

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1128 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1263 of 2024

Ex Sub Kuldeep  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey holding brief for Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents raised objection with regard to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain this O.A. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection on maintainability of this O.A. before this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. List on <b>03.03.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1129 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1264 of 2024

Sgt Shintu Kumar (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents raised objection with regard to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain this O.A.</div><div>5. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file a certificate of present address proof alongwith affidavit.</div><div>6. List on 18.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1131 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1266 of 2024

Ex Sep Vimlesh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This O.A has been filed against the order of dismissal and for reinstatement of the applicant. There is delay of more than 13 years in filing this O.A.</div><div>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection, if any, on delay as well as on maintainability of this O.A.</div><div>6. Ld. counsel for the applicant further submits that Vakalatnama has also been filed by Shri Vishal Bhatnagar, Advocate but his name has not been printed in the cause list. Registry is directed to print his name also as counsel for the applicant in the next cause list.</div><div>7. List on 19.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 4)

T.A. No. 25 of 2024

Lt Col Himmat Singh Rathore (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Paur, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Paur, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. This O.A has been received by Transfer from AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</div><div>5. Counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of the respondents.</div><div>6. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>7. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>8. List for final hearing on 24.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Diary No: 1171 of 2024

Ex Hav Rajesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Pooja Tripathi, Advocate  
Shri Ambikesh Singh, Advocate  
Shri Jharna Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief for Ms. Pooja Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Today, it is the last opportunity to rectify the defects but defects have not been rectified.</div><div>4. It is submitted by the Id. counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant that the arguing counsel in the case is unwell and seeks adjournment.</div><div>5. Since, the Id. counsel for the applicant is not well, case is adjourned for the day and one week's further time is granted to the applicant to rectify the defects raised by the Registry.</div><div>6. It is made clear that if defects are not rectified by the next date, the case shall be dismissed in non-prosecution.</div><div>7. List on 02.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 23 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 702 of 2020

Ex Sep Birendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj is also present on behalf of the respondents.</div><div>2. This is an old execution case pending before this Bench for implementation of the order dated 20.07.2021 passed in O.A No. 702 of 2020.</div><div>3. Perusal of the order under implementation dated 20.07.2021 reveals that the respondents were directed to grant disability pension to the applicant for one year from the date of his discharge. Respondents were also directed to refer the applicant's case to Re-Survey Medical Board for further entitlement of disability pension.</div><div>4. On 06.07.2022, it was informed by the respondents that PPO has been processed and RSMB has been done. Respondents were directed to file compliance affidavit alongwith copy of PPO and RSMB report but despite availing several opportunities neither the compliance nor the RSMB report has been filed by the respondents, only the time has been sought on the next listing dates viz. 13.09.2022, 06.01.2023, 14.02.2023, 16.12.2023 and 30.01.2024.</div><div>5. It has also been observed that on 22.02.2024, impleadment application was allowed to implead the Bank as Respondent Nos. 5 &amp; 6 and notices were issued which deemed sufficient but none appeared on behalf of the bank. Show Cause Notice was also issued against the Respondent Nos. 5 &amp; 6 with regard to initiation of the contempt proceedings.</div></div></div>

	<p>6. Today, the case is listed for hearing on the point of initiation of contempt proceedings against the Respondent No. 5 and 6.</p> <p>7. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the entire records.</p> <p>8. While dictating the order, it is observed that compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents till date. Copy of the PPO and RSMB report have also not been filed.</p> <p>9. Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj submits that order has been complied with and PPO has been issued.</p> <p>10. Before filing of compliance affidavit and RSMB report, by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, initiation of contempt proceedings against the Respondent Nos. 5 &amp; 6 is not just and proper.</p> <p>11. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are directed to file the compliance affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>12. Order dated 16.05.2024, passed against the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 of this Execution case with regard to initiation of the contempt proceedings is hereby recalled. Show Cause Notices issued to Respondent No. 5 and 6 are discharged.</p> <p>13. List on <b>21.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
RK/-	

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 49 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 253 of 2019

