<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 1)

# Diary No. 4480 of 2023 with M.A. No. 157 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 339 of 2023

## Ex. WO Virendra Kumar Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	<u>M.A. No. 157 of 2024</u>	
	1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.	
	Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.	
	2. This application has been filed for correction of Original Application	
	number in the order dated 17.07.2023.	
	3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that in the order	
	dated 17.07.2023, on first page of the order, the Original Application number	
	has wrongly been typed as "334 of 2023" instead of "339 of 2023" and prayed	
	that the same may be corrected.	
	4. Ground shown for correction is sufficient. Accordingly, correction	
	application is <b>allowed</b> .	
	5. On the first page of the order dated 17.07.2023, the Original Application	
	be corrected as "339 of 2023" in place of "334 of 2023" during the course of	
	the day.	
	6. After correction, list the Execution Application on <b>18.03.2024</b> .	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 2)

# Ex. A. No. 6 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 290 of 2016

## Ex. Sep. Harendra Vikram Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Responses Re

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	19.02.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present through Video Conferencing.	
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.	
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks	
	further time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance as directed	
	by the Tribunal in order dated 26.10.2021.	
	4. List on <b>15.04.2024</b> .	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	AKD/-	

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 3)

## Ex. A. No. 106 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 691 of 2020

#### Ex. Gnr. Ramesh Chander

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

**Union of India & Others** By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

	<u>19.02.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
I I	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
-   <del>-</del>		
1	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri	Dharam Raj Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra respondents are present through Video Conferencing.	a, Ld. Counsel for the
2	2. Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Off	icer, Principal Controller
с	of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj is also prese	ent.
3	3. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed by	the respondents.
2	4. Applicant was granted time to file objection but	objection has not been
f	filed.	
5	5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant conceded for comp	liance of the order.
6	6. Since compliance of the order has been	made, this Execution
Ļ	Application is <b>disposed off</b> in full satisfaction.	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 4)

<u>oourt no. 1</u> (oi. no

# Ex. A. No. 196 of 2022 Inre : T.A. No. 16 of 2016

## Ex. Rect. Ashutosh Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

# Union of India & Others

Versus

Applicant

# Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and Major Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.	
	2. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be	
	deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	3. List on <b>18.04.2024</b> .	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 5)

# Ex. A. No. 242 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 56 of 2019

## Ex. Spr. Bharat Chandra Biswas

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate		
	holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present		
	through Video Conferencing.		
	2. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by		
	Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of		
	Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj is also present.		
3. Affidavit showing present status and progress of this exec			
	filed by the respondents is taken on record.		
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one week		
	further time to file better affidavit.		
	5. List on <b>20.03.2024</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)		
	AKD/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 6)

# Ex. A. No. 382 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 482 of 2021

## Ex. Sub. Pawan Kumar Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.		
	2. Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri		
	Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence		
	Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj is also present.		
	3. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.		
	4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to		
	file objection, if any.		
	5. List on <b>13.03.2024</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	AKD/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 7)

# Ex. A. No. 29 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 656 of 2021

# Ex. Hav. Sarabjeet Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind Thakur, Advocate Shri Ashish Kumar Verma, Advocate

# Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri G. Tripathi, Advocate		
	holding brief of Shri Ashish Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is		
	present.		
	2. Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present		
	through Video Conferencing.		
	3. Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller		
	of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj is also present.		
	4. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.		
	5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance has been		
	made.		
	6. Respondents are directed to file compliance report within four weeks		
	failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which		
	shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	7. List on <b>01.04.2024</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	AKD/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 8)

# Ex. A. No. 52 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 151 of 2017 along with Ex. A. No. 42 of 2021

#### Manish Shukla

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Subham Sharma, Advocate		
	holding brief of Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and		
	Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2. It is second Execution Application filed by the applicant in the month of		
	May, 2023.		
	3. Respondents were granted time o file objection, but objection has not		
	been filed.		
	4. Respondents are directed to file objection, if any, to this second		
	Execution Application, within four weeks.		
	5. List on <b>15.04.2024</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 9)

# Ex. A. No. 66 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 94 of 2022

# Ex. HFO Jay Kumar Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.	
	2. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.	
	<ol> <li>Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the applicant pray for and is granted four weeks further</li> </ol>	
	time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be	
	deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant. 5. List on <b>26.04.2024</b> .	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	AKD/-	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 10)

