

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 1 of 2024 with M.A. No. 01 of 2024**

**W.O. Pramod Ranjan Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 01 year 06 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 01 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>02.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)**  
**O.A. No. 2 of 2024 with M.A. No. 02 of 2024**

**Sgt. Amit Kumar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 11 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 02 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>02.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 4 of 2024 with M.A. No. 04 of 2024**

**Ex. Hav. Harikrishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through video conferencing.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 01 year 06 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 04 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>02.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 5 of 2024 with M.A. No. 05 of 2024**

**Ex. L/NK Ratnesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anand Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Sachinda Nand Tiwari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Anand Kumar and Shri Sachinda Nand Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 03 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 05 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>03.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 8 of 2024 with M.A. No. 18 of 2024**

**Sub Raheesh Prasad Yadav (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is no delay in filing this original application but delay condonation application has been filed which is rejected as not required.</li><li>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>02.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 9 of 2024 with M.A. No. 35 of 2024**

**Sub Mahendra Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is no delay in filing this original application but delay condonation application has been filed which is rejected as not required.</li><li>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>02.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 10 of 2024 with M.A. No. 8 of 2024**

**Sub. Sadanand Ram (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is no delay in filing this original application but delay condonation application has been filed which is rejected as not required.</li><li>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>02.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 12 of 2024 with M.A. No. 10 of 2024

Ex NK Pawan Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 09 years 03 months and 16 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not intentional and is due to the reasons stated in the affidavit. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 12 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>04.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 13 of 2024 with M.A. No. 16 of 2024**

**Nb Sub Jalaluddin (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 01 year 03 months and 16 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 13 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>04.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)**

**Dairy No. 4480 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 339 of 2023**

**Ex WO Virendra Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 17.07.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 339 of 2023.</li><li>4. Registry has raised objection that case number does not tally.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to rectify the defects raised by the Registry within 15 days.</li><li>6. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)**

**Ex-A. No. 2 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 477 of 2023**

**Ex. JWO Bharat Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 27.07.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 477 of 2023.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed six weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>5. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 12)**

**Ex-A. No. 4 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 892 of 2022**

**JWO Udai Narain Tripathi (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 20.03.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 892 of 2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed six weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>5. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)**

**Ex-A. No. 242 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 56 of 2019**

**Ex. Spr Bharat Chandra Biswas** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance report has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Today, affidavit regarding status of the case has been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</li><li>4. It is submitted in the affidavit that matter is pending before PIFA since 24.11.2023. Reasons for delay have not shown in the affidavit.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is directed to show cause as to why proceedings of attachment of property/ account be not initiated against the respondents.</li><li>6. Respondents are also directed to show cause why proceedings u/s 19(1) of AFT Act 2007 and Section 25 of AFT (Procedure) Rules 2008 and Order 21 of CPC be not initiated against the respondents.</li><li>7. List this case on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li><li>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)**

**Ex-A No. 376 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 762 of 2021**

**Ex. Sub. Maj (Hony Capt) Gaje Singh Rawat** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. Cost due against the respondents shall be deposited on or before the next date of listing.</li><li>6. List on <b>20.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)**

**Ex-A. No. 91 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 433 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Madhusudan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further three week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>05.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)**

**Ex-A. No. 217 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 604 of 2022**

**Ex. ACP Nb Sub Kailash Chandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of order is under process and matter is pending before PS-4. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>13.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)**

**Ex-A. No. 218 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 950 of 2022**

**Ex. Dfr Ved Prakash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending before Line Directorate. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>13.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)**

**Ex-A. No. 220 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 800 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. (Hony. Capt) Pramod Kumar Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending before the Line Directorate. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>13.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)**

**Ex-A. No. 421 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 1020 of 2022**

**Ex. Sep. Santosh Kumar (DSC)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sanjeev Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Yogesh Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sanjeev Kumar Mishra and Shri Yogesh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>26.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 166 of 2023**

