

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 246 of 2024 with M.A. No. 312 of 2024

Sgt. Pradeep Kumar Mishra (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Vinay Swaroop Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 02 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</p> <p>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 246 of 2024</u></p> <p>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 23.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. 247 of 2024 with M.A. No. 313 of 2024

Ex. Nb. Sub/Opr Shailesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. There is a delay of 02 months and 25 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. 4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 247 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents. 6. Case needs adjudication. 7. Admit. 8. Ld. Counsel for the official respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 9. Let notice be issued to respondent No. 6 returnable within four weeks. Steps be taken by the applicant within a week. 10. List on 23.07.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 2368 782 2438">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div> <div data-bbox="920 2368 1209 2438">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div> </div> <p data-bbox="349 2472 402 2491">RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 249 of 2024 with M.A. No. 314 of 2024

Ex. NK. Subhash Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 02 months and 03 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 249 of 2024</div><div><div>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 23.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 250 of 2024 with M.A. No. 315 of 2024

Ex Hav Vinod Kumar Yadava

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 05 months and 03 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div><div>O.A. No. 250 of 2024</div><div>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 23.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 251 of 2024 with M.A. No. 319 of 2024

Ex L/Nk Khushal SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Siddharth Dayal, Advocate
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 05 years 06 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding delay and maintainability of the O.A before this Tribunal on the ground that alternate remedy has not been availed. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to delay and maintainability of the O.A.</div><div>5. List on 16.05.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 252 of 2024 with M.A. No. 320 of 2024

Leading Seaman Avinash Kumar Singh(Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div>18.03.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div> <div>3. There is a delay of 02 years 09 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div> <div>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div> <div>O.A. No. 252 of 2024</div> <div>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div> <div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div> <div>7. Admit.</div> <div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> <div>9. List on 24.07.2024.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 253 of 2024

Sgt More Seshi Reddy, Flt Gun
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This O.A has been filed against the refusal of discharge of the applicant from service.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding maintainability of the O.A before this Tribunal on the ground that alternate remedy has not been availed. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to delay and maintainability of the O.A.</div><div>5. List on 16.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 254 of 2024 with M.A. No. 321 of 2024

Nb Sub Kamal Bahadur Thapa (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 11 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</p> <p>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 254 of 2024</u></p> <p>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 24.07.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 255 of 2024 with M.A. No. 322 of 2024

Ex LD Gurjant Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Misrha, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Misrha, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 06 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.</div><div>4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div><div>O.A. No. 255 of 2024</div><div>5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 24.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 256 of 2024 with M.A. No. 323 of 2024

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. There is a delay of 02 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned. 4. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 256 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents. 6. Case needs adjudication. 7. Admit. 8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 9. List on 24.07.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 2257 784 2333">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div> <div data-bbox="1015 2257 1310 2333">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div> </div> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 257 of 2024

Gp Cat Surya Bhan Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
RK Singh, Advocate
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>4. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 24.07.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 258 of 2024 with M.A. No. 324 of 2024

Ex Sub Maj Mahesh Shahi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Swatantra Dev, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. There is a delay of 08 years 08 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding delay in filing the O.A. He prays for and is allowed three weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection with regard to delay in filing the O.A.</div><div>5. List on 22.05.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

EX-A. No. 121 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 258 of 2023

Ex ACP Nb Sub Chanchal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 17.08.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 258 of 2023. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. 5. List on 01.07.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

M.A. No. 316 of 2024 with M.A. No. 317 of 2024
Inre: O.A. No. 1081 of 2022

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Col Anand Kumar Tapadar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 316/2024</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 03.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1081/2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 09 months and 01 day in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 09 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 317/2024</u></p> <p>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is also dismissed on the ground of delay.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

EX-A. No. 69 of 2019 Inre: O.A. No. 583 of 2017

Ex Sep Ajay Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the previous date, ld. counsel for the respondents had submitted that order has almost been implemented and only PPO is awaited.</div><div>3. Today, Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two week’s time to file compliance affidavit as a last opportunity failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 26.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

EX-A. No. 285 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 602 of 2021

Ex Hav Jit Bahadur Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on 04.05.2024.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

Ex-A. No. 352 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 523 of 2021

Ex Nb Sub / SKT Ramanand Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and she will be filing affidavit of compliance during the course of the day.</div><div>4. List on 16.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

