

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 508 of 2024

MCPO AF I (Hon S Lt) Jitendra Narayan Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate
Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. The innocuous prayer of the applicant is that to direct the respondents to decide the applicant's representation dated 10.08.2023, which is pending with the respondents.</p> <p>3. With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to decide the applicant's representation dated 10.08.2023, annexed as Annexure 1A (page 13 to 16 of Original Application) of Original Application, by a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law, if not already decided, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly.</p> <p>4. Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex. A. No. 332 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 473 of 2021

Ex. HFO Bhubneshwar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nod. 6 and 7 are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that order has been complied with.</p> <p>4. Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant conceded the fact that order has been complied with.</p> <p>5. Since order has been complied with, this Execution Application is disposed off in full satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 22 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 830 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Ris. Pradeep Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Writ Petition has been filed against the order and stay order has been passed by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record along with copy of the stay order.</p> <p>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. N. 40 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 505 of 2019

Ex. L Nk. Mithlesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri S.S. Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is also present through Video Conferencing.3. Affidavit regarding present status of this execution case filed by the respondents is taken on record.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order in implementation has been stayed vide order dated 07.02.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court.5. List on 13.09.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 73 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 588 of 2022

Ex. Hav./Clk. (SD) Lalit Narayan Thakur Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit filed by the respondents regarding present status of this execution case is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next of listing.5. List on 13.09.2024.6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 135 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 22 of 2022

Gp. Capt. (TS) Rajeev Moitra (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case.4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.5. List on 31.07.2024.6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 159 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 386 of 2022

Sgt. Brajesh Kumar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.2. Affidavit regarding present status of this execution case filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. List on 17.09.2024.5. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 179 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 383 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Santosh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Affidavit of responsible officer has also not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited till date.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case failing which cost of Rs.2,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of compliance within four weeks failing which respondents shall deposit Rs.5,000/- as cost which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the date of listing.6. List on 27.08.2024.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 184 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 436 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Umakant Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case.5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.6. List on 05.09.2024.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 257 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 450 of 2022

Ex. Sep/MT Dushyant Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ravindra Nath Tiwari (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. Ravindra Nath Tiwari (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case.5. List on 05.09.2024.6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 379 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 126 of 2023

Ex. WO Manoj Kumar Sah Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Master Warrant Officer S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Departmental Representative for the respondents the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 403 of 2023

Ex. Rfn. Amit Kumar Bajpai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through Video Conferencing and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original Application is dismissed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 475 of 2023

Ex. Hav/AA Sunil Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.4. List on 19.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 608 of 2023

Nk. Eldhose Abraham Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate\
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 02.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 620 of 2023

Col. Anshuman Vivek (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate
Shri Suyush Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 18.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 911 of 2023

Vishnu Dayal Mall Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.2. Today is last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he will file Counter Affidavit during the course of the day.4. List on 16.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1250 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1770 of 2023

Ex. MWO Ram Narayan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1770 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 04 years and 04 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1250 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p>

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

13. List on **13.09.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 34 of 2024 with M.A. No. 42 of 2024

POELA (R) Akhilesh Kumar Sharma (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Objection on maintainability of Original Application filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Additional documents containing rent agreement filed by the applicant is also taken on record.3. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection regarding Territorial Jurisdiction of this Tribunal. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that the applicant's permanent resident is of Village Phulari, PO – Phulari, Tehsil Ara, District – Ara, Bihar as such this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application.5. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is ordinarily residing at Flat No. 102, C/o Harendra Prasad (Flat owner), Malak Road, Behind Baba Bhrahmdev Mandir, Village – Malak, Post Office – dilkusha (Nilmatha), District – Lucknow, PIN-226002 as such this Tribunal has territorial jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application. In support of his contention he has files copy of letter dated 15.02.2024 issued by Naval Pension Office by which applicant's First Appeal has been forwarded to The Chief of the Naval Staff, a copy of which has been sent to applicant's aforesaid address. The applicant has also filed copy of letter dated 02.04.2024 issued by Directorate of Pay & Allowances, Naval Headquarters, New Delhi by which applicant's First Appeal has been rejected, which is also addressed to Lucknow's address. The applicant has also filed copy of Rent Agreement.6. Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both parties with regard to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal we find that in the letters dated 15.02.21024 and 02.04.2024 the applicant's address have been mentioned as

