

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1( Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 776 of 2024 with M.A. No. 869 of 2024

Ex Hav (OPR) Yogesh Kumar Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri RC Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 869 of 2024</div><div><div>2.</div><div>Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents present through video conferencing and perused the documents available on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension. There is delay of 04 years and 18 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 776 of 2024</div><div><div>4.</div><div>This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Case needs adjudication.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>Admit.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div></div><div><div>8.</div><div>List on 19.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl SI. No. 2)

O.A. No.778 of 2024 with M.A. No. 871 of 2024

Ex WO Babu Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No 871 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant present before the Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents present through video conferencing and perused the documents available on record.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension. There is delay of 07 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 778 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>4. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>6. Admit.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>8. List on <b>19.11.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	Rk/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 3)

Diary No. 2519 of 2024

Ex WMO Basavanneppa Basappa Maled  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey,  
Shri RK Singh,  
Shri Mridul Pandey and  
Shri Deepak Bhatta, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Registry has pointed out some defects regarding address proof which has not been annexed by the applicant showing the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</div><div>5. Applicant is directed to rectify the defects raised by the Registry within a week.</div><div>6. List on 30.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Rk/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 290 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 947 of 2022

Ex Sub Vinod Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 21.03.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 947 of 2022.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 18.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
Rk/-	

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 5)

M.A. No. 873 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 45 of 2018

**Ex Sep Yogesh Giri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed by the applicant for recall of the order dated 23.04.2024 passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, against the recall application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>25.09.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 874 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 917 of 2023

Ex Sgmn Ghanshya  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Narayan, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Narayan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This application has been filed by the applicant for recall of the order dated 22.05.2024 passed by this Tribunal.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, against the recall application.</div><div>5. List on 25.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	Rk/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

**EX- A. No. 230 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 93 of 2021**

**Ex Nk Birija Bhar Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li> <li>2. This is an old execution application pending before this Tribunal. Despite availing several opportunities, compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Respondents were granted time to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, which has also not been filed. Only Rs. 5000/- cost has been deposited.</li> <li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order and deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> <li>5. Applicant is also directed to furnish the details/ list of some of the movable/ immovable properties of the respondents which may be attached in non-compliance of order of this Tribunal.</li> <li>6. List on <b>11.11.2024</b>.</li> <li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="448 2330 878 2397" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1021 2330 1307 2397" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p data-bbox="352 2429 397 2446">RK/L</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

Ex - A. No. 355 of 2022Inre: O.A. No.864 of 2021

Ex Hav Tekawade Santosh Bapurao  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal which has not been listed yet. He prays for further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>4. There is no stay order of the Hon’ble High Court, therefore, four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on <b>11.11.2024</b>.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Rk/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex - A. No. 356 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No.379 of 2020

Ex Sigmn Rohitash Gurjar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. This is an old execution case pending before this Tribunal for implementation of the order. Despite availing several opportunities, compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction has been accorded on 18.07.2024. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 21.10.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex - A. No.358 of 2022 Inre: T.A. No.5 of 2022

Lt Col Amar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. This execution application has been filed for implementation of the order dated 25.05.2022. Despite availing several opportunities, compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that a writ petition has to be filed against the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>4. Today, affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>5. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 12,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 11.11.2024.</div><div>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex- A. No. 377 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No.763 of 2021

Ex Nb sub Randhir Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh , Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that a writ petition has been filed which has not been listed yet. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 12.11.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 117 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.522 of 2022

**Lt Col (MNS) Radhamonyamma KS (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on <b>12.11.2024</b>.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 199 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.106 of 2022

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Man Mohan  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal but no stay order has yet been passed. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 12,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 14.11.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 290 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 546 of 2022

Ex Hav Prem SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that RSMB has been conducted in January 2024 but compliance affidavit and copy of RSMB has not been filed.</div><div>4. Respondents' counsel prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order alongwith copy of RSMB.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 01.10.2024.</div><div>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 379 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 126 of 2023

Ex WO Manoj Kumar Sah  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 18.11.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>

RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 431 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 56 of 2023

Gp Capt Praduman Kumar Singh (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that writ petition has to be filed against the order of this Tribunal. Progress report has been filed on 02.05.2024 wherein it is stated that compliance of the order is under process.</p> <p>4. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are further directed to file a fresh affidavit with regard to the status of the execution.</p> <p>6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>7. List on <b>18.11.2024</b>.</p> <p>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 60 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 41 of 2022

