

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 45 of 2024 with M.A. No. 51 of 2024

HFO Arun Pal Singh (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel or the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present before the Court.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 04 years 04 month and 21 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 45 of 2024</div><div><div>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 18.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. O.A. No. 46 of 2024 with M.A. No. 52 of 2024

MWO Virendra Dhar Dwivedi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>17.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel or the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 04 years 06 month and 21 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div><div><u>O.A. No. 46 of 2024</u></div><div><div>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 18.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 68 of 2024 with M.A. No.90of 2024

Ex. Hav. Braj Raj Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>17.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal and maintainability of the O.A before this Tribunal. He prays for and is allowed two weekstime to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</div><div>4. List on 23.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 69 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 80 of 2024

Col. Dilip Kumar D.S. (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 12 years 06 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding delay and maintainability of the O.A before this Tribunal. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</div><div>5. List on 01.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A No. 71 of 2024 Inre: M.A. No. 86 of 2024

Sep. Shyam Babu Prasad Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharambeer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant.Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal,Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.3. There is a delay of 01 years 03 months and 28 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding delay and maintainability of the O.A before this Tribunal. He prays for and is allowed three weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to delay and maintainability of the O.A.5. List on 01.03.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 72 of 2024 with M.A. No. 87 of 2024

HFO Shashi Kumar Omar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through video conferencing and ShriJ.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 08 month and 14 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 72 of 2024</div><div><div>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 18.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

EX-A. No 329 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 652 of 2021

Hav Navin Kumar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and PPO has been issued. He prays for and is granted a week’s time to bring this fact on record.</p> <p>3. List on<u>25.01.2024</u>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 342 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 16 of 2022

Ex Nk Indrajit Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has also not been deposited.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further fourweek’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which cost of Rs. 5000/- shall be deposited in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>List on 12.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 369 of 2022 with Inre: O.A. No. 382 of 2021

Ex Sep (DSC) Sushil Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. 5. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing. 6. List on 05.03.2024. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 30 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 11 of 2022

Ex Hav Dushyant Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra,Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagrajare present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction has been accorded on 24.07.2023.</div><div>4. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj submits that e-PPO has been issued but the claim has not been received at PCDA.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 05.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 59 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 107 of 2022

Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Arvind Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Shirma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost has also not been deposited. Clarification sought by the respondents has also not been filed. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further fourweek's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. 5. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing. 6. List on 08.03.2024. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p>
RK/-	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 60 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 869of 2021

Ex Hav (MACP Nb Sub) Paramatma Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan,Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit filed by the respondents showing the present status of the execution is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further fourweek’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 20.03.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 71 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 291of 2022

Ex Sub Jamaluddin Ansari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on 20.03.2024.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 101 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 114 of 2022

Ex Sub Arun Kumar Yadava
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing,Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further fourweek’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents shall deposit all the cost due against them on or before the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 20.03.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 135 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 22 of 2022

GpCapt (TS) Rajeev Moitra (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 11.03.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 184 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 436 of 2022

Ex Sub Umakant Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant andShri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 11.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 246 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 620 of 2022

Ex L/NK Gupta Bahadur Malla
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey,Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record to which the Id. Counsel for the applicant may file objection, if any, within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 22.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

EX-A. No. 308 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1004 of 2022

Ex NC (E) Raghu Nath Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri DS Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DS Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicantand Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 19.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

EX-A. No.323 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 362 of 2022

NC (E) Ramji Prasad (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 19.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

Ex-A. No. 448 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 88 of 2023

Ex Hav Om Prakash
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 02.04.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 167 of 2022

Hony. Lt. Mahendra Nath Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R. C. Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R. C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Supplementary affidavit, amendment application alongwith supplementary rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that this amendment application has been moved to bring new facts on record after rejection of the statutory complaint of the applicant.</div><div>4. Heard the parties on amendment application.</div><div>5. The proposed amendment does not change the nature of the case and respondents’ counsel has no objection to this amendment. Hence, amendment application is allowed.</div><div>6. Ld. counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate the amendment within a week. Applicant shall provide a copy of the amended O.A within two weeks to the respondents’ counsel who may file supplementary CA, if any, till the next date of listing.</div><div>7. List on 01.03.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 421 of 2023

Lt. Col. Sandeep Bhatnagar (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Despite grant of several opportunities even the last opportunity Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunityfailing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the cost due against them till the next date of listing.</div><div>5. List for final hearing on 14.02.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 616 of 2023

Smt. Sheetal Tiwari W/o Ex. Sep. Niraj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.),Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 05.03.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 694 of 2023

Ex.Hav Ram Singh BasnetApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra,Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.03.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 697 of 2023

Cdr. Vineet Sharma (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted one more last opportunity of four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 28.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 853 of 2023

