

**Reserved on 24.09.2024**

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Supl. Sl. No. 1 )**

**O.A. No. 1237 of 2023 with M.A No. 1750/2023**

**Ex Sigmn Kirpal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK. Mishra, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The matter was heard at length and the order was reserved on 24.09.2024.</li><li>2. Order is being pronounced today in the Open Court as under:</li><li>3. This original application has been filed for the following reliefs:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i) <i>to quash Signal Records, Jabalpur, letter Nos. P6281584/C3-IIA dt. 29 Jan 69 and P6281584/DPI/NER dt 8 Aug 87, Annexure A-1 and A-2 respectively to OA).</i></li><li>(ii) <i>to direct the respondents to grant 20% disability Pension to the applicant as per his entitlement, duly rounded of to 50% along with its arrears with interest as applicable.</i></li><li>(iii) <i>Any other relief which the Hon'ble Tribunal may think just and proper may be granted to the applicant.</i></li><li>(iv) <i>Cost of the case may be awarded in favour of the applicant.</i></li></ol></li><li>4. As reported by the Registry, there is delay of 52 years, 02 months and 21 days, excluding the Covid-19 Pandemic period, in filing of this O.A. Applicant has filed the delay condonation application (M.A No. 1750 of 2023) for condoning the delay.</li><li>5. Objection to the delay condonation application has been filed by the respondents.</li><li>6. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li></ol>

	<p>7. Ld. counsel for the applicant submitted that delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>8. It has been further submitted by the applicant's counsel that due to disability of the applicant, he was discharged from service on 02.07.1968. His representation for grant of disability element was rejected on 08.08.1987. In the year 2016, he filed O.A No. 614/2016 before the Principal Bench of this Tribunal wherein delay in filing the O.A was condoned on 09.05.2017 and applicant was directed to file his appeal to appropriate Army authorities for grant of disability pension. The applicant submitted his first appeal vide letter dated 25.05.2017, the outcome of which had been communicated to the applicant vide letter dated 12.12.2017 (Annexure A-9) stating that the case cannot be processed as the mandatory documents have been destroyed.</p> <p>9. Ld. counsel for the respondents has filed objection on delay condonation application and stated that delay of more than 55 years has not been properly explained. He stated that there is no reasonable/ sufficient cause shown by the application to condone the delay. He further submitted that after lapse of more than 55 years, service records have been destroyed.</p> <p>10. Ld. counsel for the respondents relied upon the judgement passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of <b>Union of India &amp; Ors Vs. A. Durairaj (Dead), 2010 914) SCC 389</b> and <b>Balwant Singh Vs Jagdish Singh &amp; Ors reported in (2010) 8 SCC 685</b> wherein it has been held that delay ought to be explained very carefully and reasonably so that the courts after looking into the entire explanation may condone the delay. In the present case, applicant has not given sufficient reasons in his affidavit to condone the delay. He urged that the O.A be rejected and the instant M.A also be dismissed at the admission stage itself.</p> <p>11. Applicant has filed reply to the objection of the respondents wherein he reiterated the same as in the delay condonation application and submitted that being pensionary matter, delay may be condoned.</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>12. We have considered the arguments of the Id. counsel for the parties. In this case, applicant was enrolled in the Army on 23.05.1961 and was discharged from service on 02.07.1968 in low medical category. He preferred application for grant of disability pension which was rejected vide order dated 29.01.1969. Since then, he preferred his representations on 22.07.1987 only. The applicant preferred to sleep over the matter for long years and preferred his first appeal on 25.05.2017 in compliance of the order dt. 09.05.2017 of the Principal Bench of this Tribunal in which he was directed to prefer first appeal by 16.05.2017. The appeal was rejected and returned as all the documents were destroyed in accordance with Para 592 to 596 of Defence Service Regulations for the Army Vol. II 1987 (Revised Edition).</p> <p>13. It is well settled that if a person is not vigilant about his right by not approaching the court against the adverse order, then the court cannot help him by entertaining the petition after a long delay. In the case of <i>Union of India versus Harnam Singh</i> (1993)(2) S.C.C. Page 162), the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that -</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“the Law of Limitation may operate harshly but it has to be applied with all its rigour and the Courts or Tribunals cannot come to aid of those who sleep over their rights and allow the period of limitation to expire”.</i></p> <p>14. Moreover, the applicant has failed to explain the inordinate delay in filing the O.A, to the satisfaction of this Court. Though an application has been moved for condonation of delay, but it does not contain sufficient ground, whatsoever, to justify the very long delay of more than 52 years in filing the O.A. In the case of <b>Bhup Singh versus Union of India &amp; Ors, (1992 A.I.R. S.C. Page 1414)</b>, it has been held that the delay and laches must be explained to the satisfaction of the Court for seeking condonation of delay.</p> <p>15. Further, the applicant is non pensioner, therefore, his service documents have been destroyed after expiry of retention period as per Para 595 of Regulations for the Army, 1987 as stated in rejection of first appeal.</p> <p>16. In view of the above, delay condonation applicant is rejected being devoid of merit. <b>M.A No. 1750 of 2023 is dismissed</b> accordingly.</p>
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<div>17. Original application being time barred is also <b>dismissed</b> at the admission stage itself.</div> <div>18. No order as to costs.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

