

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 160 of 2024 with M.A. No. 196 of 2024**

**Ex. NK Amaranth Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Respondents raised objection regarding maintainability of the O.A on the ground of Bar of res-judicata.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection on maintainability of the O.A.</li><li>5. List on <b>09.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 161 of 2024 with M.A. No. 197 of 2024**

**WO Kunta Narsimha Reddy (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 07 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 161 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>09.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 162 of 2024 with M.A. No. 198 of 2024**

**Sapper (DSV) Akhilesh Kumar Giri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 06 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 162 of 2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>09.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)**

**Ex-A. No. 54 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 880 of 2022**

**MWO (HFO) Avnit Kumar Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pahul Srivastava, Advocate  
Ms. Monika Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Pahul Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 08.02.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 880 of 2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>5. List on <b>10.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)**

**Ex-A. No. 55 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 526 of 2022**

**Ex. L/NK Indra Kant Jha** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 23.03.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 526 of 2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>5. List on <b>10.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)**

**M.A. No. 199 of 2024 with M.A. No. 200 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 290 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. NK Anjani Kumar**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 199/2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.10.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 290/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 03 months and 01 day in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. There is no sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 200/2024</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is also <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 584 of 2023**

**Sgt. Birendra Kumar Patel (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Today is the second last opportunity for filing the counter affidavit but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental representative submits that CA is ready and the same shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is granted one week and no more opportunity to file CA failing which the right to file CA shall stand forfeited.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**Ex-A. No. 245 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 317 of 2020**

**NC (E) Naresh Kumar Safaiwala** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Mamta Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Mamta Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>01.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**Ex-A. No. 380 of 2022 Inre : 650 of 2021**

**Ex. L/NK Lalan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any. Objection has not been filed by the applicant.</li><li>4. Since the order has been complied with, the instant execution application stand finally disposed of in full satisfaction.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**Ex-A. No. 395 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 359 of 2020**

**Ex. Rect. Munesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Second Appellate Committee on Pension (SACP),**  
**New Delhi & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any. Objection has not been filed by the applicant.</li><li>4. Since the order has been complied with, the instant execution application stand finally disposed of in full satisfaction.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**Ex-A. No. 13 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 537 of 2022**

**Ex. Rect. Ram Moorat Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>5. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</li><li>6. List on <b>19.04.2024</b>.</li><li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**Ex-A. No. 32 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 865 of 2021**

**Col (TS) Ezajullah Ansari (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing in the revised list, none present for the parties.</p> <p>2. List on <b>19.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex-A. No. 84 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 347 of 2021**

**Ex Hav/Mukesh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. It is submitted by ld. counsel for the respondents that PPO has been issued.</li><li>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>5. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex-A. No. 167 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 489 of 2022**

**Ex JWO Bhagwan Singh Sengar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>09.04.2024</b>.</li><li>5. Copy of the order be given to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**Ex-A. No. 172 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 523 of 2022**

**Ex.NK Shiv Bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued. He prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>06.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**Ex-A. No. 173 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 50 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Maj Balendra Prasad Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing applicant present in person. Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>19.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex-A. No. 186 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 308 of 2019**

**Hav. Didar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief for Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further three weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>19.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex-A. No. 202 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 657 of 2022**

**Ex.L NK Raghunath Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order within two weeks.</li><li>5. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</li><li>6. List on <b>09.04.2024</b>.</li><li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)**

**M.A. No. 1839 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1840 of 2023 Inre: Ex-A. No. 152 of 2022**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 21 of 2018**

**Ex. NK/SKT Uma Shankar Yagik** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that compliance has been made on their part. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record within three weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>01.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**  
**O.A. No. 734 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Basanta Kumar Sahoo** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further a week's time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.14 )**

**O.A. No. 919 of 2023**

**Ex.JWO Dharmendra Pratap Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate  
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>Allowed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.15 )**

**O.A. No. 932 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Anoop Kumar Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Heard the arguments at length.</li><li>3. O.A is <b>Allowed</b>.</li><li>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.16 )**

**O.A. No. 961 of 2023**

**Ex. WO Shive Prakash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>Allowed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 968 of 2023**

**Ex. (DMT) Ankur Rana (Agniveer)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate  
Maj. S. M. Mustafa (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Rajesh Kumar Pundir, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>28.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.18 )**

**O.A. No. 989 of 2023**

**Ex.Sub. (Clk SD) Hari Pal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K.Singh Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is granted further a week's time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity failing which opportunity to file CA shall stand closed.</li><li>4. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.19 )**

**O.A. No. 1018 of 2023**

**Lt. Col. Asoke Kumar Saha (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Kumar Mishra holding brief for Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>3. List on <b>22.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.20 )**

