#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 1)

# O.A. No. 1111 of 2024

# Ex Hav Dilip Kumar Mishra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RC Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. List revised.
	2. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel
	for the respondents when the case is listed next.
	3. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri RC Dixit, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on
	maintainability. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection
	on maintainability of the case.
	5. On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is
	adjourned.
	6. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) UKT/- (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 2)

# O.A. No. 1112 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1248 of 2024

# Lt Col Manoranjan Pd Sinha

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>On the request of ld. counsel for the applicant, list this case on 06.12.2024.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 3)

# Ex-A. No. 1113 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1249 of 2024

# MWO (HFO) Kaushal Kumar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others Res By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel</li> </ol>
	for the respondents are present through video conferencing.
	<ul><li>M.A. No. 1249 of 2024</li><li>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li></ul>
	4. There is no delay in filing of Original Application. However, Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application which is
	rejected as not required.
	O.A. No. 1113 of 2024
	5. This application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
	6. Case needs adjudication.
	7. Admit.
	8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	9. List on <b>25.02.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/- (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 4)

## Ex-A. No. 1114 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1250 of 2024

Hav Rigil K

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate

#### Versus

#### **Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 13.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. M.A. No. 1250 of 2024 3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. 4. There is delay of 12 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. 5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. O.A. No. 1114 of 2024 6. This application has been filed for premature retirement of the applicant. 7. Case needs adjudication. 8. Admit. 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 10. List on 25.02.2025. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 5)

# Ex-A. No. 1115 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1251 of 2024

# Ex Sub Udai Pratap Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others R By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li> <li>Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>There is delay of more than 26 years in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file objection on delay condonation application.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>List on 22.01.2025.</li> <li>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</li> <li>(Justice Anil Kumar)</li> </ol>
	Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 6)

# O.A. No. 1116 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1252 of 2024

# Ex WO Kuldeep Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

## Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. List revised.
	2. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of
	the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the
	respondents when the case is listed next.
	3. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Yashpal
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	5. As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on <b>25.11.2024</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-

## Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl SI. No. 7)

# M.A. No. 1117 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1253 of 2024

# Ex Sub Maj Jodhpal Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

#### Versus

**Union of India & Others** 

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 13.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Ankur Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. M.A. No. 1253 of 2024 3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. 4. There is delay of 04 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. 5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. O.A. No. 1117 of 2024 6. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents. 7. Case needs adjudication. 8. Admit. 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 10. List on 28.02.2025. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl SI. No. 8)

# M.A. No. 1241 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 562 of 2024

# Maj Pradeep Singh Sajwan

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the respondents.</li> <li>This application has been filed by the applicant for recall of the order dated 09.10.2024 passed in O.A No. 562 of 2024 by which O.A has been dismissed for non-prosecution because of absence of the applicant's counsel.</li> <li>Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>Grounds mentioned in the application are sufficient to allow the recall/ restoration application.</li> <li>Restoration application is also allowed subject to payment of cost of Rs. 500/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the Local AFT Bar.</li> <li>Registry is directed to restore the original application to its original number after payment of cost by the applicant.</li> <li>Accordingly, MA Nos. 1241/2024 is disposed of.</li> <li>List on 16.01.2025.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 1)

# Ex.A. No. 149 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 126 of 2021

# Ex Hav Sur Sari Charan Mishra

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

## Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	13.11.2024
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh
	Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Shri Amit Jaiswal,
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.
	2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.
	3. Respondents were granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the
	applicant which has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for
	and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file reply to the
	objections.
	4. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 322 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 827 of 2021

## Ex Nb Sub Sheo Khelawan

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

## Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. List revised.
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Dharam Raj
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel
	for the respondents are present.
	3. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	4. Status report filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on
	record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs. 10,000/-
	has also been deposited. Registry is directed to remit the cost to the applicant.
	Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that against the order of
	this Tribunal, writ petition has been filed in Hon'ble High Court. He prays for
	further four weeks time to file compliance report/ stay order.
	5. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report/
	stay order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/
	6. List on <b>28.02.2025</b> .
	7. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents
	free of cost.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-

<u>Court\_No. 1</u> (SI. No. 3)

# Ex. A. No. 324 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 793 of 2021

Ex Sub Pyar Chand

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

**Union of India & Others** By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li> <li>Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. It has been informed that cost of Rs. 5000/- has been deposited. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li> <li>Respondents are also directed to deposit rest of the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> <li>List on 18.02.2025.</li> <li>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for</li> </ol>
	compliance of the order.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 4)

# Ex. A. No. 337 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 59 of 2022

Nk Jambe Prasad (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

## Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>13.11.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is yet to be listed. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li> <li>Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> <li>List on 18.02.2025.</li> <li>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for</li> </ol>
	Compliance of the order. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 5)

