

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1077 of 2024

Hav / NA Subhash Kumar Jakhar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance already filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This original application has been filed for grant of premature retirement to the applicant on compassionate ground.</div><div>5. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 27.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | <div>RK/-</div>   |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1083 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1214 of 2024

GNR (TA) Vinod Kumar Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri DS Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DS Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. There is delay of more than 16 years in filing of Original Application as reported by the Registry. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, on delay in filing the O.A.</div><div>6. List on 20.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | RK/-  |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 433 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1231 of 2023

Lt Col Vinod Kumar (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 28.05.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 1231 of 2023.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 27.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | RK/-  |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

M.A. No. 1215 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1216 of 2024 &  
M.A. No. 1217 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 431 of 2021

Rect Ajay Kumar Singh Bohra  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri DS Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DS Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. In this case, MA No. 1215/2024 has been filed by the applicant for correction in order passed by this Tribunal on 22.02.2022 in O.A No. 434/2021 and MA No. 1217/2024 has been filed for grant of Leave to Appeal against the order of this Tribunal. M.A No. 1216/2024 has been filed for condoning the delay in filing the Leave to Appeal application.</p> <p>4. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties on M.A No. 1215/2024 which is moved by the applicant for correction of the O.A number which has been wrongly mentioned in the order as ‘O.A No. 434 of 2021’ instead of ‘<b>O.A No. 431 of 2021</b>’. This application is moved for correction of typographical mistake which is liable to be allowed. Correction application is allowed. Order be corrected during the course of the day.</p> <p>5. List for hearing on M.A No. 1216/2024 and M.A No. 1217/2024 on <b>22.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 5)

T.A. No. 24 of 2024

Ex JWO Pramod Kumar Dwivedi  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance already filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to print his name as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Vakalatnama has already been filed by Shri Rama Kant, Advocate on behalf of the applicant which is also taken on record.</div><div>3. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>4. This application has been received in this Tribunal by transfer from AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</div><div>5. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>6. Pleadings have been exchanged.</div><div>7. List for final hearing on 06.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | RK/-  |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**Ex. No. 52 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 151 of 2017  
Along with Ex- A. No. 42 of 2021**

**Manish Shukla** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Supplementary affidavit has already been filed by the applicant and prayer has been made to recall the order dated 11.08.2022 passed in Execution application No. A/42/2021. Time was granted to the respondents to file objection. Objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two week's time as a last opportunity to file objection, if any.</p> <p>5. List on <b>29.01.2025</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**Ex. A. No. 50 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 198 of 2023**

**Ex HFO Shail Kumar Singh** Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajit Ranjan, Advocate  
Shri Anushri Verma, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar, Advocate

## Versus

Union of India &amp; Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajit Ranjan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit on status report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. Compliance report has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition against the order of this Tribunal has been filed. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/-. Respondents are also directed to deposit cost imposed earlier which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on <b>17.02.2025</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 150 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 249 of 2023

Ex JWO Sarvesh Kumar Bajpai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <div><div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status report has also not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of the case on payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 17.02.2025.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**Ex. A. No. 151 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 302 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Pradip Kumar Das** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status report has also not been filed.</li> <li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit / affidavit regarding present status of the case on payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>4. List on <b>18.02.2025</b>.</li> <li>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="381 1929 816 1997" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1053 1929 1357 1997" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 152 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 971 of 2022

Ex JWO Pravin Kumar Chauhan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status report has also not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit / affidavit regarding present status of the case on payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 18.02.2025.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | RK/-   |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 153 of 2024 Inre: T.A. No. 14 of 2023

Ex Rect Rinku Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status report has also not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of the case on payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 18.02.2025.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | RK/-   |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 154 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 869 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Uday Raj Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit/ stay order passed by the Hon'ble High Court, if any, failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 19.02.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | RK/-  |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 173 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 784 of 2023

MWO (HFL) Shyam Lal Pandey (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit/ stay order passed by the Hon'ble High Court, if any, failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 19.02.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | RK/-  |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 239 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 795 of 2023

Wg Cdr Sher Singh Dagur (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. SN Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit/ stay order passed by the Hon’ble High Court, if any, failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 20.02.2025.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 240 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 708 of 2022

