

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 114 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 244 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Narendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which additional cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 22.04.2024.</div><div>7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex. A. No. 140 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 420 of 2021

Ex. Sub. Rajiv Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order passed by this Tribunal on 19.12.2021 in Original Application No. 420 of 2021.</div><div>3. Several opportunities have been availed, but affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed has also not been deposited by the respondents. Present status of the execution matter has also not been explained in affidavit.</div><div>4. Respondents are directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers available in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure property of the respondents be not attached and also salary in Misc. Head of their Account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>5. Further four weeks time is granted to the respondents to take immediate steps towards compliance of the order of this Tribunal failing which attachment proceedings will be initiated against them.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer explaining the cause of delay in implementation of the order and deposit all the costs due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 27.05.2024.</div><div>8. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 170 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 64 of 2019

Ex. Sgt. Om Prakash Ojha  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.S. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on <b>07.05.2024</b>.</p> <p>7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**Ex. A. No. 293 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 858 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Narendra Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Present status of execution case filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which additional cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on <b>08.05.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**Ex. A. No. 163 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 899 of 2022**

**Col. Arun Kumar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on <b>08.05.2024</b>.</p> <p>7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 179 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 383 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Santosh Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 15.05.2024.</div><div>7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex. A. No. 242 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 568 of 2022**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

## Versus

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on <b>10.05.2024</b>.</p> <p>7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 11 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 140 of 2023

DEx. JWO Prem Shankar Kushwaha  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>3. List on 10.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 12 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 434 of 2022

Ex. MWO Sunil Vishwakarma  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>04.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>3. List on <b>10.05.2024</b>.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 834 of 2021

Ex. Cpl. Ram Kripal Pandey  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that some more time may be granted to file document which he has received from the concerned office.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has no objection.</p> <p>4. Four weeks further time is granted to file documents.</p> <p>5. List on <b>23.04.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 851 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ashok Kumar Tiwari  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishit Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit, but Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit is hereby closed.</p> <p>5. List on <b>14.05.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 206 of 2023

Col. Satyaroop (Retd.)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate  
Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>04.03.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>2. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</div><div>3. List on 23.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 211 of 2023

Ex. L/Nk. Deepak Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit, but Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file Rejoinder Affidavit as last opportunity failing which opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit shall stand closed.</div><div>4. List on 09.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 569 of 2023

**Ex. Sep. Diwan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he is pressing for the grant of disability element of disability pension.</p> <p>3. Original Application is <b>partly allowed for the first disability</b>.</p> <p>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 570 of 2023

**Ex. Hav. Anjani Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>6. Original Application is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p>7. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>8. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 682 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Dinesh Kumar Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neetesh Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>2. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. Last opportunity is provided to the applicant to argue the case.</div><div>4. List on 10.05.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 804 of 2023 with M.A. No. 956 of 2023

**Ex. MWO Shiv Darshan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. This Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Quash the Impugned Order RO/2703/261297/P&amp;W (DP/RMB) dated 20 Oct 2008 and declare the disabilities “Essential Hypertension” as aggravated by the Military Service.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Grant the disability element of pension to the Applicant @50% w.e.f 01<sup>st</sup> Jan 1993 for life with all consequential benefits and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) To issue/pass any other orders/direction as this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case in favour of the applicant and render justice.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 28 years and 19 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised objection regarding maintainability of the Original Application. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that since after lapse of more than 31 years applicant filed the present Original Application which is highly time barred. It is further submitted that it is well settled law that a person who sleeps like Rip Van Winkle, over his right, has no right to place any claim and his claim is liable to be rejected out rightly. He further submitted that the delay cause in filing of Original Application has not been explained on day-to-day basis, as such the Original Application is liable to be rejected.</p> <p>5. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that the delay cause in filing of Original Application is not intentional but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application as well as in the</p>

