

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 887 of 2024 with M.A. No. 990 of 2024

Ex Sep Makwana Hari Deva Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present before the Court.</p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. There is delay of more than 12 years in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks’ time to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. List on 28.11.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 889 of 2024

Ex Gdr Neeraj Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>4. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 02.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 356 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 799 of 2021

Ex Nk Satyendra Narayan Dubey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Ms. Hemlata, Advocate
Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 19.10.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 799 of 2021.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 10.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 357 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 805 of 2022

Ex Nk Rajesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 10.01.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 805 of 2022.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>6. List on 18.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 360 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 315 of 2021

Ex Sub Maj Samar Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the respondents. 2. Heard the parties and perused the records. 3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 07.01.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 315 of 2021. 4. Departmental Representative prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. 5. List on 19.12.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 361 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 622 of 2021

Ex Sub Hari Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 18.12.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 622 of 2021.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. List on 20.12.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl Sl. No. 7)

T.A. No. 22 of 2024

Ex Rect / MT Amit Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : - Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Vakalatnama has also been filed by Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate and Shri Shashikant Chaturvedi, Advocate, on behalf of the applicant, is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant andShri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>4. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>5. On request of Id. counsel for the parties, list this case for hearing on 12.11.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Recall application has been filed by the applicant for recalling the order dated 01.07.2024.</p> <p>4. Registry has pointed out certain defects in this matter. Ld. counsel for the applicant is directed to rectify the defects within a week.</p> <p>5. List on 11.11.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex- A. No. 203 of 2016 Inre: T.A. No. 39 of 2012

Selina John
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj RD Singh (Retd), Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearingMaj RD Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicantis not present today. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present.</div><div>2. It is submitted by the Id. counsel for the respondents that compliance has been made and amount has also been paid to the applicant. Nothing is due against the respondents.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further a week’s time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</div><div>5. List on 03.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

Ex - A. No. 155 of 2023Inre: O.A. No.665of 2022

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 20,000/- due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit / stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on 11.12.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex - A. No. 157 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 600 of 2021

Ex Sub Jawahar Lal Mandal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj is also present on behalf of the respondents.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. It is submitted by the Id. counsel for the respondents that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</div><div>5. Ld. counsel for the applicant has also concede with the fact that the order has been complied with.</div><div>6. Since the order has been complied with, this execution applicant is disposed of in full satisfaction.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 159 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 386 of 2022

Sgt Brajesh Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Rahul Pal holding brief for Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 10,000/- due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 20.12.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex- A. No. 189 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.812 of 2021

Ex Nk Shankar Dnyanu Mane

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri Saurabh Yadav holding brief for Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. SN Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsis present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 10,000/- has also not been deposited.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Director, PS-4, IHQ, MoD is directed to be present before the Tribunal through virtual mode to explain the cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 20.12.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 202 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 657 of 2022

Ex LNK Raghunath Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. List has been revised. 2. Sri Saurabh Yadav proxy counsel for Sri Prashant Kumar Shukla is present on behalf of the applicant. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present even on revision of list. 3. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and perused the record. 4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Affidavit of responsible officer regarding status of the case has also not been filed. 5. Departmental representative submits that Affidavit has been forwarded to the learned counsel for the respondents on 14.5.2024, but the said Affidavit has not been filed by the learned counsel for the respondents. 6. Departmental representative further submits that Writ petition has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court against the order of this Tribunal, but there is no stay order from the Hon'ble High Court. 7. Departmental representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 20,000/-, which shall be deposited in the Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to pay all costs as imposed by this Tribunal before the next date listing. 8. List on 20.12. 2024. 9. Copy of this order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="339 2343 797 2427"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="849 2343 1320 2427"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">GIRISH/-</p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 284 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.423 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj Nigam Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Mrs. Perna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 5000/- due against the respondents has also not been deposited. 3. It is submitted by Departmental Representative that writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal. There is no stay order passed by the Hon'ble Court. 4. Since no stay order has been passed by the Hon'ble Court, respondents are directed to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, of the Hon'ble Court within four weeks failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. 6. List on 02.01.2025. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 298 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 71 of 2022

Ex WO BB Tiwary
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearingShri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 5000/- has also not been deposited.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 12.11.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 325 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 824 of 2022

Ex Hav Kheem Singh Mehra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagrajare present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Cost of Rs. 10,000/- has also not been deposited.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction has been accorded on 24.06.2024.</div><div>5. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj submits that claim has been received in his office.</div><div>6. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>8. List on 28.11.2024.</div><div>9. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 373of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 677 of 2022

MWO (HFO) Arvind Kumar Mishra (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. List on 03.01.2025.</p> <p>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 248 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1011 of 2022

