

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 1)

Diary No. 2663 of 2024 with M.A. No. 987 of 2024

Ex JWO Binay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. Registry has raised objection that proof of present address has not been filed. Permanent address of the applicant has not been mentioned in the memo of parties.</p> <p>5. Applicant has moved an application for removal of defects under Rule 16(3) of Armed Forces Tribunal (Practice) Rules, 2009.</p> <p>6. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, on application for removal of defects pointed out by the Registry.</p> <p>7. Applicant is directed to provide copy of the application for removal of defects to the Id. counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p>8. List on 24.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) RK/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

Diary No: 2663 of 2024 with M.A. No. 987 of 2024

Ex MWO Jai Ram MandalApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are presentthrough video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Registry has raised objection that proof of present address has not been filed. Permanent address of the applicant has not been mentioned in the memo of parties.</div><div>5. Applicant has moved an application for removal of defects under Rule 16(3) of Armed Forces Tribunal (Practice) Rules, 2009.</div><div>6. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, on application for removal of defects pointed out by the Registry.</div><div>7. Applicant is directed to provide copy of the application for removal of defects to the Id. counsel for the respondents within a week.</div><div>8. List on 24.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

O.A. No. 877 of 2024 with M.A. No. 979 of 2024

Ex Nk (TS) Tun Tun Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Ms. Preeti Mala Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present before the Court.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 27 years 05 months and 02 days in filing this original application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain this O.A. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection to the delay condonation application and also on maintainability of this O.A before this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. List on 07.11.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="350 1951 673 2018"> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>RK/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="989 1951 1278 2018"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 878 of 2024

Subedar Rakesh PandeyApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present before the Court.</div><div>3. This application has been filed against the order of discharge of the applicant.</div><div>4. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 07.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 879 of 2024 with M.A. No. 980 of 2024

Ex Sub Sukumar Ghosh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 980 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 03 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 879 of 2024</u></p> <p>5. This application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 09.01.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) RK/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 882 of 2024 with M.A. No. 982 of 2024

JWO Sanjay Malhotra (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div></div> <div><div>M.A. No. 982 of 2024</div><div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of 02 years05 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div></div> <div><div>O.A. No. 882 of 2024</div><div><div>5. This application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 09.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>RK/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 883 of 2024 with M.A. No. 983 of 2024

Ex WO Surjeet Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri GC Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri GC Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative is also present on behalf of the respondents.</div><div>M.A. No. 983 of 2024</div><div><div>2. Heard the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. There is delay of 02 years and 02 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div>O.A. No. 883 of 2024</div><div><div>4. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>5. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 09.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 8)
O.A. No. 884 of 2024 with M.A. No. 984 of 2024

Ex Sep Bhagwan Giri
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present before the Court.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 984 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. As reported by the Registry, there is delay of 06 years 03 months and 17 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is in receipt of disability element @60% and now he has filed this O.A for grant of disability element @75%. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay and in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Apex Court in the case of Union of India and Others Vs. Tarsem Singh (2008 (8) SCC 646), delay is condoned.Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 884 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed for grant of disability element @75%.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 09.01.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) RK/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 886 of 2024 with M.A. No. 988 of 2024

MWO Surendra Pal Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 988 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 04 years 01 month and 17 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 886 of 2024</u></p> <p>5. This application has been filed against the order dated 29.07.2024 which is annexed as Annexure A-1 to this O.A.</p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 10.01.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 339 of 2024 Inre: T.A. No. 20 of 2023

Ex Sgt Pramod Kumar SharmaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 10.07.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Transfer Application No. 20 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 02.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 340 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 601 of 2023

Ex Hav Brajesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 19.10.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 601 of 2023.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. List on 02.01.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) RK/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Ex-A. No. 341 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 27 of 2023

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative is also present on behalf of the respondents. 2. Heard the parties and perused the records. 3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 08.05.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 27 of 2023. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. 5. List on 02.01.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 342 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 651 of 2023

Ex Hav Chandran PE Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. 4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 24.11.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 651 of 2023. 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. 6. List on 02.01.2025. <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 14)