	<p>affidavit filed in support of reply to objection. He further submitted that in pensionary matter law of limitation is not applicable as the cause of action accrues on month to month basis.</p> <p>6. Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both parties we find that there are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter law of limitation is not applicable. The applicant is already in receipt of service pension. Further, in the light of the decision in <b><i>Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh [2008 (8) SCC 648]</i></b>, the delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 804 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>8. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>28.05.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 934 of 2023

**Ex. Nk. (MACP Hav.) Anil Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kripa Shankar, Advocate  
Wg. Cdr. Ashok Kumar Chauhan (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kripa Shankar and Wg. Cdr. Ashok Kumar Chauhan (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Counter Affidavit is under preparation. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit as last opportunity.</p> <p>4. List on <b>23.04.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1064 of 2023

Ex. Sigm. Chunnu Lal Gupta  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate  
Shri V.K. Chahar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>04.03.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 2. Original Application is <b>partly allowed</b>. 3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. 4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 1192 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Subhash Chandra Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>2. Shri Yotesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li> <li>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</li> <li>5. List on <b>29.04.2024</b> for hearing.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="376 1486 821 1559" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="1110 1486 1399 1559" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1195 of 2023

**Ex. WO Girish Chandra Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received and Counter Affidavit is under prepration. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.05.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1197 of 2023

Ex. Rfn. Yam Bahadur Dala

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Advocate  
Gp. Capt. R.P. Singh (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</div><div>2. Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</div><div>3. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received and Counter Affidavit is under preparation. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>5. List on 18.04.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

**O.A. No. 1224 of 2023**

## Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder Affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on <b>15.04.2024</b>.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to produce original documents pertaining to this case for perusal of the Bench on the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1322 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1918 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Rauhtash KumarApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 30.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	AKD/-

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**O.A. No. 1375 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1992 of 2023**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

## Versus

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on maintainability of the Original Application.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection that the applicant has not availed the remedies available under Sections 26 of the Air Force Act, 1950. The applicant since not filed Appeal against the impugned order to the Chief of Air Staff in terms of Section 26 of the Air Force Act, 1950, the present Original Application is not maintainable.</p> <p>3. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has approached this Tribunal after his dismissal from service, thereby after termination of applicability of Air Force Act, 1950 in terms of Section 3 of the aforesaid Act for the purpose of Section 26 of the aforesaid Act, hence the objection raised by the respondents that applicant has not availed the remedy provided under Section 26 of Air Force Act is not tenable and objection is liable to rejected.</p> <p>4. After hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both the parties we find that Section 3 of the Air Force Act, 1950 provides that “<b>3. Termination of application of the Act.</b> - Every person subject to this Act under clauses (a) to (c) of section 2 shall remain so subject until duly retired, discharged, released, removed, dismissed or cashiered from the service”. In the instant case the applicant was dismissed from service vide impugned order dated 28.03.2023. As such applicant is not subject to Air Force Act, 1950 for the purpose of Section 26 of the said Act. Further, In view of the above, the preliminary objection raised by the respondents is rejected and the Original Application is <b>maintainable</b>.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 03 months and 24 days in filing of Original</p>

	<p>Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that the delay in filing of Original Application is not intentional but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained by the applicant.</p> <p>8. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1375 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has raised legal points which need adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on <b>28.05.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>
--	---

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 19 of 2024 with M.A. No. 22 of 2024

Nb. Sub. (HA) Virender Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. His name be also shown as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar AwasthiLd. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to move app to amend the prayer clause of the Original Application.</div><div>4. List on 01.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

**O.A. No. 101 of 2024 with M.A. No. 122 of 2024**

## Applicant

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Reply may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="378 1489 828 1561" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="1104 1489 1409 1561" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 147 of 2024 with M.A. No. 178 of 2024

**Ex. Nk. Balram Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>3. There is delay of more than 28 years in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>25.04.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

R.A. No. 57 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1451 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 1130 of 2022

