ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 643 of 2024 with M.A. No. 736 of 2024

Smt. Shela Devi W/o Ex Hav Late Ganga SahayApplicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| Nata | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
| Registry | | | |
| | 09.07.2024 | | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on | | |
| | behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the | | |
| | respondents when the case is listed next. | | |
| | 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. | | |
| | Counsel for the applicant is physically present and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. | | |
| | Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing | | |
| | M.A. No. 736 of 2024 | | |
| | 3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available | | |
| | on record. There is delay of 01 month and 20 days in filing of Original Application. | | |
| | 4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application | | |
| | is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay | | |
| | condonation application. | | |
| | 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly | | |
| | and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis. | | |
| | 6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we | | |
| | find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Delay in filing O.A. is condoned. | | |
| | Delay condonation application stands disposed of. | | |
| | O.A. No. 643 of 2024 | | |
| | 7. This O.A. has been filed by Smt Shela Devi, wife of late Ex Hav Ganga | | |
| | Sahay to issue directions to respondents to continue treatment of her daughter. | | |
| | Matter needs adjudication. Applicant has also filed application for interim relief. | | |
| | 8. Admit. | | |
| | 9. List on 19.08.2024 for hearing in interim relief. In the meantime, learned | | |
| | counsel for the respondents may file objection on application for interim relief. | | |
| | | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | | |
| | Ukt/- | | |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. 644 of 2024 with M.A. No. 737 of 2024

Ex Hav Tej Pal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit | Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of | |
| | the respondents is taken on record. His name | e be shown as Counsel for the | |
| | respondents when the case is listed next. | | |
| | 2 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, I | | |
| | Counsel for the applicant is physically present and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Lo | | |
| | Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. | | |
| | 3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that there is delay of 1 | | |
| | years, 10 months and 27 days in filing Original Application. He prays for and is | | |
| | granted four weeks' time to file objection on application for condonation of | | |
| | delay. | | |
| | 4. List on 19.08.2024 . | | |
| | | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) Ukt/- | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) | |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (S

Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 648 of 2024 with M.A. No. 741 of 2024

Ex Sub Ajay Kumar Verma Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Sim Sadiabii Tadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

| the | Orders of the Tribunal |
|----------|--|
| Registry | |
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) |

- Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)

 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
- 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.

M.A. No. 741 of 2024

- 3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application.
- 4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.
- 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.
- 6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.

O.A. No. 648 of 2024

- 7. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.
- 8. Admit.
- 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 9. List on **18.10.2024**.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Ukt/

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 4)

O.A. No. 651 of 2024 with M.A. No. 744 of 2024

Ex L/NK Yamuna Ram (DSC)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
|----------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| the | | | |
| Registry | | | |
| | 09.07.2024 | | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member | <u>(7)</u> | |
| | Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | Memo of Appearance filed by Shri M | lrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf | |
| | of the respondents is taken on record. Her | name be shown as Counsel for the | |
| | respondents when the case is listed next. | | |
| | 2 On the case being taken up for he | aring Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. | |
| | Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. A | nju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the | |
| | respondents are present. | | |
| | 3. Head learned counsel for the parties. | | |
| | 4. Learned counsel for the applicant sub | omits that he wants to withdraw this | |
| | application due to some technical error with liberty to file a fresh. Learned | | |
| | counsel for the respondents has no objection. | | |
| | 5. Original Application is dismissed a | as withdrawn with liberty to file a | |
| | fresh, if he so desires. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) | |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl SI. No. 5) O.A. No. 653 of 2024 with M.A. No. 746 of 2024

Member (J)

Ex Hav Rakesh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

| | versus | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | ndia & Others Respondents : Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate | | |
| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
| the Registry | | | |
| Registry | | | |
| | 09.07.2024 | | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | <u> </u> | | |
| | 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of | | |
| | the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the | | |
| | respondents when the case is listed next. | | |
| | 2 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel | | |
| | for the applicant is physically present. Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the | | |
| | respondents is present through video conferencing. | | |
| | M.A. No. 746 of 2024 | | |
| | 3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on | | |
| | record. There is delay of 03 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application. | | |
| | 4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is | | |
| | not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay | | |
| | condonation application. | | |
| | 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and | | |
| | satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis. | | |
| | 6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we | | |
| | find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, | | |
| | delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of. | | |
| | O.A. No. 653 of 2024 | | |
| | 7. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter | | |
| | needs adjudication. | | |
| | 8. Admit. | | |
| | 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file | | |
| | Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. | | |
| | 9. List on 18.10.2024 . | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) | | |