"Flat No. 102, C/o Harendra Prasad (Flat owner), Malak Road, Behind Baba Bhrahmdev Mandir, Village – Malak, Post Office – dilkusha (Nilmatha), District – Lucknow, PIN-226002". Further, the applicant has filed copy of Rent Agreement wherein the address of applicant has been shown as "Flat No. 102, C/o Harendra Prasad (Flat owner), Malak Road, Behind Baba Bhrahmdev Mandir, Village – Malak, Post Office – dilkusha (Nilmatha), District – Lucknow, PIN-226002". Since the applicant is ordinarily residing at Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, this Tribunal has territorial jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application. As such the Original Application is **maintainable**.

M.A. No. 42 of 2024

7. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.

8. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.

9. There is a delay of 12 years, 05 months and 18 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.

10. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.

11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.

12. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of ***Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh*** [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.

O.A. No. 34 of 2024

13. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.

14. Matter needs adjudication.

15. Admit.

16. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

17. List on **13.09.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 144 of 2024 with M.A. No. 173 of 2024

Ex. Gnr. (OPR) Jitendra Kumar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Advocate
Shri Krishna Kumar Seth, Advocate
Shri Devdutt Prakhar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present Video Conferencing. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Objection to delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Reply, if any, may be filed till the next date of listing.4. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned for the day.5. List on 30.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No.153 of 2024

Hav.NA Kailash Chand Punia Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 22.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 160 of 2024 with M.A. No. 196 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Amarnath Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Objection along with affidavit is filed today by the respondents. Affidavit is found to be defective, therefore, it is rejected.4. Respondents may file fresh affidavit along with objection within two weeks.5. List on 23.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 164 of 2024

Sub. Dev Narayan Thakur (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Dr. Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharmaraj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 03.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 168 of 2024

Nb. Sub. Hakim Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.5. List on 13.08.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 181 of 2024

Lt. Col. Jamal Ahmed (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 03.09.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 303 of 2024 with M.A. No. 380 of 2024

Ex. WO A.K. Pathriya Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Master Warrant Officer S.K. Misrha, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that Ld. Counsel for the respondents is out of station. He prays for adjournment.4. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.5. List on 12.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

M.A. No. 568 of 2024 Inre : Diary No. 1249 of 2024

POME Abhishek Tiwari (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. In this case 26.07.2024 is already fixed.3. Applicant has moved an application to expedite the case.4. There is no sufficient ground to expedite the case. Early Hearing Application is rejected.5. Put up on the date already fixed i.e. 26.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

Ex. A. No. 51 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 731 of 2020

Maj. Vinay Sharma (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate appeared and he submitted that he is no more Counsel for the applicant. His name be deleted from the cause list as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.2. Perused.3. Name of Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate be deleted from the cause list when the case is listed next.4. Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.5. On 20.12.2023 parties were directed that case needs physical hearing and today is fixed for physical hearing.6. Counsel for the parties are not present physically. Case is adjourned for the day.7. List on 27.08.2024 for physical hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 731 of 2020 with M.A. No. 117 of 2021, M.A. No. 443 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 51 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 731 of 2020

Maj. Vinay Sharma (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate appeared and he submitted that he is no more Counsel for the applicant. His name be deleted from the cause list as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Perused.</p> <p>3. Name of Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate be deleted from the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>4. Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>5. On 20.12.2023 parties were directed that case needs physical hearing and today is fixed for physical hearing.</p> <p>6. Counsel for the parties are not present physically. Case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>7. List on 27.08.2024 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 255 of 2021