Ex Sgt Dinesh Kumar Saxena

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>List revised.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>This execution application has been filed for compliance of order dated 20.07.2021 passed by this Tribunal. Despite availing several opportunities order has not been complied with. Cost of Rs, 26,000/- has also not been deposited. Present status of execution application has also not been filed.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>On 01.03.2024, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that in compliance of order passed by this Tribunal, Government sanction has been accorded and PPO shall be issued shortly. It was also submitted that compliance of order is in process.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>On 12.04.2024, it was again submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that Government sanction has been accorded and he shall file copy of Government sanction along with PPO. Today it is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that writ petition is being filed before Hon'ble High Court against the order of Tribunal. It appears that respondents are not complying the order of this Tribunal.</div></div></div>



6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 25,000/- failing which Director Air Veteran, New Delhi shall be present before this Tribunal on next date of listing through virtual mode to clarify his position and reasons for delay in implementation.

7. Respondents are also directed to deposit cost due against them till the next date of listing.

8. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case within two weeks, failing which respondents shall pay additional cost of Rs. 10,000/-. The cost shall be remitted to the applicant

9. List on **17.02.2025**.

10. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 252 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 291 of 2021

Ex Sep Raghuvendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present today. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status report of the case has also not been filed.</div><div>4. Departmental Representative submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which notice has been issued. It is also submitted that cost of Rs. 6000/- has been deposited in the Registry. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>7. List on 04.03.2025.</div><div>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**Ex.A. No. 187 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 604 of 2021**

## Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>5. List on <b>04.03.2025</b>.</li> <li>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 206 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 769 of 2022

Ex L/NK Abdul Khalik

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri DS Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DS Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Departmental Representative submits that compliance has been made and PPO has also been generated on 24.10.2024.</div><div>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 05.03.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 379 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 126 of 2023

Ex WO Manoj Kumar Sah

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</div><div>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 05.03.2025.</div><div>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**Ex.A. No. 431 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 56 of 2023**

**Gp Capt Praduman Kumar Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court in which stay order has been passed by the Hon'ble High Court on 11.11.2024.</p> <p>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two week's time to bring this fact on record alongwith affidavit.</p> <p>6. List on <b>06.03.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**Ex. A. No. 64 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 179 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Bhola Prasad**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li> <li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a writ petition is being filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>5. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>6. List on <b>06.03.2025</b>.</li> <li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="381 1927 815 1994" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1078 1927 1367 1994" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 290 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 947 of 2022

Ex Sub Vinod Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the respondents.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. counsel for the applicant and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit and present status report of the case.</div><div>5. List on 07.03.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 146 of 2022**

**Smt. Savita W/o Late Gnr Devi Bhukker** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ankur Rawat holding brief for Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of the respondents.</li> <li>2. Heard the parties and perused the records.</li> <li>3. By order dated 20.09.2024, applicant was directed to provide a copy of the amended O.A to the Id. counsel for the respondents within a week and respondents were directed to file supplementary counter affidavit to the amended portion of the O.A.</li> <li>4. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he has provided the copy of the amended O.A to the respondents but supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.</li> <li>5. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time as a last opportunity to file supplementary counter affidavit to the amended portion of the O.A.</li> <li>6. List on <b>17.02.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="388 2128 823 2204" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </div> <div data-bbox="1079 2128 1377 2204" style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </div> </div> <p data-bbox="349 2233 401 2255">RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 1279 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1834 of 2023

**Ex PO EL (P ) Sudhir Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiva Nand Mishra holding brief of Shri Ved Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. Today is last opportunity to file fresh reply on maintainability of the case which has not been filed by the applicant. Shri Shiva Nand Mishra submits that Notary is not available today, hence one more opportunity be granted for filing fresh reply on maintainability of the case.</p> <p>5. As prayed, one more opportunity is granted to file fresh reply to objection on maintainability of the case, failing which opportunity to file reply shall stand closed.</p> <p>6. List on <b>03.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 1459 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2111 of 2023**