Ex-A. No. 350 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 744 of 2022

Col Arun Suryavanshy (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 15.02.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 744 of 2022.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. List on 03.01.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) RK/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 15)

Ex-A. No. 351 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 628 of 2023

Ex Sgt Harish Chandra Singh Bisht

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla-, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of therespondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 30.10.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 628 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 03.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>RK/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 16)

Ex-A. No. 352 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 205 of 2023

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 01.09.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 205 of 2023.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. List on 03.01.2025. <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 17)

Ex-A. No. 353 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 653 of 2023

Ex Sigmn Ashutosh Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. 3. Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate appears on behalf of the applicant and submits that he is not the counsel in this case. His name has wrongly been printed in the cause list as counsel for the applicant. Registry is directed to delete his name and print the correct name of the applicant's counsel in the future cause list. 4. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the records. 5. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 07.11.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 653 of 2023. 6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. 7. List on 03.01.2025. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 98 of 2021 Inre: O.A. No. 202 of 2019

Ex.Sep. Jiledar Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Departmental Representative submits that a writ petition has been filed yesterday against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court but there is no stay order.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 17.12.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div><div>(Lt.Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)
Ex-A. No. 242 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 56 of 2019

Ex. Spr. Bharat Chandra Biswas

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction has been accorded on 24.06.2024 for payment to the applicant. It is also submitted that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited by the respondents. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents' counsel prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing, which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 05.12.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>RK/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 176 of 2023 Inre; O.A. No. 250 of 2022

Lt. Col. Arjun Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriManoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance Affidavit has not been filed as yet.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of responsible officer regarding status of the case has been filed, which is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that costs of Rs. 20,000/- has already been deposited, out of which half of the same shall be remitted to AFT, Lucknow for upliftment of Library.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal, but there is no stay order of Hon’ble High Court.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs.5000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>7. List on 13.12.2024.</p> <p>8. Copy of this order be provided to the respondents as well as Legal Cell of AFT for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 205 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.289 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Madan Mohan Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Purna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing. 2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record. 3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. Copy of the writ petition has been annexed as Annexure A-7 to the affidavit filed by the respondents. 5. Respondents' counsel prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 6. List on 13.12.2024. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt.Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A.No. 273 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 749 of 2022

Ex.Sgt. Chandra Pratap Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit regarding status report has also not been filed. Cost imposed has not been deposited.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 13.12.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 299 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 28 of 2022

Gp. Capt.Suvashis Chakraborty (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :
Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriDharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost due against the respondents has also not been deposited. Status report of the case has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. AOC-DAV is directed to be present before the Bench through virtual mode on the next date of listing to apprise the present status of the case and to explain the cause of delay in implementation of the order and delay in deposition of cost due against the respondents.</div><div>5. List on 05.12.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div><div>(Lt.Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 199 of Inre : O.A. No. 673 of 2022

Nk. Om Prakash Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which stay order has been passed. Copy of the stay order is annexed with the affidavit filed by the respondents today.</p> <p>4. Since, the order of this Tribunal has been stayed by the Hon’ble High Court, list this case on 20.01.2025.</p> <p>(Lt.Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 201 of 2024 Inre; O.A. No. 480 of 2023

Ex.Ris Hari Pal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearingnone present for the applicant. Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 02.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt.Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 493 of 2023 with M.A. No. 585 of 2023

Ex.Rect Mukesh Kumar Tewari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none for the applicant.Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed on behalf of the respondents. Objection, if any, on compliance affidavit may be filed by the applicant till the next date of listing.</div><div>3. List on 21.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt.Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1033 2023

Hav. Jadeja PruthvirajsinhJayubha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Wg.Cdr. Ajit Kumar Kakkar (Retd) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit, which has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file any Rejoinder Affidavit.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List for final hearing on 07.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1071 of 2023

Ex.Sgt. Niranjan Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. O.A. is partlyallowed.</div><div>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 1153 of 2023

Ex.sub. Susanta Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg.Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter Affidavit has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Counter Affidavit has been prepared and the same has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file Counter Affidavit by way of last opportunity.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 07.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1154 of 2023

Ex. Sub. T. Umamaheswara Rao
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg.Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Counter Affidavit is under preparation. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file Counter Affidavit by way of last opportunity.</div><div>3. List on 07.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1386 of 2023