Pradeep Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.2. Arguments could not be completed.3. List on 22.07.2024 as part heard case for further hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 268 of 2021

Ram Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri g.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 213 of 2023 with M.A. No. 521 of 2024

Ex. Rect. Pradeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 13.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 250 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Ajeet Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 18.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 809 of 2023

Ex. Nk/TA Vikas Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 31.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 920 of 2023

Ex. LME Vivek Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 35)

T.A. No. 58 of 2023

HFO Shree Shankar Mishra (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>2. List on 31.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

Dairy No. 1326 of 2024

Ex. Sep. Mangani Mukeshbhai Aalingbhai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing are present.3. Registry has raised some defects.4. Applicant is directed to rectify the defects raised by the registry within two weeks.5. List on 15.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 495 of 2024 with M.A. No.584 of 2024

Ex. JWO Bansidhara Padhy Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 584 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 04 year, 05 months and 22 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 495 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p>

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

13. List on **10.09.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 496 of 2024 with M.A. No. 585 of 2024

JWO Mahesh Chandra Gupta (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar , Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Perna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Ms. Perna Singh, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondents through Video Conferencing and she submits that she will file Memo of Appearance during the course of the day. .</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ms. Perna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 585 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 01 year and 16 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 496 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p>

10. Matter needs adjudication.
11. Admit.
12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.
13. List on **10.09.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 497 of 2024 with M.A. No. 586 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Mahendra Nath Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. List is revised.2. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.3. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.4. Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection, if any, on maintainability of this Original Application.6. List on 25.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 498 of 2024 with M.A. No. 587 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Subhash Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. List is revised.2. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.3. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.4. Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.5. List on 25.07.2024 for hearing on admission. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 499 of 2024 with M.A. No. 588 of 2024

Ex. Sub. Perteep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing. Shri Alok Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 588 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 02 months and 08 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 499 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p>

10. Matter needs adjudication.
11. Admit.
12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.
13. List on **10.09.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 7)
O.A. No. 502 of 2024 with M.A. No. 591 of 2024

Ex. MACP Hav Anand Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 591 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 03 months and 25 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 502 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on 10.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 8)
O.A. No. 503 of 2024 with M.A. No. 592 of 2024

WO Karan Singh Rajput (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Bipin Kumar Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 592 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability element of disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 02 months and 29 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 503 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 504 of 2024

Lt. Col. Shuvrangshu Chowdhury Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Gyan Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next2. Heard Shri Gyan Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj. Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.5. Matter needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.8. List on 11.09.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 199 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 673 of 2022

Nk. Om Prakash Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>6. This application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 01.11.2022 passed in Original Application No. 673 of 2022.</p> <p>7. It is fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>9. List on 06.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 201 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 480 of 2023

Ex. Ris. Hari Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 15.12.2023 passed in Original Application No.480 of 2023.4. It is fresh Execution Application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.6. List on 06.09.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 12)

Ex. A. No. 202 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 75 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Suresh Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prabhat Kumar Upadhyay, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.3. Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.4. It is fresh Execution Application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 12.07.2023 passed in Original Application No.75 of 2023.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.6. List on 05.09.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. SI. No. 13)

M.A. No. 574 of 2024 with M.A. No. 575 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 884 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Hav. Jagvir Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 10.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 884 of 2022.3. There is a delay of 01 year, 01 month and 27 days in filing of leave to application.4. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that the applicants being the government functionaries and due to involvement of various agencies of the government and perhaps lack of time management the delay has occurred. After the judgment, the applicants sent the relevant papers before the competent authority to take appropriate action. After getting opinion of Law Department the authorities sent papers to the Central Government Counsel for drafting the Misc. Application. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.5. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay in filing Leave to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case.6. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is dismissed.8. Leave to Appeal being time barred is also dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>