Ex.CMDE Ashok Kumar RaiApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.03.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 877 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1129 of 2023

Ex RectDesh SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant.Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection raised by the respondents within regard to maintainability of the O.A but the reply has not been filed. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the applicant to file reply on or before the next date of listing.</div><div>3. List on 08.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 904 of 2023

Ex Hav /WM Radhey Shyam
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No.905 of 2023

Ex Sub D.S. Parihar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 03.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1004 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Raj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 03.04.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No.1005 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Khub SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 03.04.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>RK/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1008 of 2023

Ex Sub Harihar Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencingand Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 03.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No.1044 of 2023

Ex Cpl. Dinesh Singh Negi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt,Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh,Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. There is a delay of 16 years 05 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>3. Respondents were granted time to file objection to the delay condonation application but objections have not been filed.</div><div>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but due to reasons stated in affidavit filed with the delay condonation application.</div><div>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. Being pensionary matter, there is recurring cause of action. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>6. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 12.04.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No.1153 of 2023

Ex Sub Susanta Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Objection on maintainability of the O.A filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>It has been submitted by the respondents that this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction to adjudicate the instant case as the applicant is resident of Odisha. The only pleadings regarding jurisdiction is that the applicant’s Record Office is situated in Meerut. He further argued that the delay has not been properly explained.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>On the other hand, Id. counsel for the applicant submits that the impugned order has been issued by the Record Office which is situated at Meerut well within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Perusal of the file reveals that there is not delay in filing the original application andRegistry has also not raised any defect towards delay.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>Further, we find that the impugned order dated 07.01.2023 (Annexure A-1) has been passed by the RVC Records which is situated at Meerut well within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>Rule 6 (1) of the AFT (Procedure) Rules 2008 provides that an application shall ordinarily be filed by the application with the Registrar of the Bench within whose jurisdiction:<div><div>(i)</div><div>the applicant is posted for the time being, or was last posted or attached;</div></div><div><div>(ii)</div><div>where the cause of action, wholly or in part has arisen.</div></div>Provided that with the leave of the Chairperson the application may be filed with the Registrar of the Principal Bench and subject to the orders under</div></div></div>

	<p>Section 14 or Section 15 of the Act, such application shall be heard and disposed of by the Bench which has jurisdiction over the matter.</p> <p>8. In the instant case, the impugned order has been issued by RVC Records Meerut, thus, cause of action has arisen at Meerut. Hence, this Tribunal has territorial jurisdiction to adjudicate the case.</p> <p>9. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>10. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on 12.04.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>
--	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1154 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1612 of 2023

Ex Sub T. Umamaheswara Rao

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Objection on maintainability of the O.A filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. It has been submitted by the respondents that this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction to adjudicate the instant case as the applicant is resident of Andhra Pradesh. He further argued that the delay has not been properly explained.</div><div>4. On the other hand, Id. counsel for the applicant submits that the impugned order has been issued by the Record Office which is situated at Meerut well within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</div><div>5. Perusal of the file reveals that delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant but there is not delay in filing the O.A. Registry has also not raised any defect/objection with regard to delay. As such, delay condonation application is rejected as unwarranted.</div><div>6. Further, we find that the impugned order dated 01.11.2022 (Annexure A-1) has been passed by the RVC Records which is situated at Meerut well within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</div><div>7. Rule 6 (1) of the AFT (Procedure) Rules 2008 provides that an application shall ordinarily be filed by the application with the Registrar of the Bench within whose jurisdiction:<div><div>(i) the applicant is posted for the time being, or was last posted or attached;</div><div>(ii) where the cause of action, wholly or in part has arisen.</div></div></div><div>Provided that with the leave of the Chairperson the application may be filed</div></div></div>

	<p>with the Registrar of the Principal Bench and subject to the orders under Section 14 or Section 15 of the Act, such application shall be heard and disposed of by the Bench which has jurisdiction over the matter.</p> <p>8. In the instant case, the impugned order has been issued by RVC Records Meerut, thus, cause of action has arisen at Meerut. Hence, this Tribunal has territorial jurisdiction to adjudicate the case.</p> <p>9. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>10. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on 12.04.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 1237 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1750 of 2023

Ex Sigmn Kirpal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the casebeing taken up for hearing none present for the applicant.Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.</div><div>3. List on 07.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 82 of 2022

RectHarish Gangwar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Akhilesh Kumar Maurya, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. In the interest of justice, case is adjourned for the day.</div><div>3. It is made clear the if on the next date of listing Id. counsel for the applicant will not appear for arguments, the case will he heard and decided on the basis of arguments of Id. counsel for the respondents and material available on record.</div><div>4. List on 04.04.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 832 of 2022

Ex. Swr Prayag Datt
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. At the request of Id. counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</div><div>3. List on 02.04.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>RK/-</div>