# Ex. A. No. 75 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 11 of 2022

Versus

## MWO Tripti Kumar Mishra (Retd.)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>19.02.2024</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for		
	the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents		
	are present.		
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.		
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of order is		
	under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file		
	affidavit of compliance on payment of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the		
	applicant.		
	4. Respondents are also directed to file fresh affidavit regarding present		
	status of Execution case.		
	5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them		
	by the next date of listing.		
	6. List on <b>09.04.2024</b> .		
	7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents/Departmental Representative for compliance.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	AKD/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 90 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 25 of 2022

## Ex. JWO Suresh Kumar Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

**Union of India & Others** By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1.	On the case being taken up for heari	ing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for
	the a	pplicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld	. Counsel for the respondents are
	prese	ent.	
	2.	Affidavit of compliance has not been	filed by the respondents.
	3.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents p	rays for and is granted four weeks
furt		er time to file affidavit of compliance f	ailing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall
	be de	posited by the respondents which sha	II be remitted to the applicant.
	4.	Respondents are also directed to de	posit all the costs due against them
	by the	e next date fixed.	
	5.	List on <b>24.04.2024.</b>	
	(Vi	ce Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	AKD/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No.12)

## Ex. A. No. 112 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 559 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Clk. Sawant Shankar Pandurang

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	19.02.2024		
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present through Video Conferencing.		
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed by the respondents.		
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks		
	further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.6,000/- shall		
	be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer		
	regarding present status of this execution matter and cause of delay in		
	implementation of order.		
	5. Respondents are further directed to deposit all the costs due against		
	them till the next date of listing.		
	6. List on <b>03.05.2024</b> .		
	7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	AKD/-		

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 13)

# Ex. A. No. 130 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 73 of 2022

#### Ex. Hav. Sarvesh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1.	On the case being taken up for hear	ring Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld.
	Cour	sel for the applicant is present.	
	2.	Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for t	he respondents is present through
	Video	o Conferencing.	
	3.	Affidavit of compliance has not been fi	iled by the respondents.
	4.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents subm	nits that compliance of the order is
	unde	r process.	
	5.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents pra	ays for and is granted four weeks
	furthe	er time to file affidavit of compliance.	
	6.	List on <b>13.05.2024</b> .	
	<b>(V</b> i AKD/-	ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

## Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 14)

Ex. A. No. 386 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 183 of 2020

# Ex. Sgt. Abhay Kant Abhay

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Senior Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</li> <li>Objection against affidavit of compliance by the applicant is taken on record.</li> <li>List on 29.04.2024 for hearing.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 374 of 2023

Shri A.K. Chaudhary, Advocate

# JWO Anil Kumar Sood (Retd.)

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.	
	2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri	
	Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video	
	Conferencing.	
	3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b> .	
	4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.	
	5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been	
	disposed of.	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	AKD/-	

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 16)

## O.A. No. 487 of 2023

# Ex. Hav. Jitendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.	
	2. Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.	
	<ol> <li>Opportunity to file Counter Affidavit has been closed on 28.11.2023.</li> <li>Respondents are directed to file all the documents pertaining to this</li> </ol>	
	4. Respondents are directed to file all the documents pertaining to this case including Release Medical Board proceedings within four weeks.	
	5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is also granted four weeks	
	time to file Release Medical Board proceedings.	
	6. List on <b>01.05.2024</b> for hearing.	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	AKD/-	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 17)

#### O.A. No. 646 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Ex. Rect. Prashant Kumar Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>Several opportunities have been availed by the applicant but Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one more opportunity of one week to file Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, failing which opportunity shall stand closed.</li> <li>List on 06.03.2024 for hearing.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 18)

## O.A. No. 657 of 2023

Sub. Tiwari Rajnikant Narendra Kumar (Through Attorney Holder Mrs. Diksha Tiwari) By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Pooja Tripathi, Advocate

Shri Jharna Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

#### Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>		
	1. applie	On the case being taken up for hearin cant.	ng none is present on behalf of the
	2.	Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel f	or the respondents is present.
	3.	Applicant was granted time to file Rejo	binder Affidavit. R
	4.	Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed b	by the applicant.
	5.	Opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit s	stand closed.
	6.	List on <b>22.04.2024</b> for hearing.	
	<b>(Vi</b> AKD/-	ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 19)