Sgt. Rajesh Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 12.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1520 of 2023

Ex.Sep. Nem Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 26.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1532 of 2023

Col. (TS) Devendra Kumar Maithani
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>CA has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 05.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 02 of 2024

Sgt. Amit Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms.Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received para-wise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</div><div>3. List on 16.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

O.A. No. 52 of 2024 with M.A. No. 62 of 2024

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. List has been revised. None appears on behalf of the applicant even on revision of list. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. 3. O.A. is dismissed for non-prosecution. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Md. Ayooob Ansari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received para-wise comments and CA is under preparation. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 18.11.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Sl. No. 20)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard the Ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. This Original application has been filed for reinstatement of the applicant in the service w.e.f. 18.03.1978 and granting of consequential benefits to the applicant. Applicant also sought direction for the respondents to decide the mercy petition dated 16.01.2023 preferred by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was enrolled in the Army on 21.08.1971 and was discharged from service on 18.03.1978 by SCM under AA 1950 Section 36C, being a Santry Sleeps on his post. He was given six months civil jail. The copy of the judgement in SCM proceedings was not provided to the applicant for which he submitted application on 23.11.1978, 30.12.1978 and 27.10.1980. After obtaining partly documents, he was going to High Court, Allahabad in August 1980 but unfortunately the train met with an accident and the applicant got injured and could not approach the Court. Thereafter, due to mental depression, poor health and penurious condition, the applicant could not file the case. He preferred applications/ representations dated 02.03.2023, 22.06.2023, 31.07.2023, 23.08.2023 and 25.10.2023 to the respondents regarding his reinstatement in service. He has also preferred mercy petition to the respondents on 16.01.2023. The appeals preferred by the applicant for reinstatement have not been decided by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Ld. counsel for the applicant further submitted that delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p>

6. As reported by the Registry, there is delay of 43 years 04 months and 15 days in filing this original application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant which has been registered as M.A No. 115 of 2024.

7. Ld. counsel for the respondents has filed objection on delay condonation application and stated that delay of more than 43 years has not been properly explained. He stated that there is no reasonable/ sufficient cause shown by the application to condone the delay. He further submitted that during the period of more than 43 years no service records would be available.

8. Ld. counsel for the respondents relied upon the judgement passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Balwant Singh Vs Jagdish Singh &Ors reported in (2010) 8 SCC 685.**

9. Applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the respondents which has not been filed by the applicant even after availing several opportunities.

10. In this case, applicant was enrolled in the Army on 21.08.1971 and was discharged from service on 18.03.1978 by SCM being a Santry sleeps on his post and was also given six months civil jail. He preferred application for obtaining the proceedings of SCM in the year 1978-80 and thereafter, he preferred his representations/ mercy appeal in the year 2023 only. The applicant preferred to sleep over the matter for more than 43 long years and preferred his representations/ mercy appeal only in the year 2023. It is well settled that if a person is not vigilant about his right by not approaching the court against the adverse order, then the court cannot help him by entertaining the petition after a long delay. In the case of **Union of India versus Harnam Singh (1993)(2) S.C.C. Page 162)**, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that

“the Law of Limitation may operate harshly but it has to be applied with all its rigour and the Courts or Tribunals cannot come to aid of those who sleep over their rights and allow the period of limitation to expire”.

11. Moreover, the applicant has failed to explain the inordinate delay in filing the O.A, to the satisfaction of this Court. Though an application has been moved for condonation of delay, but it does not contain any ground, whatsoever, to justify the delay in filing the O.A. In the case of **Bhup Singh versus Union of India &Ors, (1992 A.I.R. S.C. Page 1414)**, it has been held that the delay and laches must be explained to the satisfaction of the Court for seeking condonation of delay.

12. Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Union of India &Ors. Versus M.K. Sarkar (2010(2) S.C.C. Page 58)**, has held that limitation has to be counted from the date of original cause of action.