Member (A)

Ukt/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl SI. No. 6) O.A. No. 664 of 2024 with M.A. No. 755 of 2024

Col Dinesh Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col RN Tiwari (Retd), Advocate

Ms. Vibha Yadav, Advocate

Versus

| | ndia & Others Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | | | |
| the Registry | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | | | |
| | Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | | |
| | A Margar of Armanagae Challes Ohri Busha andra Mishar Advances on habalf of | | | |
| | 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of | | | |
| | the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the | | | |
| | respondents when the case is listed next. | | | |
| | 2 On the case being taken up for hearing Col RN Tiwari (Retd), Ld. Counsel for | | | |
| | the applicant is physically present. Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the | | | |
| | respondents is present through video conferencing. | | | |
| | M.A. No. 755 of 2024 | | | |
| | 3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on | | | |
| | record. There is a delay of 02 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application. | | | |
| | 4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is | | | |
| | not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay | | | |
| | condonation application. | | | |
| | 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and | | | |
| | satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis. | | | |
| | 6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we | | | |
| | find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of. | | | |
| | O.A. No. 664 of 2024 | | | |
| | 7. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter | | | |
| | needs adjudication. | | | |
| | 8. Admit. | | | |
| | 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file | | | |
| | Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. | | | |
| | Counter Amaavit. Rejoinder Amaavit, ii arry, may be med within two weeks thereafter. | | | |
| | 9. List on 18.10.2024 . | | | |

(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Ukt/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl SI. No. 7)

O.A. No. 642 of 2024 with M.A. No. 735 of 2024

Ex MWO (HFO) Jagdish Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | for the applicant is physically pres | o for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel ent and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the leo conferencing. Splicant prays for and is granted four weeks' |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 1)

EX-A. No. 89 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 494 of 2022

Ex Nk Laxman Saini Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|
| the | | | | |
| Registry | | | | |
| | 09.07.2024 | | | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | er (J) | | |
| | Hon ble Lt Gen Alli Fun, Member (A) | | | |
| | 1 On the case being taken up for he | aring Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for | | |
| | the applicant and Dr. SN Pandey, Ld. Co | unsel for the respondents are present. | | |
| | 2. Learned counsel for the applicant | submits that he has not received copy | | |
| | of counter affidavit. Learned counsel for | the respondents has served the same | | |
| | to applicant counsel in the Court. | | | |
| | 3. Affidavit of responsible officer | filed by learned counsel for the | | |
| | respondents. is taken on record. | | | |
| | 4. Affidavit of compliance has not be | een filed by the respondents. Learned | | |
| | counsel for the respondents submits that | against the order of Tribunal, appeal | | |
| | has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for further four weeks | | | |
| | time to file status report. | | | |
| | 5. As prayed, four weeks further times | ne is granted to file status report and | | |
| | compliance report subject to payment of additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which | | | |
| | shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. | | | |
| | 6. List on 10.10.2024 . | | | |
| | 7. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents | | | |
| | free of cost. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) | | |
| | Ukt/- | | | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 96 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 772 of 2021

Ex Nb Sub Sheo Sagar Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal | | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| Registry | | | | |
| | 09.07.2024 | | | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anii Kumar, Mem Hon'ble Lt Gen Anii Puri, Member (A) | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | | | | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for | r hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. | | |
| | Counsel for the applicant is present phy | sically and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, | | |
| | Ld. Counsel for the respondents is pres | ent through video conferencing. | | |
| | 2 Affidavit of compliance has not be | been filed by the respondents. Learned | | |
| | counsel for the respondents submits that | at against the order of Tribunal, appeal | | |
| | has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court. He also submits that cost has | | | |
| | been deposited. He prays for further four weeks' time to file status report. | | | |
| | 3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file status report and | | | |
| | compliance report subject to payment of additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which | | | |
| | shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. | | | |
| | 4. List on 10.10.2024. | | | |
| | 5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents | | | |
| | free of cost. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) | | |
| | Ukt/- | | | |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 167 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 489 of 2022