# Ex.A. No. 84 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 347 of 2021

Ex Hav / Mukesh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
logicaly	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel
	for the respondents are present through video conferencing. Shri R.K.
	Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj is also present before
	the Court.
	2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.
	Applicant was granted time to file objection which has not been filed.
	3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted further two week's
	time as a last opportunity to file objection, if any.
	4. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs. 5000/- has
	been deposited by the respondents. Cost so deposited shall be remitted
	to the applicant.
	5. List on <b>19.02.2025</b> .
	6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for
	compliance of the order.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 6)

## Ex.A. No. 102 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 144 of 2022

# **Ex WO Dasharath Mistry**

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Departmental Representative is present for the respondents.</li> <li>Heard the ld. counsel for the applicant and perused the records.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 10,000/- due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</li> <li>Departmental Representative submits that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li> <li>Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>by the next date of listing.</li> <li>7. List on 28.02.2025.</li> <li>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> <li>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A)</li> </ul>
	RK/-

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 7)

# Ex.A. No. 115 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 185 of 2022

# Ex Sub Ajai Kumar Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li> <li>Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which stay order has been passed by the Hon'ble Court.</li> <li>Departmental Representative submits that cost of Rs. 5000/- has been deposited in the Registry on 28.02.2024.</li> <li>List on 10.03.2025.</li> </ol>		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 8)

# Ex.A. No. 312 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 605 of 2022

Ex Hav / Clk Arun Kumar DwivediApplicantBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, AdvocateShri Dinesh Kumar Upadhyay, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	42.44.2024		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the sees heirs taken up for hearing Chri CN. Circh Coherver, I.d.		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel		
	for the respondents are present through video conferencing.		
	2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.		
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.		
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been		
	filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court		
	which is yet to be listed. He prays for and is granted further four week's		
	time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of		
	additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to		
	the applicant.		
	5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer		
	with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in		
	implementation of the order.		
	6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them		
	by the next date of listing.		
	7. List on <b>04.03.2025</b> .		
	8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for		
	compliance of the order.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)		
	RK/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 9)

# Ex. A. No. 42 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 202 of 2023

# Ex Sgt Chander Prakash

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>13.11.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh,		
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri		
	Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present		
	through video conferencing.		
	2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution		
	and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the		
	respondents, is taken on record.		
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has		
	also not been deposited.		
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed		
	against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He		
	prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance		
	affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in		
	the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them		
	by the next date of listing.		
	6. List on <b>04.03.2025</b> .		
	7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for		
	compliance of the order.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	RK/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 10)

# Ex. A. No. 43 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 193 of 2023

**Ex Sgt Ram Pravesh Kumar Yadava** Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> </ol>		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status report has also not been filed. Cost due against the respondents has not been deposited.		
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a SLP is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble Apex Court as per direction of the authorities. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	<ol> <li>Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the present status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>List on 04.03.2025.</li> <li>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol>		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 11)

# Ex-A. No. 44 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 382 of 2023

Ex Sgt Amit Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li> <li>Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</li> <li>List on 21.02.2025.</li> <li>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol>	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	RK/-	

Court No. 1 (SI. No.12)

# Ex-A. No. 288 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 699 of 2023

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Shyam Singh** Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate Shri RK Singh, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li> <li>Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit/ present status report of the case.</li> <li>List on 04.03.2025.</li> </ol>	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 13)

# Ex-.A. No. 289 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 112 of 2023

Lt Col Bagadhi Chandra Shekhar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Resp By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	13.11.2024		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Ms. Deepti P		
	Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video		
	conferencing.		
	2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.		
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.		
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is to be filed		
	against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. She		
	prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to		
	file compliance affidavit/ present status report of the case.		
	5. List on <b>04.03.2025</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	RK/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 14)

# M.A. No. 1211 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1212 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 566 of 2024

# Ex Hav Girija Shankar Tiwari

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court.		
	<ol> <li>This application has been filed by the applicant for recall of the order dated 20.09.2024. It is filed after the limitation period of filing the recall application. There is delay of 04 days in filing the recall application. Delay condonation application has also been filed alongwith this</li> </ol>		
	application. 3. Heard the ld. counsel for the applicant and perused the records.		
	<ol> <li>Heard the ld. courser for the applicant and perused the records.</li> <li>Vide order dated 20.09.2024 passed in O.A No. 566/2024, the O.A has</li> </ol>		
	been dismissed for non-prosecution because of non-appearance of the applicant's counsel on the date of listing. Grounds mentioned in the delay condonation application and recall application are sufficient.		
	<ol> <li>In the interest of justice, delay condonation application and recall application are allowed subject to payment of cost of Rs. 500/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the Local AFT Bar.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Registry is directed to restore the original application to its origina number after payment of cost by the applicant.</li> </ol>		
	7. Accordingly, MA Nos. 1211/2024 and 1212/2024 are disposed of.		
	8. List on <b>30.01.2025</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	RK/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 15)