Ex Sgt Ravi Datta Shukla  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 04.11.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(SI. No.12)

M.A. No. 562 of 2024 with M.A. No. 563 of 2024  
Inre: O.A. No. 707 of 2017

Ex Rect Eshob SKApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mrs. Anju Dubey, Advocate

Versus  
Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. In this case, recall application was moved by the applicant to recall the order dated 06.01.2021. Respondents were granted time to file objection, if any.</div><div>3. Today, objections filed by the respondents to the recall application and also to the delay condonation application in filing the recall application, are taken on record.</div><div>4. List on 16.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 167 of 2022

Hony Lt Mahendra Nath Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tushar Jain, holding brief of Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Shri Tushar Jain Advocate, submits that Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is busy in High Court in some urgent case. He prays for adjournment of the case for the day. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List on 28.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
UKT/-	

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 684 of 2022 with M.A. No. 551 of 2024  
with M.A. No. 81 of 2024

Wg Cdr DR Beniwal Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present</p> <p>2. On the last date, learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file objection on amendment application which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file objection on amendment application.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.10.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 890 of 2022

Ex L/NK Ramakant Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tushar Jain holding brief of Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Shri Tushar Jain Advocate, submits that Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is busy in High Court in some urgent case. He prays for adjournment of the case for the day. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List on 02.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 252 of 2023

**Ex SWR Dharmendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u>12.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>8. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>9. Counter affidavit was granted time to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>10. List on <b>09.10.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 912 of 2023

Ex WO Satyendra Narayan SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit was granted time to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 01.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 963 of 2023

**Ex Sub (Pharm) Inamdar Salim Innus** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit. Pleadings have been exchanged.</p> <p>4. List on <b>23.09.2024</b> for final hearing.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1048 of 2023

Ex Nk Pramod Kumar Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that case file is not available with him and prays for hearing the matter in revise list.</div><div>3. List revised.</div><div>4. On call of the case in revised list, Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents is not present to argue the case.</div><div>5. O.A is partly allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1264 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFO) Arun Kumar Dixit  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit. Pleadings have been exchanged.</div><div>3. List on <b>09.10.2024</b> for final hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1414 of 2023

Ex Sub Baban Ray  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>12.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.</div><div>2. O.A is allowed.</div><div>3. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>4. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 15 of 2024**

**Sub Anil Tripathi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed.</p> <p>4. As a last chance, one week further time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit. In case rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, his opportunity to file the same shall be closed.</p> <p>5. List on <b>30.09.2024</b> for final hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 28 of 2024

Hav / AA Bindu Mahesh Jaladi  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant  
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit. He submits that he shall file rejoinder affidavit during course of the day.</div><div>3. List on <b>21.10.2024</b> for final hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 76 of 2024

Sep (AA) Bhanu Pratap SinghApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the last date, learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks and no more time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 04.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 113 of 2024

Hav / NA Gajendra Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks; time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 23.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 186 of 2024

Ex Sep (ACP Hav) Mohan Kumar Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the last date, learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks and no more time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 24.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 442 of 2024

Col Ashutosh Mudgal (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Anurag Singh, Advocate  
Ms. Akanksha Gupta, Advocate  
Shri Aman Dube, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>12.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aman Dube, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the last date, learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks and no more time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on <b>05.11.2024</b>.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)**

**O.A. No. 268 of 2021**

**Ram Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.08.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tushar Jain, holding brief of Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Tushar Jain Advocate, submits that Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is busy in High Court in some urgent case. He prays for adjournment of the case for the day. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.10.2024.</b></p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 1505 823 1569" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="1112 1505 1416 1569" style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1003 of 2022

Ex Rect Rahul Sharma  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>12.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. List on <b>04.09.2024</b> for further hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 1009 of 2022

Col Mahipal Singh Deopa (Retd)s  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate  
Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>12.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Amendment has been carried out by the applicant.</div><div>2. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>3. On request of learned counsel for the parties, heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.</div><div>4. O.A is partly allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 920 of 2023

Ex LME Vivek Yadav  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tushar Jain, holding brief of Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Shri Tushar Jain Advocate, submits that Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant is busy in High Court in some urgent case. He prays for adjournment of the case for the day. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 25.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 955 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Mithlesh Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>12.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file reply.</div><div>3. On consent of learned counsel of both the parties, heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record.</div><div>4. O.A is partly allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>