deposited. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. 5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. 6. List on 27.11.2024. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex- A. No. 267 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.1068 of 2022

Lt Col Jaiswal Swapnil Rajendra (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present before the Court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 29.11.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 334 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.627 of 2021

Col (TS) Suresh Mangain (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on 05.11.2024.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 364 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.1056 of 2022

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. 2. Heard the Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. 3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order has also not been filed. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. 6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. 7. List on 05.11.2024. 8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 2188 784 2255"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="855 2188 1291 2255"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 371 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 680 of 2022

MWO (HFO) Indramani Pandey (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Today, it is the last opportunity to file compliance affidavit but the compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 05.11.2024.</p> <p>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 372 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 44 of 2022

Ex MWO (HFL) Lakshmi Narain Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. List on 03.12.2024. 5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 128 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 697 of 2017

Ex Hav Rajendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are present before the Court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submitted that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</div><div>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant has no objection and submits that the order has been complied with.</div><div>5. Since the order of this Tribunal has been complied with, this execution application is disposed of in full satisfaction.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)
Ex-.A. No. 235 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 2 of 2023

Cdr Onkar Prasad Pattnaik (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. In this case, respondents were directed on 31.07.2024 to conduct Re-Assessment Medical Board (RAMB) of the applicant. It was submitted by the ld. counsel for the respondents that government sanction has been granted for conducting RAMB and implementation of the order is under process. Time was granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit.</div><div>3. No compliance affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents. It is submitted by the respondents' counsel that sanction for conducting RAMB was sent to the Commandant, Command Hospital, Central Command for conducting Re-Assessment Medical Board and the report/ Medical Board proceedings are still awaited.</div><div>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, the applicant reported to the Command Hospital, Central Command for Re-assessment of his disability but the Command Hospital refused to conduct RAMB and no medical re-assessment of the applicant was done and he was sent back.</div><div>5. Ld. counsel for the applicant is directed to bring this fact on record through an affidavit within two weeks so that the necessary order may be passed.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to file compliance affidavit within four weeks failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. List on 25.11.2024.</div><div>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

M.A. No. 733 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 200 of 2024

Agniveer Auto Tech A Veh Gaurav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Anupama Bhadauriya, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Anupama Bhadauriya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Supplementary Affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. List on 22.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>Girish/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 890 of 2022

Ex L/NK Ramakant PrasadApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that some other date may be given as the similar matter is pending before the Principal Bench.</div><div>3. List on 18.12.2024</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>Girish/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 877 of 2023

Ex RectDesh SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file RA by way of last opportunity.</div><div>3. List on 8.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>Girish/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 987 of 2023

Col (TS) Ajit Kumar Malik (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Despite several opportunities including last opportunity having been granted to the respondents to file CA, but no CA has been filed. In the circumstances, the opportunity to file CA is closed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments is still awaited.</div><div>4. List for final hearing on 5.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>Girish/-</div></div>

O.A. No. 1206 of 2023

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. O.A is allowed.</p> <p>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>Girish/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1281 of 2023

Ex MCPO LOG (MAT) II Pravesh KumarApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to file RA.</div><div>3. List on 23.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>Girish/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1287 of 2023

Col (TS) Ajay Singh Chauhan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file any RA. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. O.A is allowed.</p> <p>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Girish/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1382 of 2023

GpCapt Ajit Mohanty
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. O.A is partlyallowed.</div><div>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>Girish/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1442 of 2023

Rect Pawan Kumar Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Ashiya Gupta, Advocate
Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Ashiya Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has prepared the CA and the same has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to file CA.</div><div>3. List on 25.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>Girish/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 23 of 2024

Ex Sep Raj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Suresh Kumar Saini, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2 CA has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has prepared the CA and the same has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks’ further time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</div><div>3. List on 18.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>Girish/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 33 of 2024

Sub K Ananth Rao (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has prepared the CA and the same has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks’ further time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 16.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>Girish/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 160 of 2024 with M.A. No. 196 of 2024

Ex Nk Amarnath Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A. has been filed by the respondents, which is taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 25.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>Girish/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 237 of 2024 with M.A. No. 300 of 2024

Ex MWO (HFO) Nand Kishor Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Sanjay Rajpoot, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 4.11.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>Girish/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 246 of 2024

Sgt Pradeep Kumar Mishra (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Vinay Swaroop Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant does not want to file any RA. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. O.A is allowed.</div><div>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>Girish/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 407 of 2024

Sgt Gaurav Awasthi (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not yet been filed by the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.11.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 737 of 2024 with M.A. No 832 of 2024

Ex Sub Ajay Kumar Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay and maintainability of the case filed by respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Amendment application filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on amendment application and perused the record.</p> <p>5. By means of this amendment application, applicant intend to bring on record the facts regarding rejection of his appeal by the respondents. This is a routine nature of amendment and will not change the nature of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Amendment application is allowed. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to make proposed amendment within two weeks.</p> <p>6. List on 05.11.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

T.A. No. 4 of 2024

Ex AC (U/T) Ganesh Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey , Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has not received copy of rejoinder affidavit. Learned counsel for the applicant shall provide a copy of rejoinder affidavit to learned counsel for the respondents.</div><div>4. List on 21.10.2024 for final hearing.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 832 of 2022

Ex Swr Prayag Datt Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 28.11.2024 for physical hearing.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 847 of 2022

Ris Sheo Pratap SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents Noi 1 to 4 and Shri Tanmay Singh, holding brief of Shri Prashant Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for respondents No 4 and 6 are present.</div><div>2. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Prashant Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for Respondents No 5 and 6 is taken on record.</div><div>3. Shri Tanmay Singh prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to recall ex-party order dated 08.05.2024</div><div>4. List on 05.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 508 of 2023 with M.A. No 936 of 2024

Ex Sep Dhanoj Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Mishra,
Shri Vatsaly Srivastava, Advocates

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey,
Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Amendment application filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties on amendment application and perused the record.</div><div>4. By means of this amendment application, applicant intend to amend prayer clause. This is a routine nature of amendment and will not change the nature of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Amendment application is allowed. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to make proposed amendment within two weeks.</div><div>5. List on 21.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 786 of 2023

Ex Nk Babu Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd),
Shri Upasana Mishra and
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>02.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>O.A is allowed.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Detailed order on separate sheets.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 798 of 2023

Ex Ptr Arvind Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey,
Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 25.11.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>