

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 581 of 2023

Ex. CHMECH Bimal Kumar Roy Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Order pronounced today in the open Court.2. Original Application is dismissed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)
Dairy No. 885 of 2024

Ex. SWR Navin Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondents and submits that he will be filing Memo of Appearance during the course of the day. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the records.3. Registry has pointed out three defects in this case. Applicant is directed to remove the defects raised by the Registry within a week.4. List on 30.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)

Dairy No. 906 of 2024

Smt. Madhuri Devi W/o Ex. Hav M Santosh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narenddra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Siddahrth Dayal, Advocate
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the records.3. Registry has pointed out defects in this case. Applicant is directed to remove the defects raised by the Registry within a week.4. List on 26.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)

Dairy No. 1248 of 2024

MCPO AF I (Hon SLT) Jitendra Narayan Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Registry has pointed out defects in this case. Applicant is directed to remove the defects raised by the Registry within a week.4. List on 26.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 374 of 2024 with M.A. No. 447 of 2024

Ex. Sgt. Priyank Singh Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 01 year 07 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 374 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant for disabilities at (1) and (2) and its rounding of to 75% from the date of discharge of the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 30.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 376 of 2024 with M.A. No. 450 of 2024

Ex. NK Raj Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 03 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 376 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 30.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 377 of 2024

Ex. BHM Sukanta Pramanik Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the records.3. This Original Application has been filed for a direction to the respondents to decide the first appeal dated 22.08.2023 of the applicant.4. Case needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. At the outset, Ld. Counsel of the applicant submits that the applicant has preferred first appeal dated 22.08.2023 which has not yet been decided by the respondents and is still pending.7. Applicant's counsel submitted that the instant O.A. may be disposed of as the applicant is only seeking decision on the representation/first appeal preferred by him and ends of justice would be served if a direction is given by this Tribunal to the respondents/competent authority to consider and dispose of the pending representation/first appeal dated 22.08.2023 of the applicant attached as Annexure A-1 to this O.A. which may be decided by the Respondents by way of reasoned and speaking order within a stipulated period of time.8. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to this and agrees with the submission of the applicant.

9. In view of the relief sought by the applicant, respondents/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the representation/first appeal dated 22.08.2023 of the applicant (Annexure A-1) and pass a reasoned and speaking order according to law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, under intimation forthwith to the applicant.
10. It is made clear that nothing has been commented upon the merits of the case.
11. Applicant shall be at liberty to approach proper forum if he is not satisfied with the decision of the respondents passed on his representation.
12. The OA is disposed of accordingly.
13. Copy of the order be provided to the Id. counsel for the parties.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 378 of 2024 with M.A. No. 451 of 2024

Ex. Hav (MACP Nb Sub) Ramesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>2. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 11 months and 02 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 378 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 31.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 379 of 2024 with M.A. No. 452 of 2024

Ex. Nb. Sub. Arvind Kumar Yadava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 07 months and 02 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 379 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension @30% from the date of discharge of the applicant alongwith 12% interest on arrears.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel of the applicant that the applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 22.02.1997. He was discharged from Army on 28.02.2023. Before discharge Release Medical Board was held and he was diagnosed with Primary Hypertension but the Board has opined the disability as NANA.9. Ld. counsel for the applicant further submitted that the applicant has preferred a representation/ first appeal dated 17.03.2023 for grant of disability pension which has not yet been decided by the respondents and is still pending.

10. Applicant's counsel submitted that the instant O.A. may be disposed of as the applicant is only seeking decision on the representation/first appeal preferred by him and ends of justice would be served if a direction is given by this Tribunal to the respondents/competent authority to consider and dispose of the pending representation/first appeal dated 17.03.2023 of the applicant attached as Annexure A-1 to this O.A. which may be decided by the Respondents by way of reasoned and speaking order within a stipulated period of time.
11. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to this and agrees with the submission of the applicant.
12. In view of the above innocuous prayer made by the applicant, respondents/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the representation/ first appeal dated 17.03.2023 of the applicant (Annexure A-1) and pass a reasoned and speaking order according to law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, under intimation forthwith to the applicant.
13. It is made clear that nothing has been commented upon the merits of the case.
14. Applicant shall be at liberty to approach proper forum if he is not satisfied with the decision of the respondents passed on his representation.
15. The OA is disposed of accordingly.
16. Copy of the order be provided to the Id. counsel for the parties.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 159 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1046 of 2022

HFO Ram Prakash Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 09.05.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 1046 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed three weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.5. List on 24.07.2024 . <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 715 of 2023

Brig. Purna Chandra Jena (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Order pronounced today in the open Court.2. Original Application is partly allowed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 153 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 459 of 2022

Col. George Alexander (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Affidavit of responsible officer has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order within two weeks..6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.7. List on 14.05.2024.8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 379 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 126 of 2023