EX-A. No. 6 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 100 of 2019

Ex Rect Rahul Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit of responsible officer has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order within two weeks.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 04.05.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

EX-A. No. 9 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 776 of 2021

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Affidavit regard present status of the case and causes of delay, filed by the respondents is taken on record. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. 5. List on 04.05.2024. 6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 20)

EX-A. No. 12 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 794 of 2021

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition has been filed against the order before the Hon'ble High Court. 4. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 5. List on 19.07.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1712 784 1779" style="width: 45%;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>RK/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="922 1712 1211 1779" style="width: 45%; text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 21)

EX-A. No. 59 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 107 of 2022

Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Arvind Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two week's time to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>4. List on 19.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 22)

EX-A. No. 110 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 118 of 2021

Ex Nk Arvind Kumar Tripathi

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. Affidavit regarding compliance of the order has already been filed by the respondents. Applicant was granted time to file objection but the objection has not been filed.</div><div>4. Today, neither the applicant nor his counsel is present. It appears that the applicant has lost interest in pursuing this execution application.</div><div>5. Accordingly, the instant execution is dismissed for non-prosecution.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

EX-A. No. 114 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 402 of 2022

Ex Hav (ACP-1) Rajveer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that affidavit of responsible officer has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. 4. List on 16.07.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1658 782 1720"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="920 1658 1209 1720"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 24)

EX-A. No. 138 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 922 of 2022

Ex Hav Arvind Kumar Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 16.07.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 25)

EX-A. No. 139 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 906 of 2022

Ex Hav Tej Bahadur Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit filed by the respondents regarding present status of the case, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 16.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

EX-A. No. 140 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 329 of 2022

Ex W/O Satyendra Pratap Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><div><div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four week’s time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 16.07.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

EX-A. No. 152 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 187 of 2020

Smt. Geeta Dixit W/o Ex Gnr Late Mahesh Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. This execution application has been filed for execution of the order dated 12.02.2021. 4. Cause of delay in implementing the order has not been explained by the respondents. 5. Respondents are directed to show cause as to why in exercise of power available in Order 21 of CPC for attachment of movable / immovable property be not initiated against the respondents. 6. Respondents are further granted four week's time to take immediate action towards implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant failing which attachment proceedings against the respondents shall be initiated. 7. List on 04.05.2024. 8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 2150 784 2220">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div> <div data-bbox="922 2150 1211 2220">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div> </div> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 28)

EX-A. No. 169 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 227 of 2022

Ex Sep Lalit Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit of responsible officer has also not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order within two weeks.</div><div>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</div><div>6. List on 09.05.2024.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 29)

EX-A. No. 171 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 486 of 2022

Ex HavTarkeshwar Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri SS Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit of responsible officer has also not been filed. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order within two weeks. 5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. 6. List on 16.05.2024. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 2021 784 2083"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="922 2021 1211 2083"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 30)

EX-.A. No. 178 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 995 of 2022

Maj Uma Shankar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on 05.07.2024.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 31)

EX-A. No. 180 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 693 of 2021

Ex L/NK Pramod Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Prasad, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the parties.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order within two weeks.</div><div>5. List on 05.07.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>RK/-</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 32)

EX-A. No. 185 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 141 of 2022

Maj Dr. Barkha Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajay Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on 16.05.2024.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 33)

EX-A. No. 187 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 604 of 2021

Ex Hav Ram Shankar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Versus

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 6000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. List on 22.05.2024.</div></div><div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

EX-A. No. 203 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 732 of 2022

Ex Hav Ram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. 5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing. 6. List on 18.07.2024. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

EX-A. No. 248 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 120 of 2023

Ex HFL Vijay Shanker Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri SK Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 4. List on 18.07.2024. 5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="350 1749 784 1817"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="824 1749 1114 1817"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p data-bbox="350 1849 397 1870">RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 36)

EX-A. No. 256 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 143 of 2022

Ex Rect Uttam Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 01.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 37)

EX-A. No. 267 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 1068 of 2022

Lt Col Jaiswal Swapnil Rajendra (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</p> <p>4. List on 03.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 38)

EX-A. No. 334 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 627 of 2021

Col (TS) Suresh Mamgain (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 03.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 39)

EX-A. No. 392 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 288 of 2022

Ex L/NK Aher Ganpat Chhagan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. AK. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 03.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 40)

Ex-A. No. 393 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 539 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Mahesh Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>5. List on 12.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 41)

EX.A. No. 398 of 2023 Inre: Ex-A. No. 138 of 2017
Inre: T.A. No. 83 of 2012....

