Court No.1

T. A. No. 67 of 2022

Sep/MT Maiyoor Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	10.12.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'</u>	ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain,	Member (A)
	1.	Judgment pronounced.	
	2.	T.A. No. 67 of 2022 is dismissed.	
	3. For orders, see our judgment and order passed on separate sheets		order passed on separate sheets.
	(Vic _{SB}	ce Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 1)

Ex.A. No. 6 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 100 of 2019

Ex. Rect. Rahul Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

	n of India & Others Respondents		
	Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate		
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>10.12.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. List revised.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee,		
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	3. This execution case is pending before the Bench for implementation of		
	order dated 11.11.2021. After availing several opportunities, compliance		
	affidavit has not been filed.		
	4. Respondents are not in position to explain the cause of delay in		
	implementation of the order of this Tribunal.		
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being		
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble Apex Court. He prays		
	for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of		
	Rs.20,000/- to file compliance affidavit.		
	6. ADG PS, IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi is directed to be present before		
	this Bench through virtual mode on next date of listing to explain cause of		
	delay in implementation of the order of this Tribunal.		
	7. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer		
	regarding cause of delay in implementation of the order. Respondents are		
	further directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.		
	8. List on 19.02.2025.		
	9. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the		
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 89 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 494 of 2022

Ex NK Laxman Saini

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri S.N. Pandey, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	<u>10.12.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. List revised.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri S. N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents,		
	through virtual mode, are present.		
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.		
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been		
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble Apex Court. He prays		
	for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of		
	Rs.15,000/- to file compliance affidavit.		
	5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against		
	them till next date of listing.		
	6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has		
	already been deposited. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	7. Respondents are also directed to file present status of this execution		
	case till next date of listing.		
	8. List on 28.03.2025.		
	9. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the		
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 3, 4 & 5)

Ex. A. No. 128 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 206 of 2022 Alongwith Ex.A. No. 422/2024, 423/2024, 424/2024 & 425/2024 and M.A. No. 1317 of 2024

Ex Sub Maj Laxman Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present. Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental	
	Representative for the respondents is also present.	
	Ex. A. No. 128 of 2023	
	2. This execution case is pending before the Bench for implementation of	
	order dated 09.12.2022 passed in O.A. No. 206 of 2022.	
	3. In this execution case, cost has been imposed on the respondents for	
	non implementation of the order of this Tribunal on different dates which has	
	not been deposited by the respondents and for non-depositing of cost by the	
	respondents in implementation of the order under execution, applicant has filed	
	four execution applications. Details of these four execution applications filed by	
	the applicant are as under :-	
	(a) Ex. No. 424 of 2024 for the cost of Rs. 5000/- imposed on the	
	respondents on 20.11.2023 which has been deposited by the	
	respondents in the Registry on 05.11.2024. Cost so deposited, shall	
	be remitted to the applicant. Ex No. 424 of 2024 has now been	
	converted into Misc. Application and allotted M.A. No. 1357/2024	
	and the same stands disposed of since the cost has been	
	deposited by the respondents.	
	(b) Ex. No. 422 of 2024 for the cost of Rs. 5000/- imposed on the	
	respondents on 10.01.2024 which has not been deposited by the	
	respondents. This Ex No. 422 of 2024 has now been converted into	
	Misc. Application and allotted M.A. No. 1355/2024 and the same is	
	being listed on 13.01.2025 together with original Ex.A. No. 128 of	
	2023. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost till next date of	

listing.

- (c) Ex. No. 425 of 2024 for the cost of Rs. 5000/- imposed on the respondents on 30.05.2024 which has not been deposited by the respondents. This Ex No. 425 of 2024 has now been converted into Misc. Application and allotted M.A. No. 1358/2024 and the same is being listed on 13.01.2025 together with original Ex.A. No. 128 of 2023. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost till next date of listing.
- (d) Ex. No. 423 of 2024 for the cost of Rs. 10,000/- imposed on the respondents on 23.09.2024 to file compliance affidavit and cost of Rs. 5000/- to file affidavit of responsible officer which has not been deposited by the respondents. This Ex No. 423 of 2024 has now been converted into Misc. Application and allotted M.A. No. 1356/2024 and the same is being listed on 13.01.2025 together with Ex. A. No. 128 of 2023. In this execution application, conditional cost of Rs. 5000/- imposed on respondents stands excused/exempted since the affidavit of responsible officer, i.e. Brig T Suresh, OIC Signals Records has been filed before this Tribunal on 24.10.2024. Thus, only Rs. 10,000/- is due against the respondents.