Ex JWO Bhagwan Singh Sengar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

| Notes of | Ordere of the Tribunal | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
| Registry | | | |
| | 00.07.2024 | | |
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | | |
| | Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | | | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for | | |
| | the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are | | |
| | present. | | |
| | 2 Affidavit of compliance and status report has not been filed by learned | | |
| | counsel for the respondents. She prays for further four weeks' time to file status | | |
| | report and compliance report. | | |
| | 3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file status report and | | |
| | compliance report subject to payment of additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which | | |
| | | | |
| | shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. | | |
| | 4. List on 10.10.2024 . | | |
| | 5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents | | |
| | free of cost. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) | | |
| | Member (A) Member (J) | | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 173 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 50 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj Balendra Prasad Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Applicant in person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

| Notes of | Orde | rs of the Tribunal | | |
|-----------------|--|---|---|--|
| the Registry | | | | |
| Registry | | | | |
| | | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | | |
| | | ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |
| | 1. | On the case being taken up for | hearing applicant in person and Mrs. | |
| | Amri | ta Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the | respondents are present. | |
| | 2. | Status report filed by the respon | dents is taken on record. Affidavit of | |
| | com | oliance has not been filed by lear | ned counsel for the respondents. He | |
| | prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance report. | | | |
| | 3. | As prayed, four weeks' further tir | ne is granted to file compliance report | |
| | subje | ect to payment of additional cost of | Rs. 5,000/- which shall be deposited in | |
| | the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are als | | the applicant. Respondents are also | |
| | directed to deposit the cost imposed earlier. | | ier. | |
| | 4. | List on 10.10.2024. | | |
| | 5. | Copy of this order be provided to | learned counsel for the respondents | |
| | free o | of cost. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (Li | : Gen Anil Puri) | (Justice Anil Kumar) | |
| | , | Member (A) | Member (J) | |
| | RUkt- | | | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex- A. No. 186 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 308 of 2019

Hav Didar Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. On the last date, learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file objection on compliance report. Today, learned counsel for the applicant is again praying for time to file objection on compliance report. As a last chance, four weeks' further time is granted to file objection on compliance report. List on 30.08.2024. | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) Ukt/- | | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex - A. No. 208 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 299 of 2022

Ex Sgt Vijay KumarApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|----------|--|--|
| the | | |
| Registry | | |
| | 09.07.2024 | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | TION DIE EL CENTAINT UN, MEMBEI (A) | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. | |
| | Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the | |
| | respondents are present through video conferencing. | |
| | 2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed by learned counsel for the | |
| | respondents. He prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance report. | |
| | 3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report | |
| | subject to payment of additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be deposited in | |
| | the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. | |
| | 4. List on 15.10.2024 . | |
| | 5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents | |
| | free of cost. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) | |
| | Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | Ukt/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 214 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 551 of 2022

Ex Nk Abhinay Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are | |
| | present. | |
| | 2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the | |
| | order is in process and the matter is pending with Line Directorate. She prays | |
| | for further four weeks' time to file status report. | |
| | 3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file stutus report subject | |
| | to payment of additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be deposited in the | |
| | Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. | |
| | 4. List on 15.10.2024 . | |
| | 5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents | |
| | free of cost. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 8)

Ex-A No. 235 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 538 of 2022

Maj Gen Brijesh Kumar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of | Orde | rs of the Tribunal | | |
|-----------------|-------|---|--|--|
| the Registry | | | | |
| | 09.07 | 7.2024 | | |
| | Hon'l | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | 1. | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel | | |
| | | for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the | | |
| | | respondents are present. | | |
| | 2. | This execution application has been filed for implementation of the order | | |
| | | dated 09.01.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A No. 538 of 2022. | | |
| | 3. | Despite grant of several opportunities neither the compliance affidavit | | |
| | | nor any affidavit with regard to the status of the execution and cause of | | |
| | | delay in implementation of the order, has been filed by the respondents. | | |
| | | Cost has also not been deposited. It shows that the respondents are | | |
| | | deliberately disobeying the order of this Tribunal. | | |
| | 4. | Respondents are directed to show cause as to why contempt | | |
| | | proceedings u/s 19 of AFT Act, 2007 be not initiated against the | | |
| | | respondents for not complying the order of this Tribunal. | | |
| | 5. | Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why attachment of | | |
| | | movable property of the respondents be not initiated for their wilful | | |
| | | disobedience of the order of this Tribunal. | | |
| | 6. | Respondents are directed to furnish the details/ list of movable | | |
| | | properties available in Lucknow, by the next date, which may be | | |
| | _ | attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal. | | |
| | 7. | Applicant is also directed to furnish the details/ list of some of the | | |
| | | movable properties of the respondents available in Lucknow, by the | | |
| | | next date, which may be attached in non-compliance of order of this | | |
| | 0 | Tribunal. | | |
| | 8. | Respondents are further directed to deposit all the costs due against | | |
| | | them by the next date of listing. | | |
| | | | | |

- Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit failing which coercive action shall be taken against them.
- 10. List on **14.08.2024**.
- 11. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

RK/

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A No. 239 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 1 of 2023

Ex JWO (AUP) Ram Deo SinghApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. List on 15.10.2024. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 10)

Ex-A No. 240 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 720 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Munna LalApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal |
|----------|--|
| the | |
| Registry | |
| | <u>09.07.2024</u> |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) |
| | Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. |
| | Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the |
| | respondents are present. |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition against the |
| | order of this Tribunal has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court. She |
| | prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit |
| | or stay order passed by the Hon'ble High Court failing which respondents |
| | shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted |
| | to the applicant. |
| | 4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by |
| | the next date of listing. |
| | 5. List on 16.08.2024 . |
| | 6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for |
| | compliance of the order. |
| | |
| | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) |
| | Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 249 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 256 of 2022

Ex Hav Manoj Kumar Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing proxy counsel for Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition against the order of this Tribunal has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court but copy of the writ petition or stay order passed by the Hon'ble High Court has not been filed. Respondents' counsel prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit and copy of the writ petition filed before the Hon'ble High Court, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. List on 16.10.2024. | |
| | 8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No.12)

EX-A. No. 266 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 571 of 2022

Ex Hav Ramanand Thakur

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------|---|
| the Registry | |
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit and affidavit with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. List on 16.10.2024. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 13)

EX-A. No. 429 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 496 of 2022

Ex JWO Radhe Shyam Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Registry | | |
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | |
| | Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. | |
| | Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the respondents are present through video conferencing. | |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. | |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four | |
| | week's time to file compliance affidavit and affidavit with regard to the | |
| | status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order | |
| | failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the | |
| | Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. | |
| | 4. List on 16.10.2024 . | |
| | 5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for | |
| | compliance of the order. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 14)

EX-A. No. 18 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 70 of 2023

Ex Nk Satya Deo Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col RC Dixit (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| the Registry | | |
| | | |
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | |
| | Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col RC Dixit (Retd), Ld. Counsel | |
| | for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the | |
| | respondents are present. | |
| | 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. | |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four | |
| | weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity. | |
| | 4. List on 16.10.2024 . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) | |
| | Member (A) (Gustice Am Rumar) | |
| | RK/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 494 of 2023

Ex Nk Ram Chandra Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. List on 14.08.2024. |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 792 of 2023

Ex Hav Pramod Kumar Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit. On the request of ld. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records. O.A is allowed. Detailed order on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1193 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj Ashok Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as second last opportunity. List on 22.08.2024. |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1289 of 2023

Ex Hav Raj Kumar Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

| Notes of | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| the Registry | | |
| registry | | |
| | <u>09.07.2024</u> | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | HOII DIE LE GEIT AIIII PUIT, MEITIDET (A) | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are | |
| | present through video conferencing. | |
| | 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. | |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four | |
| | weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity. | |
| | 4. List on 22.08.2024 . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1324 of 2023

Col Brajesh Dwivedi (Retd)Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1366 of 2023

JWO Dayanidhi Rout (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate Shri Kritendra Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity. List on 21.08.2024. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1444 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2092 of 2023

Ris (ADT) Prabha Shankar Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Diwakar Mishra, Advocate

(Lt Gen Anil Puri)

Member (A)

RK/-

Shri Anjani Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Shri Rajneesh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

(Justice Anil Kumar)

