

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)**

**Dairy No. 228 of 2024**

**Sgt. Prabhat Gaur (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate  
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Registry has raised objection that there is delay of 02 months and 09 days but delay condonation application has not been filed by the applicant.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submitted that there is no delay in filing this original application because application has been filed immediately after completion of six months from submission of 1<sup>st</sup> appeal against the rejection order.</li><li>5. We are of the view that there is no delay in filing of this application. It has been filed after waiting six months from the date of presentation of 1<sup>st</sup> appeal.</li><li>6. Objection raised by the Registry is set aside. Registry is directed to register this application as O.A.</li><li>7. List for hearing on admission on <b>01.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)**  
**Dairy No. 232 of 2024**

**Sgt. Ashwani Kumar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate  
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Registry has raised objection that there is delay of 02 months and 16 days but delay condonation application has not been filed by the applicant.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submitted that there is no delay in filing this original application because application has been filed immediately after completion of six months from submission of 1<sup>st</sup> appeal against the rejection order.</li><li>5. We are of the view that there is no delay in filing of this application. It has been filed after waiting six months from the date of presentation of 1<sup>st</sup> appeal.</li><li>6. Objection raised by the Registry is set aside. Registry is directed to register this application as O.A.</li><li>7. List for hearing on admission on <b>01.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                  Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)**

**Dairy No. 233 of 2024**

**Sgt. Gaurav Awasthi (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate  
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Registry has raised objection that delay condonation application has not been filed. There is delay of 01 year and 18 days in filing this original application.</li><li>4. Applicant is directed to rectify the defects raised by the Registry within a week.</li><li>5. List on <b>01.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)**

**Dairy No. 359 of 2024**

**Sgt. Umesh Chandra Tarve** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Registry has raised objection that in O.A it is mentioned that the applicant is resident of district – Giridih, State of Jharkhand and his present address is shown as Sainani Vihar, Telibagh, Lucknow but address proof has not been filed by the applicant.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submitted that there is no need to file the proof of present address because applicant's last place of posting was district Agra, U.P which is within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</li><li>5. In Medical Board Proceedings, the last posting of the applicant has been shown as Agra. Since last posting of the applicant was District Agra, U.P, therefore, in view of Rule 6(1)(i) of the AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008, the case is maintainable and comes within the territorial jurisdiction of this Bench.</li><li>6. Objection raised by the Registry is set aside. Registry is directed to register this application as O.A.</li><li>7. List for hearing on admission on <b>01.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 130 of 2024**

**Ex. Hav. Sushil Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>4. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>5. Admit.</li><li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>7. List on <b>08.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 152 of 2024 with M.A. o. 183 of 2024**

**Ex. Hav Santosh Kumar Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Anjur Rawat, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is delay of 05 months and 05 days in filing this original application.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding maintainability of the O.A. He prays for and is allowed three weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A. as well as on delay condonation application.</li><li>5. List on <b>06.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 157 of 2024 with M.A. No. 188 of 2024**

**Ex.Rect. Rohit Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 04 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 157 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>08.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 158 of 2024 with M.A.No. 192 of 2024**

**Ex.NK (TS) Bishan Singh Raghav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 20 years 10 months and 21 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding maintainability of the O.A. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A. as well as on delay condonation application.</li><li>5. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                  Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)**

**Ex-A. No. 48 of 2024 T.A. No. 16 of 2023**

**Ex.Sgt. Anurag Shukla**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate  
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that he is filing memo on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 17.07.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Transfer Application No. 16 of 2023.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>5. List on <b>02.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)**

**M.A. No. 194 of 2024 with M.A. No. 195 of 2024**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 382 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex.Sgt. Amit Kumar** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 28.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 382/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months 03 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)**

**Dairy No. 1209 of 2023**

**Ex. Swr. Dinesh Chandra Bastyal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri DC Lohumi, Advocate  
Shri G C Joshi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.02024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant even in the revised list. Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Applicant was granted last opportunity to remove the defects points out by the Registry. It was also directed that failing to remove the defects the O.A shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.</li><li>3. Today, Id. counsel for the applicant is not present and the defects have also not been removed.</li><li>4. It appears that the applicant has lost interest in pursuing this case.</li><li>5. Accordingly, the instant case is dismissed for non-prosecution.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)**