Diary No: 2898 of 2024

**Nb Sub Mane Shivaji Ramchandra (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. Defects have been pointed out by the Registry regarding territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain this O.A. Applicant is directed to rectify the defects as raised by the Registry within a week.</p> <p>5. List on <b>27.11.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. No. 71 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 291 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Jamaluddin Ansari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the present status of the execution case within two weeks.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 24.01.2025.</div><div>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)  
Ex. A. No. 389 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 646 of 2022

**Lt. Col. Krishna Murai (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Applicant**

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

**Respondents**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></div><div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Present status report of the execution case has also not been filed.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution case till the next date of listing.</div><div>7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>8. List on <b>24.01.2025</b>.</div><div>9. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>RK/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 415 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 35 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Uday Veer Singh Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Status report filed by respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Compliance report has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs 6,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date fixed which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 24.01.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex.No.419 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 76 of 2002

MWO Yogendra Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri A.K. Chaudhary, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>08.10.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>8. List on 27.01.2025.</div><div>9. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**Ex. Sep. Santosh Kumar (DSC)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sanjeev Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Yogesh Yadav, Advocate

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</li> <li>5. Since there is no stay order passed by the Hon'ble Court, respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, within four weeks on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>6. List on <b>27.01.2025</b>.</li> <li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 422 of 2023 Inre: 897 of 2022

Ex. Sub. (ASH) Kumar Pal  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. Status report filed by respondents is taken on record.</div><div>5. Compliance report has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed before Hon’ble High Court on 02.09.2024 but stay order has not been granted. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report/ stay order. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report/ stay order. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost due against them by the next date fixed which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 27.01.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 423 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 393 of 2022

**Ex. Sub. Sudhansu Kumar Sahu** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashsih Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</p> <p>4. Since there is no stay order passed by the Hon’ble Court, respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, within four weeks on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on <b>28.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <div><div><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

## Respondents

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</li> <li>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>3. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</li> <li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>6. List on <b>28.01.2025</b>.</li> <li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="396 2163 836 2239" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1079 2163 1383 2239" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p data-bbox="363 2268 415 2290">RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 10 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 104 of 2023

Col. Rakesh Chandra Jain (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person,

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>08.10.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing applicant in person and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>8. List on <b>20.01.2025</b>.</div><div>9. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 119 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 94 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Yogendra Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>7. List on <b>29.01.2025</b>.</li> <li>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="393 2085 837 2161">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div> <div data-bbox="1075 2085 1362 2161">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 120 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1001 of 2022

Ex. NK. Harish Chandra Joshi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit as a last opportunity failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit with regard to the present status of the execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order till the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 29.01.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div>
	RK/-



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

M.A. No. 248 of 2024 Inre: 1011 of 2022

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Maj (Retd) Avinash Belwal  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Praijaat Belaura, Advocate

