

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1079 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1202 of 2024

Smt. Geeta Devi W/o Late Gnr Amar Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.3. Heard the Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.4. There is delay of more than 23 years in filing this original application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant for condoning the delay.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, with regard to delay in filing this O.A.6. List on 30.01.2025. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1080 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1203 of 2024

Hav (HMT) Aman Jeet (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1203 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 08 months and 21 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1080 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. Ld. counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding maintainability of this O.A before this Tribunal and submits that there is bar of territorial jurisdiction to entertain this O.A but perusal of the record shows that the applicant is ordinarily resident of District Meerut, U.P. Therefore, this O.A is entertainable before this Tribunal.</p> <p>7. This application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p>

	<div>8. Case needs adjudication.</div> <div>9. Admit.</div> <div>10.Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> <div>11.List on 30.01.2025.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1081 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1208 of 2024

Hav Patil Ekanath Supadu

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri Rahul Mishra, Advocate
Shri Vatsaly Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Pranjal Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>3. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>4. None appears to present the case of the applicant, nor is there any mention made on his behalf to pass over or adjourn the case.</div><div>5. Accordingly, we dismiss the application for non- prosecution.</div><div>6. Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 1082 of 2024

Ex Hav Raj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This original application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>5. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 17.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 432 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 730 of 2023

Ex WO Ram Dayal Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 20.02.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 730 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 18.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 434 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 313 of 2023

Ex Gnr Bhupendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Alok Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>3. On the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, list this case on 25.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 435 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 972 of 2022

Ex Hav Shiv Raj Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>3. On the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, list this case on 25.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1211 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1212 of 2024
Inre: O.A. No. 566 of 2024

Ex Hav Girija Shankar Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. On the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, list this case on 13.11.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 9)

M.A. No. 1213 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 452 of 2021

Ex Sep Shrawan Kumar Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. List this case for physical hearing on 13.12.2024.</div><div>5. In the mean time, objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld Counsel for the applicants (Respondents in the O.A) is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the applicants (Respondents in the O.A) and perused the records.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed by the applicants (Respondents in the O.A) to review the order dated 11.07.2022. There is delay of more than 02 years in filing this review application. Applicant has moved application for condoning the delay in filing the review application.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the applicants submitted that delay in filing Review Application is not deliberate or intentional but for the reasons stated in the delay condonation application filed with the review application.</p> <p>5. As per Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008, no application shall be entertained beyond the period of thirty days from the date of receipt of copy of the order sought to be reviewed. This review application is barred by 02 years 02 months and 23 days by the period of limitation provided under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008. The cause of delay in filing the review application are casual in nature and delay has not been properly explained on day to day basis.</p> <p>6. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the application do not appear to be germane, therefore, there is no force in delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, the application for condonation of delay (M.A. No. 1210 of 2024) and Review Application No. 37 of 2024 are hereby rejected being time barred.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 11)

Diary No: 3522 of 2024

Ex Sep Ram Lal Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. In this case, two defects have been raised by the Registry. First, with regard to permanent address of the applicant for which it has been submitted by the Id. counsel for the applicant that the applicant is presently residing at 28, Nyay Vihar Colony, Sitapur Road, Lucknow and rent agreement has been filed by him which is annexed to this O.A.</p> <p>5. The second defect as raised by the Registry is delay condonation application has not been filed for which Id. counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has preferred statutory first appeal on 28.02.2024 against the order of rejection which has not been decided by the competent authority within six months and immediately after that he has filed this O.A.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of the Id. counsel for the applicant and the report submitted by the Registry. Since the main prayer of the applicant is to quash the DSC Records letter dated 19.12.2023, therefore, there is delay in filing this original application, as pointed out by the Registry.</p> <p>7. Applicant is directed to rectify the second defect as raised by the Registry within two weeks.</p> <p>8. List on 06.01.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. No. 328 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 564 of 2022

Cmde Girideep Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 17,000/- due against the respondents has also not been deposited.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.7. List on 14.02.2025.8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 334 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 627 of 2021

Col (TS) Suresh Mangain (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is also not present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative submits that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, RSMB has already been conducted and copy has been provided to the respondents’ counsel. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 14.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 364 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1056 of 2022

Col Rajesh Negi (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>6. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>7. List on 17.02.2025.</p> <p>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 371 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 680 of 2022

MWO (HFO) Indramani Pandey (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are further directed to file detailed delay report in implementation of the order.</p> <p>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>7. List on 17.02.2025.</p> <p>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 266 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 163 of 2023

Ex LEM (P) Govind Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit/ status report failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 28.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 272 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 780 of 2023

Ex Hav Rajesh Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. 2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records. 3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit/ status report failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 5. List on 18.02.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 1712 816 1779" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1060 1712 1349 1779" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 273 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 791 of 2024

MWO (Hony FD) Rama Shankar Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit/ status report failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 277 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 31 of 2023