Ex Hav Pitam Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of the case, failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 20.02.2025.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | RK/-  |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 280 of 2024 Inre: T.A. No. 44 of 2023

Ex Sgt Satish Kumar Pandey  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this is a fresh Execution Application. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. List on 21.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div> |



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 77 of 2016

Brig SK Sharma (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SS Pandey, Advocate  
Shri SS Rajawat, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is subjudice before Hon’ble Apex Court. This case is depend on the outcome of the case pending before Hon’ble Apex Court.</div><div>3. List on 28.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | UKT/-   |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 1094 of 2023**

# Ex Sub Subba Reddy Ravi Patti

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 1602 823 1666" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1060 1602 1349 1666" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 1133 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1543 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Viresh Kumar @ Viresh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Kumar Thakur, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Kumar Thakur, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on delay filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>15.01.2025</b> for hearing on admission.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="381 1591 823 1658" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="1092 1591 1390 1658" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/> <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1425 of 2023

Lt Col Dhiraj Kandpal  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1427 of 2023

Lt Col Liladhar Joshi (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate  
Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today is last opportunity to file counter affidavit which has not been filed by the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for one more chance to file counter affidavit..</div><div>3. As one more chance, two weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit, failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs 4,000/-.</div><div>4. List on 12.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1500 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2165 of 2023

Ex L NK Ram Kumar Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No 1, 2 and 4 is present. Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava is present on behalf of respondents No 3. He submits that he has filed his vakalatnama in Registry on 28.05.2024. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>2. Vakalatnama filed by him is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for respondent No 3 in addition to Shri Vinod Kumar Singh on the next date of listing.</div><div>3. Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.</div><div>4. List on <b>04.02.2025</b> before appropriate court.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 13 of 2024

Nb Sub Jalaluddin (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>4. List on 20.11.2024 for final hearing,</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 44 of 2024

Lt Col Hardeep Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate  
Gp Capt SB Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>2. Today is last opportunity to file counter affidavit which has not been filed by the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As one additional chance, four weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div> |



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 135 of 2024

Ex Sub Amar Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)  
O.A. No. 192 of 2024 with M.A. No. 245 of 2024

Ex JWO Sanjay Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Affidavit regarding rejection of first appeal filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Today case is listed for physical hearing on admission.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 27.01.2025 for physical hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |
|                       | UKT/-   |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)  
O.A. No. 258 of 2024 with M.A. No. 324 of 2024

Ex Sub Maj Mahesh ShahiApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate  
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate  
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <div>06.11.2024<br/>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)<br/>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>M.A. No. 324 of 2024</div> <div>1. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div> <div>3. Regarding delay submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons explained in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</div> <div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</div> <div>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay and maintainability offered by the applicant, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div> |

6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. we find that the cause shown for the delay and maintainability is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of ***Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh*** [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands **disposed off** accordingly.

**O.A. No. 258 of 2024**

7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.

8. Case needs adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **24.02.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)  
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)  
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 315 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1193 of 2024  
M.A. No. 390 of 2024

Col Hari Om Tripathy (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 390 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of 01 year and 11 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>3. Regarding delay submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons explained in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> |

**O.A. No. 315 of 2024**

- 6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
- 7. Case needs adjudication.
- 8. Admit.
- 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 10. List on **13.02.2025**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 428 of 2024

Ex Sub Ram Sharan Singh Tomar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 14.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

T.A. No. 13 of 2024

Sgt Sudhanshu Joshi (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri – Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, on behalf of applicant is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the applicant on the next date of listing.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.12.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p> |



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 211 of 2017

**Bhupendra Gavar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Maj Vinay Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>24.02.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p> |

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 801 of 2023

Ex LMA Himanshu Shekhar Tiwari  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <div><div><div>06.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. O.A is partly <b>allowed</b>.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div></div> |

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 908 of 2023

**Ex Hav Murti Singh Bisht** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <p><b><u>06.11.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Upasna Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Despite availing number of chances, rejoinder affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. His opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit has been closed. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. O.A is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)<br/>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p> |