**Col. Ashutosh Tripathi (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C.Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Despite grant of several opportunities Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. In the interest of justice, three weeks further time is allowed as a last opportunity failing which opportunity to file CA shall stand closed and the matter shall be decided on the basis of the material available on record.</li><li>4. List for final hearing on <b>02.02.2024</b>.</li><li>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 594 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Sumit Sharma**

**Applicant**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

**Respondents**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</li><li>3. O.A is allowed.</li><li>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p><b>((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 597 of 2023**

**Ex NK Ramesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed by the applicant.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted further a week's time to file Rejoinder Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List for hearing on <b>29.01.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 675 of 2023**

**Ex L /NK Jainath Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received para-wise comments. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 678 of 2023**

**Brig. Rajeev Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate  
Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 13)**  
**O.A. No. 751 of 2023**

**Ex. JWO Rakesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKeshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.14 )**

**O.A. No. 766 of 2023**

**GpCapt Rakesh Ranjan (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is allowed</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.15 )**

**O.A. No. 793 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Bhim Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O. A. No. 818 of 2023 with M.A. No. 971 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Rajendra Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate  
Ms. Alka Saxena, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 971 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>4. There is delay of 06 years, 08 months &amp; 23 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action. Hence, application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 818 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>8. Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>9. Original Application is partly <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p>10. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>11. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall stand disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 844 of 2023**

**Ex.Sub. Shiv Kumar Saroj**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**09.01.2024**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)**

**Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)**

1. Heard applicant in person and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.

2. Applicant has filed present application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and has sought following reliefs:-

*“(a) Issue/pass an order or direction to set aside/quash the letter/order dated 19.07.2021, which is annexed as Annexure No. A-1 to this Original Application.*

*(b) To issue/pass an order or directions to the respondents to constitute a fresh medical board for assessment of present medical condition/disability percentage of the applicant and accordingly grant disability element of disability pension to the applicant from date of discharge alongwith @12% interest on arrear oin light of Hon'ble Apex Court judgements.*

*(c) To issue/pass an order or directions to the respondents to grant benefits of rounding off/broad banding off disability pension under the assessment in the proposed Re-Survey Medical Board to he held for the assessment of present disability percentage of the applicant.*

*(d) To issue/pass any other order or direction as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem just, fit and proper under the circumstances of the case in favour of the applicant.*

*(e) To allow this original application with costs.*

3. Brief facts of the case are that applicant was enrolled in the army on 25.03.1987 and was discharged from service on 31.07.2016 in SHAPE-1 under Army Rule 13 (3) III (i) on completion of terms of engagement. In the year 2009, the applicant sustained back injury during BPET (Battle Physical

Efficiency Test) while crossing 9 feet ditch. Due to this injury, he suffered Low Backache. Since then he was under routine medication. During 2014 applicant reported sick to Base Hospital, Lucknow and was seen by Col Barun Datta, Senior Advisor (Ortho & Joint Replacement & Surgery) and on his prescription, he underwent MRI and was diagnosed as a case of Low Backache. Since then till retirement he was under active medication of many specialists. The applicant continued with conservative treatment as advised by Neuro Surgeon but not much relief. At the time of retirement also the applicant requested for conduct of his Release Medical Board but was denied being in Shape-I category. Applicant preferred many representations to the competent authorities but of no avail. His last representation dated 20 May 2021 for Post Discharge claim was rejected by the respondents vide letter dated 19.07.2021. Aggrieved, filed the present Original Application.

4. The applicant submitted that he was diagnosed suffering from Backache before retirement from service and he has been discharged from service in Shape-1 instead of in low medical category without holding Release Medical Board. He submitted that directions may be issued to the respondents to constitute Re-Survey Medical Board for assessment of his present medical condition/disability percentage and accordingly grant disability element of disability pension from the date of discharge alongwith 12% interest on arrear in light of Hon'ble Apex Court Judgements.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that applicant was discharged from service in Shape-1 and service pension has been notified vide PPO dated 09.06.2016. He submitted that after discharge from service the applicant preferred a personal application for conduct of his Special Review Medical Board to assess his disabilities Low Backache and PIVD (L3-L4) to L5-S1) stating that he had sustained injury in the year 2009 during BPET but neither medical record nor any investigation report is available in the unit. However post discharge claim of the applicant was sent to DGAFMS for consideration which was rejected after due examination, in absence of Injury Report/Court of Inquiry. Against the rejection of post

discharge claim the applicant has filed the instant original application on the basis of wrong and misleading facts whereas applicant is not entitled for any relief hence the original application is liable to be dismissed.