Ex (SWA/APP) Jyoti Prakash Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri BN Choubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri BN Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Reply to the objection filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List for hearing on 27.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 42)

EX-A. No. 403 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 376 of 2022

Ex Sgt Krishan Chand Tyagi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ramakant Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ramakant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 29.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 43)

EX-A. No. 419 of 2023 Inre: T.A. No. 76 of 2022

MWO Yogendra Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 10.07.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 44)

EX-A. No. 422 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 897 of 2022

Ex Sub (ASH) Kumar Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit. 4. List on 10.07.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 45)

Ex-A. No. 423 of 2023 Inre: O.A No. 393 of 2022

Ex sub Sudhansu Kumar Sahu
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 10.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

EX-A. No. 428 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 505 of 2022

Col (TS) Dharendra Kumar Thapliyal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit. 4. List on 10.07.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 47)

EX-A. No. 497 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 585 of 2023

Ex Sub Basappa Gurappa Rudrapuri

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 15.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 48)

EX-A. No. 498 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 177 of 2023

Ex Sigmn Ajay Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 15.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 49)

EX-A.No. 22 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 611 of 2022

MWO (HFO) Bhawan Nath Giri (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 15.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 50)

EX-A. No. 83 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 339 of 2023

Ex WO Virendra Kumar Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instructions and file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 25.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 51)

M.A. No. 1905 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1906 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 278 of 2021

Devanand Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply to the objections.</p> <p>4. List on 10.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 52)

O.A. No. 8 of 2023

Smt. Raj Rani, W/o Late Ex HavBhagela Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Pleadings are complete.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List for final hearing on 05.07.2024.</div></div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 53)

O.A. No. 190 of 2023

Ex Sgt R Shridhar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 12.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 54)

O.A. No. 441 of 2023

Maj Gen Bhaskar Kalita, YSM (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List for final hearing on 15.04.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 55)

O.A. No. 551 of 2023

Ex Sep Udai Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Niteesh Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Niteesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents filed written arguments which is taken on record.</div><div>4. O.A is PartlyAllowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 56)

O.A. No. 584 of 2023

Sgt Birendra Kumar Patel (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit failing which opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>3. List for final hearing on 22.04.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 57)

O.A. No. 732 of 2023

Ex Sep Mahipal Reddy

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Rejoinder affidavit has also been filed which is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Pleadings are complete.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List for final hearing on 04.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 58)

O.A. No. 763 of 2023

Ex Hav Kailash Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. O.A is Partly Allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 59)

O.A. No. 764 of 2023

Ex Rect Amar Chaudhary Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : WgCdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that he has already filed counter affidavit but the same is not available on record. Registry is directed to trace the same and keep it on record.</p> <p>3. List on 29.04.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 60)

O.A. No. 831 of 2023

Col Rajan Anand (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Today, it is the last opportunity to file counter affidavit and it was directed that in case, CA is not filed, opportunity shall stand closed.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>CA has not been filed. Opportunity to file CA is now closed.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Respondents may produce all the documents in support of their version on the next date of hearing.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List for hearing on 04.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 61)

O.A. No. 874 of 2023

Ex L/NK Manmohan Ray (DSC)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 04.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 62)

O.A. No. 877 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1129 of 2023

Ex RectDesh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.Heard on objection filed by the respondents and perused the records.Perusal of the record reveals that the cause of action has partly arisen under the jurisdiction of this Tribunal, therefore, this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain his case under Rules 6 (ii) of AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008.Objection raised by the respondents are set aside.There is a delay of 08 months and 23 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 877 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.Case needs adjudication.Admit.Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.List on 29.07.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 63)

O.A. No. 938 of 2023

Ex Sub Kshirod Sutar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is already taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. O.A is Allowed.</p> <p>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 64)

O.A. No. 950 of 2023

Ex AG PO (RP) MD Ali AkhtarApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. O.A is Allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

O.A. No. 1013 of 2023

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. 3. List on 03.05.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

O.A. No. 1076 of 2023

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit failing which opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall stand closed. 3. List for final hearing on 08.05.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 67)