## O.A. No. 563 of 2023

Capt Tarun Kumar Mukhopadhyay (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ms. Salini Puar, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Salini Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file counter affidavit on the condition of payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/ Counter affidavit has been filed but cost has not been deposited. He is directed to deposit the cost by the next date fixed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</li> <li>List on 03.02.2025 for physical hearing .</li> </ol>		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-		

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 17)

# O.A. No. 1181 of 2023

# Ex Hav Ajay Singh Bhadauriya

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	13.11.2024		
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on		
	record. Pleadings are complete.		
	3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	4. O.A is <b>allowed.</b>		
	5. Detailed order on separate sheets.		
	6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to ha		
	been disposed of.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-		

# <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 18)

# O.A. No. 1362 of 2023

# Ex Sgt Saroj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate

Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
		<u>13.11.2024</u>		
		<u>ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb</u> ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain,		
			<u></u>	
	1.	On the case being taken up for	hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld.	
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri F	Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respo	ondents are present.		
	2.	Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned	counsel for the applicant is taken on	
	recor	d. Pleadings are complete.		
	3.	Heard learned counsel for the parti	es and perused the record.	
	4.	O.A is partly <b>allowed.</b>		
	5.	Detailed order on separate sheets.		
	6.	Misc. Application(s), if any, pending	g for disposal, shall be treated to have	
	been	disposed of.		
	<b>(Vi</b> e UKT/-	ce Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 19)

# O.A. No. 19 of 2024 with M.A. No. 22 of 2024

# Nb Sub (HA) Virender Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the		
	<ul><li>respondents are present.</li><li>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties on amendment application and</li></ul>		
	<ul><li>perused the record.</li><li>3. Amendment application filed by the applicant was allowed vide this</li><li>Tribunal order dated 05.09.2024 and applicant was granted two weeks time to</li></ul>		
	carry out amendment which has not been done.		
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant is further directed to carry out amendment during course of the day.		
	5. List on <b>21.11.2024</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 20)

# O.A. No. 83 of 2024

# Ex Rfn Harendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	holding brief of Shri KK Misra, Ld. Cou Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the re- 2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the ap are complete.	spondents are present. plicant is taken on record. Pleadings s that Shri KK Misra, learned counsel d prays for adjournment of the case.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) UKT/-	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

<u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 21)

# O.A. No. 84 of 2024

# Ex Sepoy Gaurav Kumar

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Chief of Air Staff & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, holding brief of Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed. He may file rejoinder affidavit by the next date fixed.</li> <li>List on 08.01.2025 for final hearing.</li> </ol>	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 22)

# O.A. No. 124 of 2024 with M.A. No. 146 of 2024

# Ex Sep / NA L Krishna Reddy

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file reply to objection on delay condonation application as well as maintainability of the case which has not been filed. He prays for one week more time to file reply to objection on delay and maintainability.</li> <li>As a last chance, one week further time is granted to file reply to objection on application for condonation of delay and maintainability of the case on payment of cost of Rs. 1,000/- failing which his opportunity to file reply shall be closed.</li> <li>List on 10.12.2024.</li> </ol>		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-		

# Court No. 1 (SI. No. 23)

## O.A. No. 298 of 2024

Ex Nk Satyendra Kumar Paswan Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	Hon	<u>1.2024</u> <u>'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member</u> 'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, N	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hear	ring Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for th	ne applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwa	ani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
	are p	present.	
	2.	Counter affidavit filed by learned cou	insel for the respondents is taken on
	record. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend		submits that he does not intend to
	file r	ejoinder affidavit. Pleadings are comple	ete.
	3.	Heard learned counsel for the parties	s and perused the record.
	4.	O.A is allowed.	
	5.	Detailed order on separate sheets.	
	6.	Misc. Application(s), if any, pending	for disposal, shall be treated to have
	been	n disposed of.	
	Δ	/ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	UKT/-	Member (A)	Member (J)

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 24)

# O.A. No. 568 of 2024 with M.A. No. 649 of 2024

# Ex Sep Ravinder Kumar Singh

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	<ul> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 649 of 2024</li> <li>2. There is delay of 06 years, 03 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>3. Regarding delay submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons explained in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</li> <li>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue</li> </ul>		
	on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay and maintainability offered by the applicant, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.		

6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. We find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of *Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh* [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands **disposed off** accordingly.

# O.A. No. 568 of 2024

7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.