**Ex Sub / MT Ram Bhadra Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Mai S M Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Learned counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on maintainability of the case and submitted that this O.A. is not maintainable before this Tribunal as the applicant has not availed alternate remedy as contained in Section 21 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and Para 364 of Defence Service Regulations (Volume-1), Revised Edition 1987. He pleaded that applicant has directly approached this Tribunal, hence this O.A. is not maintainable. He pleaded for dismissal of O.A.</li> <li>On the other hand, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has filed this application with the prayer to grant disability pension. He further submits that rejection order of disability pension was not provided to him. He approached this Tribunal directly without availing alternate remedy.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>We find that applicant has preferred the present Original Application with a prayer to grant disability pension without availing the alternative remedy as provided under the provisions of Entitlement Rules of 2008 and Section 21 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. It is a case where the applicant has not exhausted the remedy available under the Entitlement Rules of 2008. So we are of the considered view that the statutory remedy available to the applicant under Section 21 has not been exhausted and at this stage, the instant</li> </ol>

Original Application is not maintainable in this Tribunal and it is liable to be dismissed.

6. In view of the aforesaid, we do not find any good reason to by-pass the statutory alternate remedy provided under the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. The instant Original Application is not maintainable and cannot be admitted for hearing.

7. Accordingly, without entering into the merit of the case, the application is **dismissed** on the ground of statutory alternative remedy available to him leaving it open to the applicant to file an appeal before the appropriate authority in accordance with the provisions of the [Armed Forces Tribunal Act](#), 2007.

8. No order as to costs.

9. All pending Misc Applications are also disposed off.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)  
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)  
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 77 of 2024

Md. Ayoob Ansari  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Today is last opportunity to file counter affidavit which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and he shall file counter affidavit in the Registry during course of the day. One week further time is granted to file counter affidavit failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.01.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 342 of 2024

Sgt MR Uddin

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :  
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :  
Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is physically present.</div><div>2. List on 04.02.2025 for physical hearing.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 390 of 2024 with M.A. No. 465 of 2024

Ex WO Jitendra KumarApplicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Today is last opportunity to file objection on maintainability of the case which has not been filed by the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further one week time to file objection.</div><div>3. As prayed, one week further time is granted to file objection on maintainability of the case.</div><div>4. List on 17.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 509 of 2024

Lt Col D Prakash Rao (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 17.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 513 of 2024

Gp Capt G Senthil Kumar (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 18.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 758 of 2024

MWO Ram Jivan Dixit (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 18.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 759 of 2024

Sgt Abhishek Srivastava (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>18.02.2025</b>.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 760 of 2024

WO Dushyant Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 19.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 769 of 2024

Ex Hav Md Hedayetul  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 19.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 772 of 2024

Lt Col Anjani Kumar Rai (Retd)  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Tushar Jain, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 19.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 773 of 2024

Ex Sub Arvind Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Tushar Jain, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 20.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

R.A. No. 49 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1261 of 2023 Inre; T.A. No. 26 of 2022

Sqn Ldr Saurav Jagnani (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :  
Shri JL Joel, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present. Learned counsel for the respondents is also not present.</div><div>3. Reply to objection on review application has not been filed by the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 04.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

T.A. No. 21 of 2024

**Wg Cdr Manoj Kumar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. This case has been received from AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi by way of transfer and learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Let notice be issued to applicant returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>25.02.2025</b>.</p> <div><div><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

**O.A. No. 551 of 2021**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

### Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the applicant on the next date of listing.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri PK Shukla, holding brief of Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Shri PK Shukla submits that Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey has withdrawn his vakalatnama filed on behalf of the applicant and he is not counsel in this case. Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey has also endorsed his No Objection on vakalatnama filed by Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.01.2025</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 219 of 2022

Ex Sep Chandra Sekhar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is physical hearing and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element. Counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents. Applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit. Today this case was listed for final hearing.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. On perusal of counter affidavit and PPO annexed thereto, we came to know that relief sought by the applicant has already been granted by the respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this O.A. has become infructuous and he does not want to press this O.A.</div><div>5. Accordingly, O.A. is <b>dismissed</b> as infructuous.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**Hav / AA Bindu Mahesh Jaladi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>18.11.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. O.A is <b>disposed off</b></p> <p>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>