**Ex-A. No. 38 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 292 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Jag Vijay Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.02024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to implead the Bank so the matter can be adjudicated properly.</p> <p>3. Today, ld. counsel for the applicant fairly admits that all the dues have been paid to the applicant and he is satisfied with the compliance made by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Since the order has been complied with, the instant execution application stand disposed of in full satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)**

**Ex-A. No. 184 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 733 of 2020**

**Ex. Rect./NA Hari Om** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Time was granted to the respondents to file better affidavit of compliance but the same has not been filed.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file better compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 2000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)**

**Ex-No. 212 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 639 of 2021**

**Ex.Hav. Ram Autar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>01.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)**

**Ex-A. No. 1 of 2023 Inre: Ex-A. No. 55 of 2018 & O.A. No. 316 of 2015**

**Smt. Manju Devi W/o Late Ex.Sep. Jitendra Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate  
Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file objection to the compliance affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No. 4 but the objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is seriously ill and is under treatment, therefore, objection could not be filed. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>23.04.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex-A. No. 141 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 406 of 2022**

**Ex.Sgt. Harish Vardhan Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</li><li>6. List on <b>10.04.2024</b>.</li><li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)**

**Ex-A. No. 253 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 63 of 2022**

**Lt. Col. Rajeev Devgan (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Yadav holding brief for Shri S.G. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</li><li>6. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</li><li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)**

**Ex-A. No. 254 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 944 of 2022**

**Ex.NK. Dilip Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</li><li>6. List on <b>16.04.2024</b>.</li><li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)**

**Ex-A. No. 273 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 749 of 2022**

**Ex.Sgt. Chandra Pratap Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C.Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. List on <b>25.04.2024</b>.</li><li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex-A. No. 380 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 45 of 2022**

**Nb Sub (TA) Anil Kumar Tewari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>25.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex-A. No. 400 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1045 of 2022**

**Col. Arun C Karadi (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>25.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)**

**Ex-A. No. 401 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 19 of 2023**

**Ex. SWR (ACP-Hav) Sanjay Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>25.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)**

**Ex-A. No. 402 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1091 of 2022**

**Ex.Sep. (ACP NK) Ajeet Singh Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>26.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.14 )**

**O.A. No. 134 of 2023**

**Ex.Spr. Abhishek Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.02024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. <b>Order reserved.</b></p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.15 )**

**O.A. No. 368 of 2023**

**Ex. Gnr. Sahadev Das** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Amendment has not been incorporated as directed vide order dated 12.12.2023. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one week's time as last opportunity to incorporate the amendment failing which the case shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>3. Copy of the amended O.A be provided to the Id. counsel for the respondents who may file his supplementary CA within three weeks from the date of supply of copy of the amended O.A.</p> <p>4. List on <b>10.04.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.16 )**

**O.A. No. 523 of 2023**

**Ex.NK Ajeet Verma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate  
Shri V.K. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>3. List on <b>24.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                  Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 565 of 2023**

**Col.Sumit Datta (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S G Singh, Advocate  
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>02.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.18 )**

**O.A. No. 566 of 2023**

**Brig. Sameer Bhadauria (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S G Singh, Advocate  
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>02.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.19 )**

**O.A. No. 781 of 2023**

**Ex. Rect. GhugeKondiba Govindrao** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>dismissed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 984 of 2023**

**Service No. 4189900-K, Ex. Hav. Ashok Chand S/o Trilok Chand** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>8. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>9. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>10. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-</p> <p>(a) <i>To issue/pass an order or direction to the respondents to submit/call all records related to applicant's case.</i></p> <p>(b) <i>To issue/pass an order or direction to the respondents to grant 20% disability percentage as awarded vide DISABILITY CERTIFICATE FOR THE PURPOSE OF EX GRATIA GRANT dated 14.02.2012 Annexure A-4 duly signed by Commanding Officer of Military Hospital, Ranikhet, in which percentage of disability is given as 20% (Twenty).</i></p> <p>(c) <i>To issue/pass an order or direction to the respondents alternatively to conduct a fresh Re-Survey Medical board of the applicant because the applicant is still suffering from the same disability with which he was suffering at the time of his discharge from service on 31.01.2022 which was assessed as 14% i.e. less than 20%. To direct respondents to held fresh Re-Survey Medical Board to ascertain the disability, if any, he incurred during service, and if yes, to process his case for the grant of disability pension together with benefit of rounding off.</i></p> <p>(d) <i>Any other relief as considered proper by this Hon'ble Tribunal be awarded in favour of the applicant.</i></p> <p>(e) <i>Cost of Original Application be awarded to the applicant.</i></p>