8. Case needs adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **18.02.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) UKT/- (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

<u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 25)

Respondents

## O.A. No. 698 of 2024

# Ex LD Krishna Chandra Satpathy

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>In this case, Ld. counsel for the respondents had filed objection with regard to the maintainability of the O.A. Time was granted to the applicant to file reply to the objection which has not been filed.</li> </ol>	
	3. Ld. counsel for the applicant has raised objection with regard to territorial jurisdiction stating that the applicant is resident of District – Gurugram, Haryana. Hence, this case is not entertainable before this Tribunal on the ground of jurisdiction. He also submitted that the O.A is premature as it has been filed before the expiry of the stipulated period prescribed in the rules.	
	<ul> <li>4. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>5. Perusal of the records reveals that the impugned order has been conveyed by 'Records The Remount and Veterinary Corps' who is also arrayed as Respondent No. 3 in this O.A, is situated at Meerut, U.P. Therefore, as per Rule 6 (1) (ii) of the Procedure Rules, the cause of action, has partly arisen at Meerut which is well within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</li> </ul>	
	6. So far as second objection with regard to premature filing of the case is concerned, it is observed that the impugned order is dated 20.01.2024 and the O.A has been filed before this Tribunal on 18.07.2024 i.e. before the expiry of six months of impugned order. But as now the period of six months has lapsed, no fruitful purpose will be served if the O.A is dismissed at this stage. Accordingly, objection regarding premature of the case is hereby set-aside.	

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/-	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
11. List on <b>19.02.2025</b> .	
weeks thereafter.	
file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder	Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
10. Ld. Counsel for the respondent	s seeks and is allowed four weeks time to
9. Case needs adjudication.	
8. Admit.	
the application is maintainable l	pefore this Tribunal.
of action has arisen within the	jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Accordingly,
rule position discussed above,	we are of the considered view that cause
7. In view of the above submissi	on of the ld. counsel for the parties and

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 699 of 2024

L/Dfr Mirtunjay Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus **Union of India & Others** Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 13.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. In this case, Ld. counsel for the respondents had filed objection with regard to the maintainability of the O.A. Time was granted to the applicant to file reply to the objection which has not been filed. 3. Ld. counsel for the applicant has raised objection with regard to

- territorial jurisdiction stating that the applicant is resident of State of Bihar. Hence, this case is not entertainable before this Tribunal on the ground of jurisdiction. He also submitted that the O.A is premature as it has been filed before the expiry of the stipulated period prescribed in the rules.
- 4. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.
- 5. Perusal of the records reveals that the impugned order has been conveyed by 'Records The Remount and Veterinary Corps' who is also arrayed as Respondent No. 3 in this O.A, is situated at Meerut, U.P. Therefore, as per Rule 6 (1) (ii) of the Procedure Rules, the cause of action, has partly arisen at Meerut which is well within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.
- 6. So far as second objection with regard to premature filing of the case is concerned, it is observed that the impugned order is dated 10.02.2024 and the O.A has been filed before this Tribunal on 18.07.2024 i.e. before the expiry of six months of impugned order. But as now the period of six months has lapsed, no fruitful purpose will be served if the O.A is dismissed at this stage. Accordingly, objection regarding premature of the case is hereby set-aside.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) RK/-	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
11. List on <b>19.02.2025</b> .	
weeks thereafter.	
file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder	Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents	seeks and is allowed four weeks time to
9. Case needs adjudication.	
8. Admit.	
the application is maintainable b	efore this Tribunal.
of action has arisen within the	jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Accordingly,
rule position discussed above, v	ve are of the considered view that cause
7. In view of the above submission	on of the ld. counsel for the parties and

## Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 27)

## O.A. No. 738 of 2024

#### Ex Hav Rakesh Kumar Pal

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental representative for the respondents are present. Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</li> <li>On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, list on 10.12.2024.</li> </ol>	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 28)

O.A. No. 746 of 2024

# MWO Nanak Singh (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</li> <li>List on 20.02.2025.</li> </ol>	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 29)

# O.A. No. 872 of 2024 with M.A. No. 970 of 2024

# **MWO Lal Bahadur Yadav (Retd)**

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

# Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
riogiou y			
	13.11.2024 Han'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumer, Member ( 1)		
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents		
	submits that his correct name is Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra whereas in cause		
	list his name has been shown as Shri Devesh Kumar Agnihotri. Registry is		
	directed to show correct name of counsel as Devesh Kumar Mishra.		
	3. Documents filed by learned counsel for the applicant on affidavit are		
	taken on record. He submits that he intend to move amendment application in		
	this case. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to move amendment		
	application.		
	2. List on <b>10.01.2025</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 30)

# O.A. No. 641 of 2023 with M.A. No. 596 of 2024

Ex Sgt Pradeep Kumar Srivastava	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :	Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
	Shin Sandeep Thpathi, Autocate

#### Versus

# Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Respondents Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>13.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present. Learned counsel for respondents No 5 and 6 is also not present.</li> <li>List on 07.01.2025 for physical hearing.</li> <li>On the next date fixed, learned counsel for the parties shall remain physically present.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-