Applicants  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/respondents in the O.A is present through video conferencing. Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Applicants/ respondents in the O.A was granted time to move amendment application for correction in the date of order in prayer clause which has not been moved.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the applicants/ respondents in the O.A prays for and is granted a last opportunity to two weeks to move amendment application.</div><div>4. List on 27.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 684 of 2022 with M.A. No. 551 of 2024 with M.A. No. 81 of 2024

Wg. Cdr. D.R. Beniwal

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection to the amendment application moved by the applicant which has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection to the amendment application.</div><div>4. List on 02.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 686 of 2023

Lt. Col. (Retd) Akash Sachan  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri S.S. Rajawat, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. This case had been admitted on 02.06.2023. Since then, several opportunities and even two last opportunities have been granted to the respondents to file counter affidavit but counter affidavit has not been filed. Cost of Rs. 5000/- has also been imposed on the respondents for not filing the counter affidavit within time. Opportunity to file counter affidavit is closed.</div><div>3. List this case for final hearing on 02.01.2025.</div><div>4. Respondents may file documents in support of their version till the next date of listing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1112 of 2023

**JWO Ritesh Kumar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Vide order dated 31.07.2024, learned counsel for the respondents was directed to refer the case of the applicant to Medical Board for their opinion with regard to composite assessment of both the disabilities of the applicant which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further two weeks time to file the same.</p> <p>4. As a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to seek instructions from the authority concerned and file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on <b>02.01.2025</b>.</p> <p>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</p> <div><div><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 1404 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. (DVR) Shailendra Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Advocate  
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S. N. Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="396 1618 844 1690" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="1066 1618 1370 1690" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1496 of 2023

Ram Shankar Tiwari MCEA (P) I (Hony. Lt) (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>08.10.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Cdr Aditya Deep Singh, Naval Pension Office, Mumbai are present.</div><div>3. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>5. List on 27.11.2024 for final hearing.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 102 of 2024

Col. Sanjeev Singh (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Col. R.C. Jain (Veteran), Advocate  
Ms. Divya Jain, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. R.C. Jain (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Despite availing three opportunities, Counter affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents. He submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 252 of 2024

**Leading Seaman Avinash Kumar Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Cdr Aditya Deep Singh, Naval Pension Office, Mumbai for the respondents are present. Ms. Preeti Mala, learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Departmental representative submits that parawise comments have been handed over to learned counsel for the respondents. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 254 of 2024

Nb. Sub. Kamal Bahadur Thapa (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Maj Danish Farooque, Departmental Representative for the respondents is also present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>07.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No.373 of 2024

Ex. Sub. Bhavsar Indravardhan Bansilal  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 374 of 2024

Ex. Sgt. Priyank Singh ChauhanApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 387 of 2024

Sgt. Vinod Kumar Verma Rdo Fit (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 08.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 397 of 2024

Sub. Manoj Kumar (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 436 of 2024

Col. Ajay Uniyal (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Col. R.C. Jain (Veteran), Advocate

Ms. Divya Jain, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. R. C. Jain (Veteran), is present in person. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is also present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 437 of 2024

Col. Sanjay Washington (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. R.C. Jain (Veteran), Advocate  
Ms. Divya Jain, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. R.C. Jain (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 497 of 2024 with M.A. No. 586 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Mahendra Nath SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate  
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>08.10.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>3. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</div><div>4. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 612 of 2024

Ex. Sub. **Jairam Kumar Suman** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 614 of 2024

Ex. Sep. Brij Mohan Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 10.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**O.A. No. 558 of 2018**

**Nk. (Amb Asst) Ram Prasad Ahirwar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is physically present. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. This case was listed today for physical hearing but Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents is not present physically.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.12.2024</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the parties are directed to file their written submission by the next date fixed.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="394 1602 833 1666" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="1071 1602 1362 1666" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 268 of 2021

Ram Kumar Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties at some length.</div><div>3. List on 29.01.2025 as part heard case.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 117 of 2023

Ex. WO Bal Chand Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>08.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. Arguments concluded.</div><div>4. Order reserved.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

**O.A. No. 26 of 2024**

**HAV/STA Vikas Kumar Kaushik** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate  
Shri Vishwash Kumar, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>08.10.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. O.A is <b>disposed off</b>.</p> <p>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="394 1636 833 1704" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="1071 1636 1362 1704" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>