11. Briefly stated facts of the case are that the applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 19.08.1996 and discharged from service on 31.01.2022 (AN) on completion of terms of engagement under Rule 13(3) Item III (v) of the Army Rules, At the time of discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Military Hospital, Mhow on 26.10.2021 assessed his disability '**TENOSYNOVITIS (RT) INDEX FINGER (OPTD)**' @14% for life as attributable to service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 09.04.2022. The applicant preferred representation for the grant of War Injury Element which too was rejected vide letter dated 11.06.2022. The applicant preferred representation dated 19.12.2022 for holding fresh Re-Survey Medical Board which too was rejected vide letter dated 03.01.2023. The applicant served Legal Notice dated 27.01.2023 through his Counsel which was replied vide letter dated 08.02.2023. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

12. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that the Commanding Officer of Military Hospital, Ranikhet has issued Disability Certificate for the purpose of Ex-Gratia Grant dated 14.02.2012 wherein percentage of disability is given as 20% but the RMB has wrongly assessed the applicant's disability @14%. A Battle Casualty Certificate dated 05.11.2021 was also issued wherein it was mentioned that the applicant sustained 'Abscess (Rt) Index Finger' on 13.08.2009 while carrying out operational duty in 'OP RAKASHAK (J & K)' which is annexed at page 39 of the Original Application. He further submitted that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board (RSMB) to assess disability percentage of the applicant's disability with which he was suffering at the time of his discharge from service to process his case for the grant of disability element of disability pension together with benefit of rounding off.

13. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that although the applicant's disability has been regarded as attributable to military service but since disability of the applicant has been assessed @14% for life by the RMB which is less than 20%, hence as per Regulation 53(a) of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) which provides that "*An individual released/retired/ discharged on completion of terms of engagement or on completion of service limits or on attaining the prescribed age (irrespective of his period of engagement), if found suffering from a disability attributable to or aggravated by military service and so recorded by Release Medical Board, may be granted disability element in addition to service pension or service gratuity from the date of retirement/discharge, if the accepted degree of disability is assessed at 20% or more*" the applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. He further submitted that applicant is not fulfilling the primary conditions for the grant disability pension and for

conduct of RSMB. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

14. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel of both the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we **dispose of** the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board (RSMB) of the applicant to assess his disability for deciding entitlement of disability element of disability pension. Respondents are further directed to give effect to the order within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

15. Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.21 )**

**O.A. No. 1191 of 2023**

**Ex.Ris Maj. Duryodhan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate  
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate  
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>03.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.22 )**

**O.A. No. 1223 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Manoj Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>18.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.23 )**

**O.A. No. 1227 of 2023**

**Ex L/NK Anil Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>3. List on <b>04.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.24 )**

**O.A. No.449 of 2021 with M.A. No. 556 of 2023**

**Sep. Deepak Kumar Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>3. Respondents are directed to retain the original documents pertaining to this case till the next date of listing.</li><li>4. List on <b>19.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.25 )**

**O.A. No. 188 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. (Hony Lt) Gajendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. R.C. Jain (Vetran) , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.02024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. R.C. Jain (Vetran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Arguments concluded.</li><li>4. <b>Order reserved.</b></li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.26 )**

**O.A. No. 213 of 2023**

**Ex. Rect. Pradeep Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K.Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>10.04.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.27 )**

**O.A. No. 1060 of 2023**

**Ex. JWO Girish Chandra Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C.Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>29.02.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.28 )**

**O.A. No. 1061 of 2023**

**Ex.sub. Mohan Singh Khati** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. List on <b>10.04.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.29 )**

**T.A. No.6 of 2013**

**Zamir Ahmad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate  
Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench on the next date.</li><li>3. List on <b>15.04.2024</b>.</li><li>4. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>RK/-</p>