6. We have perused the records and we find that applicant was under treatment for his ailment in various Military Hospitals since 2009 before being discharged from service. His Release Medical Board was not held as he was in Shape-I.

7. In view of above, Re-survey Medical Board (RSMB) of the applicant is required to be conducted to assess gravity of his disease and medical category from which he suffered during his service and also was suffering at the time of discharge from service.

8. In view of aforesaid, the respondents are directed to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board for the applicant to assess his medical condition recommending the percentage of disability, if any. The respondents are directed to inform applicant the place and date where RSMB is to be conducted and give effect to this order positively within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

9. No order as to costs.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

DDS

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.18 )**

**O.A. No. 846 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Dharmesh Kumar Singh Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G. S. Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G. S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>partly allowed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.19 )**

**O.A. No. 847 of 2023**

**Ex. JWO Subash Chandra Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>29.01.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.20 )**

**O.A. No. 878 of 2023**

**Ex. WO Sushil Kumar Upadhyay** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms.Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R. C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he will file CA during the course of the day. He is granted further a week's time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity failing which opportunity to file the same shall stand closed.</li><li>4. List on <b>25.01.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.21 )**

**O.A. No. 946 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1253 of 2023**

**Ex. HFO Harish Chandra Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objections have been filed by the respondents on the maintainability of the O.A.</li><li>3. Reply filed by the applicant with regard to the objection raised by the respondents, is taken on record.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the ld. counsel for the respondents that the applicant is ordinarily resident of Roorkee, Haridwar which comes under the State of Uttarakhand. Therefore, this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction to hear the case.</li><li>5. Ld. counsel for the applicant opposed the submissions of the respondents' counsel and submitted that Uttarakhand is also under the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</li><li>6. Applicant is ex-serviceman and is residing at Roorkee, Haridwar, Uttarakhand which is within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</li><li>7. There is delay of 11 months and 05 days in filing this O.A. Applicant has filed an application for condoning the delay. Delay is condoned for the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 946 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>8. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>9. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>10. Admit.</li><li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>12. List on <b>02.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.22 )**

**O.A. No. 957 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk Ram Dayal Kushwaha** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj. S. M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj. S. M. Mustafa (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>19.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.23 )**

**O.A. No. 958 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Mahendra Singh Rawat** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R. K. Singh, Advocate  
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V. P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D. K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>31.01.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.24 )**

**O.A. No. 979 of 2023**

**Ex. NK Vajai Kant Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within a week.</li><li>3. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.25 )**

**O.A. No. 981 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. (Hony Lt) Shri Krishna Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted further ten days time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.26 )**

**O.A. No. 1043 of 2023**

**Ex. ALD K Ratan Veer Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further three weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.27 )**

**O.A. No. 1048 of 2023**

**Ex. NK. Pramod Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Maj Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>06.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.28 )**

**O.A. No. 1231 of 2023**

**Lt. Col. Vinod Kumar Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J L. Joel, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.L. Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.29 )**

**O.A. No. 1233 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Prabhu Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.30 )**

**O.A. No. 1235 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Ram Bhawan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.31 )**

**O.A No. 1236 of 2023**

**Ex. JWO Ajit Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey , Advocate  
Shri R. K. Singh, Advocate  
Shri D. K. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>02.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.32 )**

**T.A. No. 59 of 2023**

**HFL Rohitashwa Yadav (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana appears on behalf of the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. At the request of Id. Counsel for the parties, matter is adjourned.</li><li>4. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>