O.A. No. 1205 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Jitendra Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>4. List for hearing on 30.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 68)

O.A. No. 1229 of 2023

Gp. Capt. Aditya Kumar Pathak (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate
Gp. Capt. Y Venugopal (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 04.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

O.A. No. 1232 of 2023

Versus

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 09.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 70)

O.A. No. 1244 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Aditya Prasad Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Neetu Singh Chauhan, Advocate
Shri Vivek Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vivek Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit failing which opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>3. List for final hearing on 08.04.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 71)

O.A. No. 1352 of 2023

Sub/JE (Civil Engineer) Vijay Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nitesh Verma, Advocate
Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nithesh Verma and Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter and rejoinder affidavits filed by the respondents and applicant respectively are taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Pleadings are complete.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List for hearing on 06.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.72)

O.A. No. 132 of 2024 with M.A. No. 154 of 2024

MWO Brajesh Dubey (Retd)Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Abhya Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week’s further time to file objection on delay in filing the O.A.</div><div>3. List on 03.05.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 73)

O.A. No. 135 of 2024 with M.A. No. 160 of 2024

Ex. Sub. Amar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 160 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 13 years, 08 months and 20 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay of more than thirteen years has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis. The delay caused in raising the grievances before the Tribunal ought to be explained very carefully and reasonably so that the Tribunal after looking into the entire explanation may condone the delay.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Objection raised by the respondents is rejected. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p><u>O.A. No. 135 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on 23.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |
|--|--|

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 74)

O.A. No. 145 of 2024 with M.A. No. 278 of 2024 & M.A. No. 176 of 2024

Maj. M. Prema (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the record on amendment application moved by the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he may be permitted to amend the prayer clause of the original application which will not change the nature of the case.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents has no objection to the amendment application.</p> <p>5. Amendment application is allowed. Applicant is directed to carry out the amendment within three days.</p> <p>6. List for hearing on admission on 27.03.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 75)

O.A. No. 192 of 2024 with M.A. No. 245 of 2024

Ex. JWO Sanjay SharmaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Pandey and Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on maintainability of this O.A. Objection has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week’s further time as last opportunity to file objection, if any.</div><div>4. List on 04.05.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div> |

**R.A. No. 67 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1762 of 2023 Inre: M.A. No. 1760 of 2017
Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2018**

Ex. Rfn. Dinesh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person
Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. List on 13.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 77)

T.A. No. 26 of 2023

Parmar Govind Bhai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div>18.03.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. As reported by the Registry, notice was sent to the applicant which has not been returned back served or unserved. Notice deems to be sufficient.</div><div>3. List on 08.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 78)

T.A. No. 29 of 2023

Vijay Kumar Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to provide a Bench copy and a copy of the petition to the respondents' counsel. Same has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks further time to provide a Bench copy and also a copy of the O.A to the counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 79)

T.A. No. 48 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Misra Madan Mohan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|--|
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Bench copy of the petition has been provided by the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 16.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 80)

T.A. No. 52 of 2023

Gp. Capt. S.K. Srivastava (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Gp. Capt. Y Venugopal (Retd.) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashish Asthana holdinkg brief for Gp. Capt. Y. Venugopal (Retd) , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List for final hearing on 01.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 81)

O.A. No. 255 of 2021

Pradeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. List for final hearing on 08.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Sl. No. 82)

Ram Kumar Yadav

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to appraise the status of the Appeal filed before the Hon'ble High Court. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the case appeal is still pending. He has filed case status regarding pendency of the criminal appeal.</p> <p>3. At the request of Id. counsel for the respondents, case is adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 15.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Ex. NC (E) Uma Shankar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the applicant requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned.</p> <p>4. Last opportunity is granted to the applicant to ague the case.</p> <p>5. List for physical hearing on 20.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Ex. Sep. Chandra Sekhar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. counsel for the parties, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 28.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 85)

O.A. No. 734 of 2023

Ex Sub Basanta Kumar Sahoo Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <p><u>18.03.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List for final hearing on 29.05.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 86)

O.A. No. 826 of 2023

Ex. Nb. Sub. Sarjeet Singh Jat

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><div><div>18.03.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. It has been submitted that similar matter is pending before the Larger Bench.</div><div>3. List on 30.05.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div> |