Ex. WO Manoj Kumar Sah Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit as a last opportunity.4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.5. List on 15.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 445 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 839 of 2021

Ex. NK Avnesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.4. List on 20.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 450 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 577 of 2022

Ex. WO Jai Shankar Panda Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.4. List on 03.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 451 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 685 of 2022

Ex. HFL Rajesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.4. List on 03.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 460 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 321 of 2019
Nb Sub (MP) Visarjan Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.4. List on 11.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 476 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1136 of 2022

Ex. Gdr. Dheerendra Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.5. List on 11.07.2024.6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1009 of 2022

Col. Mahipal Singh Deopa (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Despite grant of two opportunities respondents have not filed supplementary affidavit as directed by this Tribunal. Opportunity to file supplementary affidavit is hereby closed.</p> <p>3. List for final hearing on 29.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 688 of 2023

Col. Vinod Kumar Karla (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Despite grant of several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one more last opportunity to file CA failing which opportunity to file CA may be closed.4. List on 08.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 807 of 2023

Ex WO Amar Singh Yadaw Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Rejoinder affidavit has also been filed by the applicant which is also taken on record.4. Pleadings are complete.5. List for final hearing on 13.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 885 of 2023

Ex. Sub. (Hony. Sub Maj) Veer Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.3. Pleadings are complete.4. List for final hearing on 27.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)
O.A. No. 889 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Kush Narayan Jaiswal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit which is also taken on record.4. O.A is Partly allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.14)

O.A. No. 1057 of 2023

Ex Sub Vinod Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.15)

O.A. No. 1081 of 2023

Ex WO Satya Pal Singh Bais

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Narayan Mishra holding brief for Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant stated that the arguing counsel is out of station today.3. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit.4. List for final hearing on 13.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 1167 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Shailendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1244 of 2023

Ex. Sub Aditya Prasad Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neelu Singh Chauhan, Advocate
Shri Vivek Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is partly allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.18)

O.A. No. 1264 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFO) Arun Kumar Dixit Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 20.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 1291 of 2023

Hony Capt Shri Niwas Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. H.M. Maheshwari (Vetran) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Col. H.M. Maheshwari (Vetran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week's further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit failing which opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall stand closed.3. List on 29.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.20)

O.A. No. 1306 of 2023

Lt. Col. Varun Chaturvedi (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 02.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.21)

O.A. No. 1307 of 2023

Ex. Hony Lt. MCPO LOG (STD) Bhagwan Singh Bisht Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 02.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.22)

O.A. No. 1316 of 2023

Ex MWO Dipak Kumar Mondal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 02.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.23)

O.A. No. 1359 of 2023

Ex. NK Bablu Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.3. List for final hearing on 30.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.24)

O.A. No. 1520 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2190 of 2023

Ex Sep Nem Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht holding brief for Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application but the objections have not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time as a last opportunity to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application failing which opportunity to file objection shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 03.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.25)

O.A. No. 29 of 2024

Ex Hav. Madan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 02.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.26)

O.A. No. 30 of 2024

Ex. Hav. (MACP –III Nb Sub Grade) Nur Asst Pradeep Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 15.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.27)

O.A. No. 31 of 2024

Sgt. Mohammad Hadish (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 15.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.28)

O.A. No. 32. Of 2024

Sgt. Prem Shanker Pandey (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 14.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.29)

O.A. No. 106 of 2024

Hav/ Hur Asst Deepak Kumar B Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr Ajit Kakkar, (Retd) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the parties. 2. List on 27.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.30)

O.A. 367 of 2024

Ex Sub Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the parties. 2. List on 07.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.31)

O.A. No. 368 of 2024 with M.A. No. 443 of 2024

Sub Unas Ali Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. There is a delay of 08 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.3. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 368 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 31.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.32)

O.A. No. 634 of 2022

Rfn Salim Ahmad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Madhulika Yadav, Advocate
Ms. Jyoti Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Amrendra Pratap, Advocate
Shri Ashish Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In this case, amendment application moved by the applicant was allowed on 31.01.2024 and applicant was directed to incorporate the amendment but amendment has not been carried out by the applicant. On 29.02.2024, order was passed that "<i>applicant is directed to incorporate the amendment within two weeks failing which this Original Application shall dismissed for non-prosecution.</i>"</p> <p>3. Today, none is present for the applicant. Amendment has also not been carried out.</p> <p>4. It appears that the applicant is not interested in pursuing this case. The instant case is dismissed in non-prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 74 of 2023

Ex. Nk Subhas Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of Id. counsel for the parties, list this case for final hearing on 24.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.34)

O.A. No. 689 of 2023

Ex L/NK Arvind Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Misra Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K. K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not ready for arguments. He prays for and is granted last opportunity to argue the case on the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 20.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>