Member (J)

| | ndia & Others Respondents | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate | | |
| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal | | |
| Registry | | | |
| | <u>09.07.2024</u> | | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) | | |
| | Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | | |
| | | | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Diwakar Mishra, Ld. Counsel | | |
| | for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are | | |
| | present. | | |
| | 2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. | | |
| | 3. Ld. counsel for the respondents vehemently opposed the admission of this | | |
| | case and submits that the applicant has sought plural reliefs in this O.A which is | | |
| | not permissible in terms of Rule 10 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) | | |
| | Rules 2008 which provides that - 'An application shall be based upon a single | | |
| | cause of action and may seek one or more reliefs provided that they are | | |
| | consequential to one another'. He urged that the instant O.A is liable to be | | |
| | dismissed on the basis of plural reliefs. | | |
| | 4. Perusal of the file reveals that this original application has been filed for | | |
| | grant of Honorary Commission, release of payment of pending gratuity of Rs. | | |
| | 13,38,876/- and payment of leave encashment to the applicant which are different | | |
| | causes of action. The reliefs sought are not consequential to one another and as | | |
| | such, this O.A is not maintainable under Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) | | |
| | Rules, 2008. | | |
| | 5. Ld. counsel for the respondents further submitted that since the O.A has | | |
| | not yet been admitted, respondents may be permitted to withdraw the counter | | |
| | affidavit filed by them. | | |
| | 6. Since the O.A has not been admitted, counter affidavit filed by the | | |
| | respondents cannot be taken on record. Respondents are permitted to withdraw | | |
| | the counter affidavit. | | |
| | 7. In view of above, O.A is dismissed. However, applicant is at liberty to file | | |
| | fresh O.A as per the rules. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 5 of 2024

Ex L/NK Ratnesh Kumar Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anand Kumar, Advocate

Shri Sachida Nand Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. List on 23.08.2024. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 23 of 2024

Ex Sep Raj Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Shri Suresh Kumar Saini, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Registry | | |
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. | |
| | Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. | |
| | Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. | |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are | |
| | still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. | |
| | 4. List on 02.09.2024 . | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 33 of 2024

Sub K Ananth Rao (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has received the parawise comments. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. List on 02.09.2024. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 47 of 2024

Sub Manoj Kumar (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Registry | | |
| | | |
| | 09.07.2024 | |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | | |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat, Ld. Counsel for | |
| | the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. | |
| | Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. | |
| | 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder | |
| | affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. | |
| | 3. List on 03.09.2024 . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) | |
| | RK/- | |

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 315 of 2024 with M.A. No. 390 of 2024

Col Hari Om Tripathy (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. In this case, initial disability pension rejection order, order passed against appeal and order passed in second appeal has not been challenged. List on 05.09.2024. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET RMED FORCES TRIBUNAL REGIONAL B

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 604 2024 with M.A. No. 688 of 2024

Lt Col P Kumar (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate.

Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondence present. On request of Id. Counsel for the applicant one week's further granted to the applicant. List on 31.07.2024. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

R.A. No. 1 of 2024 with M.A. No. 72 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 338 of 2023

Ex SWA / APP Tribhuwan Prasad Bahuguna

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate

Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicar Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through vide conferencing. List on 19.09.2024. | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 29)

T.A. No. 27 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1027 of 2022

Ex Sep Dharm Pal Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) | |
| | On the case being taken up f | or hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel |
| | for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, | |
| | Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. | |
| | 2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation | |
| | application and maintainability of the case. Objection has not been filed. | |
| | 3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity | |
| | of two weeks to file objection, if any. | |
| | 4. List on 05.09.2024 . | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) | (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) |
| | RK/- | |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 30)

T.A. No. 69 of 2023

MWO Anil Kumar (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

| Notes of the | Orders of the Tribunal |
|--------------|---|
| Registry | |
| | 09.07.2024 |
| | Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) |
| | THOM SIG LE CONTAIN F CITY MONISON (74) |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the |
| | applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. |
| | Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. |
| | 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. |
| | 3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit. |
| | 4. On the request of ld. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused |
| | the records. |
| | 5. T.A is allowed . |
| | 6. Detailed order on separate sheets. |
| | 7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have |
| | been disposed of. |
| | |
| | |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 1050 of 2023

Col Virendra Pratap Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate

Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | 09.07.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A) |
| | 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel |
| | for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the |
| | respondents are present. |
| | 2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not yet |
| | been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file |
| | rejoinder affidavit. |
| | 3. Pleadings are complete. |
| | 4. List this case for final hearing on 05.09.2024 . |
| | (Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) |
| | RK/- |