13. In view of the above laws laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, that

	<p>if the O.A is not filed within six months from the date of cause of action, then application for condonation of delay, as provided under Section 22 of Armed Forces Tribunal, Act 2007 is required to be filed, explaining cogent reasons, for not approaching the court within prescribed period, which has not been done in this case to explain the inordinate delay of more than 43 years, in filing the O.A.</p> <p>14. Further, the applicant was non pensioner, therefore, his service documents may have been destroyed after expiry of retention period as per Para 595 of Regulations for the Army, 1987. Long Roll in respect of pensioners and non-pensioners are retained for a period of 50 years and 25 years respectively from the date of becoming non-effective.</p> <p>15. In view of the above, delay condonation applicant is rejected being devoid of merit. M.A No. 115 of 2024 is dismissed accordingly.</p> <p>16. Original application also stands dismissed at the admission stage itself.</p> <p>17. No order as to costs.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 176 of 2024

Ex. WO Ram Kumar Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>CA has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments has been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 27.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

O.A. No. 177 of 2024

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA has not been filed as yet. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that CA has been prepared and the same has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 04.11.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

O.A. No. 178 of 2024

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri , Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. None appears on behalf of respondents.</p> <p>2. CA has not been filed sofar.</p> <p>3. Ms. Uma Yadav, departmental representative submits that para-wise comments has been received. On her requests, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file CA by way of last opportunity.</p> <p>4. List on 27.11.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 367 of 2024

Ex. Nb. Sub. Pradeep Kumar Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file CA.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 27.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt.Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 447 of 2024 with M.A. No. 526 of 2024

Shiv Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Shri Siddarth Dayal, Advocate

Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Respondents were granted time to file Objection on delay condonation application, which has been filed so far.</div><div>3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</div><div>4. List on 21.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 646 of 2024 with M.A. No. 739 of 2024

Ex. Sigm. Nagina Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>None appears on behalf of the applicant.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Respondents were granted time to file Objection against the delay condonation application, which has not been filed as yet.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file Objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List on 14.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 747 of 2024 with M.A. No. 834 of 2024

L/NK Mohit Kumar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dyani (Retd), Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for conducting of Re-Survey Medical Board to assess the disability of the applicant at the time of discharge of the applicant.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 843 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 01 month and 11 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>7. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 747 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p>

	<p>10.Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 09.01.2025</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>
--	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 785 of 2024 with M.A. No. 882 of 2024

Nb.Sub. Chaina Ram (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate
Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Pandey,Advocate

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents raised some objection to the Annexure A-1 annexed to this Affidavit. He prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to file Objection, if any.</div><div>4. List on 22.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 811 of 2024 with M.A. No. 909 of 2024

Ex. NK (ACP-) Shailendra Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. The documents alongwith the Affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability.</div></div> <div><u>M.A. No. 909 of 2024</u><div><div>5. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 12 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</div><div>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</div><div>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</div></div><div><u>O.A. No. 811 of 2024</u><div><div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10 Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks’ time</div></div></div></div>

	<p>to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 10.01.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>
--	---

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The defects as pointed out by the Registry has not been rectified.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one week's further time to rectify the defects, failing which the case under Diary No.1917 of 2024 shall stand dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>4. List on 15.10.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

O.A. No. 345 of 2019

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S/Sri Anil V. Section Officer, Dushyant Kumar Sharma, ASO and Gp. Capt. A. Dayal are also present on behalf of respondents to assist the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has not prepared the case.</p> <p>4. On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>5. List on 7.11.2024.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 227 of 2021

Ex.Sep. Ravendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. None appears on behalf of the respondents.</div><div>2. List on 28.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 250 of 2021

Nisi Kumar W/I Ex. Hav. Raghubansh Chandra Verma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Advocate
Shri K.K. Seth, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>On 19.3.2024 the impleamdment application moved on behalf of the applicant was allowed and the applicant was directed to carry out the amendment within 03 days. Amendment has not been incorporated till date.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to incorporate the amendment, failing which O.A. shall stand dismissed for non-prosecution.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 21.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 1042 of 2023

Ex.Hav. Sanjeet SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra,Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi,Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>06.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt.Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant was granted two weeks’ time to file Rejoinder Affidavit by way of last opportunity, which has not been filed sofar.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one week’s further time to file Rejoinder Affidavit, failing which opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit shall stand closed.</div><div>4. List for final hearing on 14.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>