**O.A. No. 1178 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1626 of 2023**

**Cfn/Inst Chandra Prakash Ojha**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing applicant present in person. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the record reveals that the applicant has submitted an application dated 24.11.2023 through which he prayed for appointment of legal practitioner in his favour to properly adjudicate the matter.</li><li>3. Today, the applicant submits that he does not want to press the above application and would like to engage Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate as his counsel on his own expenses.</li><li>4. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>5. Registry is directed to print his name as counsel for the applicant in the future cause list.</li><li>6. List for hearing on maintainability on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.21 )**

**O.A. No. 1180 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1621 of 2023**

**Lt. Col. Shashi Bala (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra holding brief for Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objections filed by the respondents on delay condonation application and maintainability of the O.A are taken on record to which the reply may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.22 )**

**O.A. No. 1205 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Jitendra Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>18.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.23 )**

**O.A. No. 1244 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Aditya Prasad Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Neelu Singh Chauhan, Advocate  
Shri Vivek Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vivek Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>3. List on <b>18.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.24 )**

**O.A. No. 1392 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2015 of 2023**

**NK (TS) Badar Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objection on delay filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the delay condonation application.</li><li>4. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Objection raised by the respondents are set aside. Delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1392 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>09.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.25 )**

**O.A. No. 1399 of 2023**

**Sub Hari Prasad Kashyap (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Ajay Pratap Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>01.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.26 )**

**O.A. No. 1403 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Bhuri Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : R. Chandra, Advocate

Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>16.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.27 )**

**O.A. No. 1480 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2138 of 2023**

**Ex. NK Ramesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Objection on delay filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 18 years 11 months and 06 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. It has been submitted that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the delay condonation application.</li><li>4. It is further submitted that this is a pensionary matter which is recurring cause of action and the applicant has received medical documents through RTI on 13.06.2023 itself.</li><li>5. Objection raised by the respondents are set aside. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</li><li>6. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1480 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>9. Admit.</li><li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>11. List on <b>09.05.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.28 )**

**O.A. No. 1484 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2144 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Mangani Mukeshbhai Aalingbhai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on maintainability and delay condonation application but objections have not been filed. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time as last opportunity to file objection, if any.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.29 )**

**O.A. No. 16 of 2024**

**Ex L/NK DSCGD Pankaj Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</li><li>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on maintainability and delay condonation application but objections have not been filed. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time as last opportunity to file objection, if any.</li><li>3. List on <b>21.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.30 )**

**O.A. No. 21 of 2024 with M.A. No. 24 of 2024**

**Sgt. Sunil Kumar Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Objection filed on maintainability by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that the applicant is resident of district – Kaimur, Bihar. The instant O.A is lack of jurisdiction and hence, is not maintainable.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant vehemently opposed the submission of the respondents' counsel and submits that applicant's last posting was at Prayagraj, U.P and as per Rule 6(1)(i) of the AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008, the case is maintainable and comes within the territorial jurisdiction of this Bench.</li><li>5. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li><li>6. Perusal of the record reveals that the Release Medical Board was held at 29 Wing AF which is situated at Prayagraj, U.P and he was lastly posted as Prayagraj, U.P which is well within the jurisdiction of this Bench. Hence, objection raised by the respondents with regard to territorial jurisdiction are set aside.</li><li>7. So far as objection regarding delay is concerned, there is delay of 08 months and 05 days in filing the instant application. Being the pensionary matter, which is recurring cause of action and for the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the delay condonation application, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stand disposed of.</li><li>8. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li></ol>

9. Case needs adjudication.

10. Admit.

11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

12. List on **10.05.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.31 )**

**O.A. No. 50 of 2024 with M.A. No. 60 of 2024**

**Ex. Gnr Ashok Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate  
Shri V P Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objection on delay has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file objection as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.03.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.32 )**

**O.A. No. 456 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Anil Kumar Sharma & Another** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that on the previous dates also none was present on behalf of the applicant. Today, ld. counsel for the applicant is not present even in the revised call. It appears that the applicant has lost interest in pursuing this case.</li><li>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the applicant to present his case on the next date.</li><li>4. It is made clear that if none appears on behalf of the applicant on the next date, the case shall dismissed for non-prosecution.</li><li>5. List on <b>19.04.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)**

**O.A. No.421 of 2023**

**Lt. Col. Sandeep Bhatnagar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- in the registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them on or before the next date of listing.</li><li>5. List on <b>16.04.2024</b>.</li><li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.34 )**

**O.A. No. 745 of 2023**

**Maj. (MNS) Sunakshi Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>Partly allowed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.35 )**

**O.A. No. 737 of 2023**

**LS (US) Vikash Chandra Vidhan (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>