Col Brijendra Singh Bhadauria, SM (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of the Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which stay order has been passed by the Hon’ble High Court. He stated that stay order is still good and effective to which the Id. counsel for the applicant submits that stay order has already been expired on the date of listing.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file the copy of the present status of the stay order passed by the Hon’ble High Court till the next date of listing failing which execution case shall proceed for implementation of the order.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 278 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 556 of 2022

Ex Sgt Dharmesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit/ status report failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 28.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 279 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 304 of 2023

Ex Sub Arun Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri SS Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this is a fresh case.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to file compliance report/ affidavit regarding status report, failing which cost of Rs. 5,000/- shall be imposed which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 109 of 2024

Sub (Retd) Rakesh Pati Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today is last opportunity to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As one more opportunity, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit, failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 03.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 217 of 2024

Nb Sub Vinay Kumar MishraApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. O.A is disposed off.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 218 of 2024

Hav Krishna Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Learned counsel for the parties are not present. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and handed over to learned counsel for the respondents. He prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 05.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

O.A. No. 219 of 2024

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that relief claimed by the applicant has been redressed and this Original Application has become infructuous. He also submits that Original Application may be dismissed as infructuous. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, O.A. is dismissed as infructuous.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="336 1599 821 1677"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>UKT/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="821 1599 1464 1677"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 232 of 2024

Hav / Dvr Amit Kumar Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and handed over to learned counsel for the respondents. He prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 442 of 2024

Col Ashutosh Mudgal (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anurag Singh, Advocate
Ms. Akanksha Gupta, Advocate
Shri Aman Dube, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anurag Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 713 of 2024

Ex MCEA (P) II Hony Sub Lt Vijay Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 07.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 714 of 2024

Mahesh Rawat PO RP I (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 07.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Rahul Dwivedi Ex CPO (RP) PRI Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 07.02.2025.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 725 of 2024

TS NK Durga Prasad (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 10.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 726 of 2024

Col Sanjeev Agnihotri (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 10.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 727 of 2024

Hav Awadhesh Singh Yadav (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Advocate
Shri Madan Kumar Swarnkar, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 12.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

O.A. No. 733 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 12.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 1647 823 1720" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1073 1647 1362 1720" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 737 of 2024 with M.A. No. 832 of 2024

Ex Sub Ajay Kumar Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Amendment application moved by the applicant was allowed vide this Tribunal order dated 02.09.2024 and applicant was directed to carry out amendment which has not been incorporated in the O.A. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for further two weeks time to carry out amendment.</div><div>3. As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to carry out amendment, failing which his opportunity to carry out amendment shall be closed.</div><div>4. List on 13.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 790 of 2024 with M.A. No. 890 of 2024

MWO Anil Kumar Pandey (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Reply to objection on delay and maintainability of the case has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. He submits that copy of objection has not been provided to him. Learned counsel for the respondents has provided copy of objection to learned counsel for the applicant today in the court. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for two weeks time to file reply.</div><div>3. As a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file reply.</div><div>4. List on 13.12.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 791 of 2024 with M.A. No. 891 of 2024

Ex Hav / Clk (SD) Arjun Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p data-bbox="349 921 1343 1034"><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p data-bbox="349 1085 1343 1231">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p data-bbox="349 1247 1343 1290"><u>M.A. No. 891 of 2024</u></p> <p data-bbox="349 1325 1343 1467">2. There is delay of 03 years, 02 months and 29 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p data-bbox="349 1486 1343 1741">3. Regarding delay and maintainability submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons explained in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p data-bbox="349 1757 1343 1964">4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p data-bbox="349 1981 1343 2338">5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay and maintainability offered by the applicant, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p>

6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. we find that the cause shown for the delay and maintainability is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of ***Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh*** [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands **disposed off** accordingly.

O.A. No. 791 of 2024

7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.

8. Case needs adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **11.02.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 977 of 2024

LAC Ajay Kumar Elect Fit (R) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. It has been informed that Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is sick and hospitalised. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 04.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Vijay Kumar Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. It has been informed that Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is unwell today. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 14.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="336 1486 625 1604"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>UKT/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="625 1486 1018 1604"></div> <div data-bbox="1018 1486 1464 1604"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 847 of 2022

Ris Sheo Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate
Shri Prashant Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for respondents No 1 to 4 are present. Shri Ankit Pratap Gautam, holding brief of Shri Prashant Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for respondents No 5 and 6 is also present.</div><div>2. Shri Prashant Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for respondents No 5 and 6 is not present. On 08.05.2024, Ex party order was passed against the banks but Shri Prashant Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents No 5 and 6 is neither present nor he has filed application for recall of ex-party order. On the last date also he was not present.</div><div>3. List on 13.01.2025 for final hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 987 of 2023

Col (TS) Ajit Kumar Mallik (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>05.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Opportunity to file counter affidavit was closed on 02.09.2024. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit but perusal of record shows that despite availing several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents and their opportunity to file the same has already been closed on 02.09.2024. Today, case is listed for final hearing.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. O.A is partly allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>