4. M.A. No. 1317 of 2024 has been filed by the applicant for compliance of the order dated 19.11.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition of the applicant to decide pending execution application No. 128/2023 of the applicant preferably with a period of four months and implementation of the order is under process by the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- to file compliance affidavit/stay order, if any.

6. Respondents are further directed to file affidavit regarding present status of this execution case till next date of listing.

7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing positively.

8. Since, Ex. A Nos. 422/2024, 423/2024, 424/2024 & 425/2024 have been converted/allotted M.A. No. 1355/2024, 1356/2024, 1357/2024 & 1358/2024 respectively, all four execution applications stands disposed of accordingly.

9. Since, cost in Ex.A. No. 424/2024 (now M.A. No. 1357/2024) has been deposited by the respondents, this Misc. Application also stands disposed of.

10. Learned counsel for the applicant is advised not to file such execution applications only for non-depositing of cost imposed on the respondents for non-compliance of the order, as such execution applications create confusion while dictating the order.

11. Registry is directed to list M.A. N	No. 1317/2024, M.A. No. 1355/2024,
1356/2024 & 1358/2024, Inre Ex. A. No.	128/2023, Inre O.A. No. 206 of 2022
together in one case on 13.01.2025 and u	update computer records accordingly.
(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (A)	Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 179 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 383 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Santosh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	10.12.2024		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		
	present. Officer (Sqn Ldr) from DAV Legal Cell, New Delhi is also present		
	through virtual mode.		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that Writ Petition has been		
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which		
	notice has been issued but there is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court.		
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four		
	weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- to file		
	compliance affidavit. 5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.		
	6. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost of Rs. 10,000/- has		
	already been deposited. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	7. Respondents are also directed to file progress report of this execution case till next date of listing.		
	8. List on 28.03.2025.		
	9. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the		
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 317 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 609 of 2023

Sgt. Vijay Vikrant Somvanshi

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>10.12.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel fo		
	the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		
	present.		
	2.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four		s prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit		
	regarding present status of this execution case.		е.
	4.	List on 01.04.2025 .	
	(V)	ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	SB/-	Member (A)	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 318 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 758 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Mohan Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for		
	the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents,		
	through virtual mode, are present.		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted for		
	weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit		
	regarding present status of this execution case.		
	4. List on 01.04.2025 .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 9)

Ex.A. No. 319 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 536 of 2023

Ex POWTR Sandeep Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advoca Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	 Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of this execution case. 		
	 List on 01.04.2025. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. 		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/-		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 327 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 1082 of 2023

Ex Hav Shailesh Kumar Ray

Applicant Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R. C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri R. C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four		
	weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit		
	regarding present status of this execution case.		
	4. List on 02.04.2025 .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/-		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 328 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 572 of 2023

Ex L/NK Ranvir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present status of this execution case. List on 02.04.2025. 		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB/-	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No.12)

Ex. A. No. 329 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 726 of 2023

Ex NK Satya Prakash

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four		
	weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit		
	regarding present status of this execution case.		
	4. List on 03.04.2025 .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 13)

Ex.A. No. 332 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 397 of 2023

Ex JWO Md. Islam Ansari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate Notos of Orders of the Tribu

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	40.40.0004
	<u>10.12.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit
	regarding present status of this execution case.
	4. List on 03.04.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 14)

Ex.A. No. 356 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 799 of 2021

Ex NK Satyendra Narayan Dubey Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd), Advocate Ms. Hemlata, Advocate Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal , Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd), Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present through virtual mode.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance affidavit and affidavit
	regarding present status of this execution case.
	4. List on 04.04.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 768 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj Vibhishan Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

.