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Maj (Retd) Avinash Belwal

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that she wants to move amendment application for correction in her Objection. She prays for and is granted one week’s time to file amendment application.</div><div>3. List on 08.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 518 of 2023

Ex Sub Harvinder Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file RA, but no RA has been filed till date. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file RA by way of last opportunity.</div><div>3. List on 11.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1176 of 2023

Col Surindra Singh Gusain (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate
Shri Shobhit Shukla, Advocate
Shri SP Dorji, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Despite availing several opportunities, Counter Affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one more opportunity to file Counter Affidavit within four weeks by way of last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 29.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1177 of 2023

Lt Col Sunil Kumar Patnaik (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate
Shri Shobhit Shukla, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri SP Dorji, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Despite availing several opportunities, Counter Affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit by way of last opportunity</div><div>5. List on 29.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1409 of 2023

Ex Hon Sub Lt MC
EL (R) II Anil Kumar Solanki
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not been filed so far.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 03.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 151 of 2024

Laxman Bahadur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received para-wise comments of the O.A. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to file CA.</div><div>3. List on 02.12.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 226 of 2024 with M.A. No. 284 of 2024

Ex Sep Pevhal Lakhan Rohtas
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Anand Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant.Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. On previous date, an affidavit of rent agreement was filed by the applicant and the applicant was directed to provide a copy of the same to the learned counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has not yet received a copy of rent agreement till date. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to supply a copy of rent agreement to the learned counsel for the respondents within a week, failing which O.A. be dismissed.</div><div>4. List on 17.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 249 of 2024

Ex Nk Subhash Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA has not yet been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that CA has been drafted and the same has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted one week’s further time to file CA by way of last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 29.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

O.A. No. 250 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA has not yet been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that CA is under preparation. She prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file CA.</p> <p>4. List on 25.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 251 of 2024 with M.A. No. 319 of 2024

Ex L/NK Khushal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none appears on behalf of the applicant. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents states that Objection regarding delay condonation application has been filed. The same is taken on record.</div><div>3. Preliminary Objection regarding maintainability of the O.A. before this Tribunal filed by the respondents, is also taken on record.</div><div>4. Applicant may file Reply, if any, within two weeks.</div><div>5. List on 20.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 342 of 2024

Sgt MR Uddin

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Preliminary Objection regarding maintainability of the O.A. before this Tribunal filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Reply filed on behalf of the applicant, is also taken on record.</div><div>4. List on 18.11.2024 for hearing.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 390 of 2024 with M.A. No. 465 of 2024

Ex WO Jitendra Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>17.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file Objection regarding maintainability of the O.A. before this Tribunal, which has not been filed till date.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks’ further time to file Objection, if any, by way of last opportunity.</p> <p>4. List on 18.11.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>GIRISH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 459 of 2024

JWO Rama Shankar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. CA filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file any RA.</div><div>4. List for final hearing on 12.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 25)

Diary No: 2059 of 2024

Ex Nk Murali Lal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Applicant was granted time to rectify the defects as pointed out by the Registry, which has not yet been rectified.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks’ further time to rectify the defects as pointed out by the Registry by way of last opportunity, failing which the case be dismissed</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List on 01.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

Diary No: 2088 of 2024

Ex Sub Maj Dhanraj Pawar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Amendment application moved on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Heard the learned counsel for the parties on amendment application filed on behalf of the applicant and perused the record.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Learned counsel for the applicant is seeking amendment in prayer clause of his Application and he intends to delete the prayer (a) of the Application and in its place, he wants to add prayer (a-i), which is mentioned in the Amendment Application. This will not change the nature of the Application. Amendment application is allowed. Applicant is directed to carry out the amendment within a week.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List on 25.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 551 of 2021

Ex Hav Arun Kumar Roy
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On 16.2.2024, learned counsel Sri Manoj Kumar Awasthi had appeared on behalf of the applicant and on his prayer, time was granted to him to file Vakalatnama and Rejoinder Affidavit on behalf of the applicant, which has not yet been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one week’s further time to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant in addition to the previous counsel and Rejoinder Affidavit by way of last opportunity, failing which opportunity may be closed.</div><div>3. List on 18.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 942 of 2022

Ex Sep Ravinder KumarApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate
Ms. Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, none appears on behalf of the applicant even on revision of list.Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>In the interest of justice, list this case on 20.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>It will be last opportunity for the applicant to argue the case.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>GIRISH/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 406 of 2023

Ex Sub Pramod KumarApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>17.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the joint request of parties’ counsel, the case is adjourned for the day.</div><div>3. List on 03.1.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div> <div>GIRISH/-</div>