Ex. Havf. Ram Shankar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection against Review Application and applicant was directed to provide copy of Review Application to the for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that copy of Review Application has not been provided by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, therefore, he could not file objection against Review Application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to provide copy of Review Application to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents during the course of the day.</p> <p>5. Objection, if any, may be filed within four weeks.</p> <p>6. List on <b>25.04.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

R.A. No. 01 of 2024 with M.A. No. 72 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 338 of 2023

Ex. SWA/APP Tribunwan Prasad Bahuguna  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate  
Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. Objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time as last opportunity to file objection.</p> <p>4. List on <b>25.04.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**O.A. No. 328 of 2017**

## Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file amendment application for amendment in the Original Application.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 781 of 2022

Ex. Sep/MT Rajesh Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>04.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.</div><div>2. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. This matter pertains to Summary Court Martial.</div><div>4. List this case in <b>Court No. 2 on 14.05.2024.</b></div><div>5. Respondents are directed to retain the original documents pertaining to this case to produce on the next date fixed for perusal of the Bench.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 236 of 2024 with M.A. No. 299 of 2024

**Pnr (GD) Rohit Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year, 04 months and 28 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>6. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 236 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for quashing apprehension role and to take the applicant on the strength of the Unit.</p> <p>5. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>6. Admit.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>8. List on <b>13.05.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 213 of 2024 with M.A. No. 272 of 2024

CPO LOG (SC) Ran Vijai Prasad  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>7. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>8. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant Video Conferencing and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>9. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>10. There is a delay of 01 year and 14 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents also raised objection regarding territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on maintainability of the Original Application as well as against delay condonation application.</p> <p>12. List on <b>03.04.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 214 of 2024 with M.A. No. 273 of 2024

Ex. Sub. Subhash Kushwaha  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey , Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next</div><div>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension.</div><div>4. There is a delay of 07 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div><div>O.A. No. 214 of 2024</div><div>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 27.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div>

AKD/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 215 of 2024 with M.A. No. 274 of 2024

Hav. Ratan Rai  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 215 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>27.05.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 216 of 2024 with M.A. No. 275 of 2024

Nk. Hemant Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 14 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 216 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>27.05.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

**O.A. No. 217 of 2024**

## Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pre-mature discharge from service to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. List on <b>28.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 218 of 2024**

**Hav. Krishna Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pre-mature discharge from service on compassionate ground.</p> <p>4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. List on <b>28.05.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 219 of 2024

Hav. Gowtham K.D. Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing .</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pre-mature discharge from service to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. List on <b>28.05.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 226 of 2024 with M.A. No. 284 of 2024

Ex. Sep. Pevhal Lakhan Rohtas

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N. Tiwari, Advocate  
Shri Anand Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.N. Tiwari and Shri Anand Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>26.03.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 232 of 2024

Hav/Dvr. Amit Kumar Shukla  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pre-mature discharge from service to the applicant.</div><div>4. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 28.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	AKD/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 235 of 2024 with M.A. No. 298 of 2024

**Sgt. Ajay Kumar Upadhyay Rdo Fit (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</div><div>4. There is a delay of 08 years, 01 month and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any.</div><div>6. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 12)

Ex. A. No. 88 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 497 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Ram Niwas Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 25.08.2023 passed in Original Application No. 497 of 2023.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>5. List on 03.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 89 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 725 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Jay Beer Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 15.12.2022 passed in Original Application No. 725 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>24.04.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 14)

Ex. A. No. 90 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 173 of 2023

Ex. JWO Kamal Kishor Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 26.04.2023 passed in Original Application No. 173 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>24.04.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 15)

Ex. A. No. 91 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 130 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Wakeel Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>04.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 02.08.2023 passed in Original Application No. 130 of 2023.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>5. List on 03.07.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 16)

Ex. A. No. 92 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 846 of 2022

Ex. Sep. Bolarikh Singh Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.03.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Execution Application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 19.01.2023 passed in Original Application No. 846 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>03.07.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>