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala , Advocate

Respondents Ms. Preeti Mala , Advocate Shri Ankit Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>10.12.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to
	3 are present through virtual mode.
	3. Today it is 2 nd last opportunity granted to respondents No. 1 to 3 to file
	counter affidavit which has not been filed.
	4. Conditional cost imposed on respondents has also not been deposited.
	Opportunity to file counter affidavit by the respondents No.1 to 3 is closed.
	5. Respondents may file documents in support of their version till next date
	of listing.
	6. List on 18.02.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1168 of 2023

Ex Gdr Himanshu Rajawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	3. Today it is 2 nd last opportunity granted to the respondents to file counter
	affidavit which has not been filed.
	4. Conditional cost of Rs. 4000/- imposed on the respondents has also not
	been deposited. Opportunity to file counter affidavit is closed.
	5. Respondents may file documents in support of their version till next date
	of listing.
	6. List on 19.02.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)SB/-SB/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 17 of 2024

Hav Anil Kumar Vajpai

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orde	ers of the Tribunal	
	Hon'	2.2024 ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain	
	1.	On the case being taken up for h	earing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.
	Cour	nsel for the applicant and Shri As	heesh Agnihtori, Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	2.	Counter affidavit filed on behalf of	the respondents is taken on record.
	3.	Applicant is not inclined to file rejo	inder affidavit.
	4.	Heard arguments.	
	5.	The Original Application is Allowe	ed.
	6.	Misc. Application(s), pending if an	y, shall stand disposed of.
	(V i SB/-	ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 124 of 2024 with M.A. No. 146 of 2024

Ex Sep /NA L Krishna Reddy

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>10.12.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	3. Applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the
	respondents which has not been filed.
	4. Opportunity to file reply is closed.
	5. List for admission on 03.02.2025 .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/-

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 337 of 2024 with M.A. No. 412 of 2024

Ex Sep Harish Chandra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Shri R. Chandra, Advocate Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

	ndia & Others Respondents
Notes of	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	10.12.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
	are present.
	2. There is delay of 55 years, 09 months and 09 days in filing this Original
	Application. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant to
	which objection has been filed by the respondents. Reply to the objection has
	also been filed by the applicant.
	3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	4. This Original application has been filed by the applicant for grant of War
	Injury Pension w.e.f. 15.08.1966.
	5. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant was
	enrolled in the Army in Para Regiment on 25.01.1964 and invalided out from
	service on 14.08.1966. He is getting his disability pension. It is also submitted
	that he has received gunshot injury during Indo-Pak War in 1965 and
	therefore, he is entitled for War Injury Pension. It is further submitted by
	learned counsel for the applicant that he has moved an application for claim of
	War Injury Pension in 2023 which was rejected by the respondents on the
	ground that War Injury Pension after a lapse of 56 years of invalidment cannot
	be verified as all the documents have been destroyed after the prescribed
	retention period.
	6. With regard to delay, submission of learned counsel for the applicant is
	that it is pensionary matter which is a recurring cause of action, therefore,
	delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons
	mentioned in the application. The applicant submitted a RTI application to the
	office of the PCDA (P) Prayagraj on 11.07.2023, then only, he received some
	of the papers annexed alongwith Original Application in support of his claim of
	War Injury Pension. Thus, he pleaded to condone the delay in filing this
	Original Application.
	7. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the respondents that applicant

has failed to explain long delay of 59 years on day to day basis in view of the principle laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Balwant Singh vs. Jagdish Singh and Ors**, reported in (2010) 8 SCC 685. The cause of delay has not been explained properly and documents pertaining to this case have been destroyed after the prescribed retention period. Besides this, the papers which have been annexed with this Original Application by the applicant in support of his claim are also not legible, hence, application is liable to be dismissed.

8. As regards delay, it is settled in law that delay has to be explained on day to day basis. The Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *H. Dohil Constructions Company Private Limited Versus Nahar Exports Limited and Another*, reported in (2015) 1 Supreme Court Cases 680, has held that "the failure of respondents in not showing due diligence in filing of the appeals and the enormous time taken in the refilling can only be construed, in the absence of any valid explanation, as gross negligence and lacks in bona fide as displayed on the part of the respondents." The Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Maniben Devraj Shah Versus Municipal Corporation of Brihan Mumbai,* reported in (2012) 5 Supreme Court Cases 157, has held that "No doubt, sufficient cause should be construed liberally on facts without any hard and fast rule and substantive rights of parties cannot be ignored on account of delay, but a distinction must be made between delay of few days and inordinate delay causing prejudice to the other side."

9. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case.

10. In view of the above case laws and the facts and circumstances of the case, explanation offered by the applicant for delay in filing Original Application is not sufficient and therefore, delay of more than 55 years in filing of Original Application is not liable to be condoned.

11. As submitted by the respondents, documents concerning this matter have already been destroyed after the prescribed retention period.

12. In addition to long delay in filing the Original Application, legible copies of documents in support of his claim of War Injury Pension have also not been filed by the applicant despite granting several opportunities to the applicant.

13. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.

14. Accordingly, delay condonation application is **rejected**.

15. Original Application being time barred is also **rejected**.

16. No order as to costs.

17. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB/- (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 481 of 2024

Ex sub S Sethuraj

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 10.12.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for 1. the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present. 2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record. 3. Heard arguments. 4. The Original Application is **Partly Allowed**. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed of. 5. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 730 of 2024

Ex L/NK Yogendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Res By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
Registry		
	<u>10.12.2024</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present.	
	2. This case is listed today for hearing on admission and applicant was	
	granted time to file essential document. Despite availing several opportunities,	
	required document has not been filed by the applicant.	
	3. On 07.10.2024, on request of learned counsel for the applicant, last	
	opportunity was granted to file required document with condition that in case	
	document is not filed, this case will be dismissed in non prosecution.	
	4. In view of above, there is no sufficient ground to grant further time to file	
	document.	
	5. It will be proper to dismiss this Original Application with liberty to the	
	applicant to file fresh Original Application alongwith document. Accordingly,	
	Original Application is dismissed in non-prosecution with liberty to the	
	applicant to file a fresh, if so desires.	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB/-	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 738 of 2024

Ex Hav Rakesh Kumar Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
	10.12.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through	
	virtual mode, are present.	
	2. Amendment application alongwith documents filed on behalf of the	
	applicant is taken on record.	
	3. Heard on amendment application moved by the applicant and perused	
	the record.	
	4. By means of proposed amendment, applicant wants to amend the	
	prayer clause of the Original Application which will not change the nature of the	
	Original Application	
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.	
	6. Accordingly, amendment application is allowed.	
	7. Applicant is directed to carry out amendment within a week.	
	8. Amendment application stands disposed of.	
	9. List on 03.02.2025 .	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J) SB/-	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 23)

T.A. No. 71 of 2023

Smt. Richa Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Advocate
	holding brief of Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
	3. On the request of brief holder of learned counsel for the applicant, the
	case is adjourned for the day. Further last opportunity of two weeks is granted
	to the applicant to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit.
	4. List for physical hearing on 12.02.2025.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 162 of 2023

Col (TS) Vinay Kumar Dixit (Retd)

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	10.12.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that counter affidavit filed
	by the respondents was taken on record on 01.10.2024 subject to payment of
	cost of Rs. 1000/- which has not been deposited by the respondents. It is
	further submitted that on 08.05.2023, time for filing counter affidavit was
	granted to the respondents on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-, that cost has
	also not been deposited by the respondents.
	5. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that without deposition
	of conditional cost, the counter affidavit filed by the respondents cannot be
	treated as part of the record, therefore, he has not filed rejoinder affidavit.
	6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that due to unavoidable
	circumstances, he could not file counter affidavit in given time.
	7. We are of the view that in the interest of justice, it will be proper to grant
	further time of four weeks to the respondents to deposit cost and to grant two
	weeks time, thereafter to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.
	8. List on 05.03.2025.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 478 of 2023

Ex Sub Fayaz Khan

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>10.12.2024</u>	
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. List revised.	
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present.	
	3. Intervention application filed on behalf of the AGIF for summoning	
	complete record of the complaint case and other proceedings from the District	
	Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission (DCDRC), Shahjahanpur (UP) has	
	already been disposed of by this Tribunal vide order dated 27.08.2024, passed	
	in M.A. No. 600 of 2024.	
	4. List this case in physical hearing on 17.02.2025 .	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) SB/-	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 26)

T.A. No. 6 of 2013

Zamir Ahmed

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>10.12.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1.	On the case being taken up for he	aring Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh
	Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld.		
	Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2.	Supplementary counter reply alongwi	th copy of documents filed on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record.		
	3.	Learned counsel for the applicant subm	its that he has filed written points
	of argument in two parts which are available on record.		
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has also filed		
	written points of arguments.		
	5. Office is directed to trace written points of arguments filed by the		
	respondents and place on record.		
	6. Respondents are also directed to file copy of written points of arguments		
	filed by them till next date of listing.		
	7.	List for hearing on 17.02.2025 .	
		-	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)		(Justice Anil Kumar)
	ср/	Member (A)	Member (J)