## O.A. No. 721 of 2023

# Ex. Sep. Ravindr Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, N	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hea Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yoge respondents are present.</li> <li>Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applic 3. List on 06.03.2024 for hearing.</li> </ol>	sh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 20)

#### O.A. No. 789 of 2023

# Ex. Sub. Maj. Lalmani Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

# Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	1.	Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applica	ant is taken on record.
	2.	Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel	for the applicant and Shri Kaushik
	Chatt	terjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents	8.
	3.	Original Application is <b>allowed</b> .	
	4.	For orders, see our order passed on a	separate sheets.
	5.	Misc. Application(s), pending if any	y, shall be treated to have been
	dispo	osed of.	
	<b>(Vi</b>	ce Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 21)

# O.A. No. 917 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1197 of 2023

Versus

# Ex. Sigmn. Ghanshyam

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Narayan, Advocate

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member ( Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Me	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for heat holding brief of Shri Raj Narayan, Ld. Counse Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the responder</li> <li>Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate submits for the applicant is hospitalised. On his praye</li> <li>List on 09.04.2024.</li> </ol>	el for the applicant and Shri Ashish its are present. that Shri Raj Narayan, Ld. Counsel
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 952 of 2023

Versus

Ex. Nk. Sonu

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

# Union of India & Others

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Membe Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, I	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for heat the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Lop present.</li> <li>Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant List on <b>19.04.2024</b> for hearing.</li> </ol>	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 979 of 2023

# Ex. Nk. Vijai Kant Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry		
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh Ld. Counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is	
	not inclined to file Rejoinder Affidavit.	
	3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for both the parties, heard arguments.	
	4. Original Application is <b>partly allowed</b> .	
	5. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.	
	6. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been	
	disposed of.	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 24)

#### O.A. No. 1007 of 2023

# Ex. Sgt. Devendra Singh Chandel

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Union of India & Others

Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate Shri Atul Mohan Pathak, Advocate

#### Versus

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.
	<ol> <li>Original Application is allowed.</li> <li>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li> <li>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 25)

#### O.A. No. 1041 of 2023

Hav. Sujith V.

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

Union of India & Others

Versus

Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orde	ers of the Tribunal	
	Hon	<u>2.2024</u> ' <u>ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member</u> 'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, M	
	1. Cour	On the case being taken up for hear need for the applicant is present.	ring Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.
	2.	Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for t	he respondents is present through
	3.	o Conferencing. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not in	clined to file Rejoinder Affidavit.
	4.	List on <b>06.03.2024</b> for hearing.	
	<b>(V</b> AKD/-	'ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1066 of 2023

# Ex. Sep. Shiv Bachan Thakur

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>Vakalatnama filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 and 6 is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 when the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos.</li> <li>to 4 and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos.</li> <li>and 6 are present.</li> <li>Respondents are directed to file Counter Affidavit within six weeks.</li> <li>List on 23.04.2024.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 27)

## O.A. No. 1070 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1443 of 2023

# Ex. Nk. Surendra Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

#### ۵. ۵

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	13.11.2002 communicated the applicant about continuation of disability pension/element at rates prevalent at the time vide PPO FD/RA/853/2002. In spite of that respondent Nos. 4 and 5 had not paid any amount towards disability element @40% in terms of aforesaid PPOs from the date on which it was granted i.e. 01.07.1997. The respondent No. 2 vide its letter dated

11.08.2009 had communicated to respondent Nos. 4 and 5 to make payment of disability element to the applicant but the same are not being paid to the applicant. Thus, the delay caused in filing the Original Application is not deliberate. 6. Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 submits that there is long delay of more than 23 years has not been properly and satisfactorily explained by the applicant on day-to-day basis. 7. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the parties we find that the grounds for delay are sufficient. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. O.A. No. 1070 of 2023 Case needs adjudication. 8. 9. Admit. 10. Issue notice to the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 returnable within four weeks. 11. Steps be taken within a week. 12. Respondents may file Counter Affidavit within four weeks. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. List on 14.05.2024. 13. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/-

Court No. 1 (SI. No. 28)

# O.A. No. 1074 of 2023

# Ex. Nk. Abhimanyu Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	<ul> <li>present.</li> <li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</li> </ul>
	3. List on <b>01.04.2024</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 29)

# O.A. No. 1076 of 2023

# Ex. Sgt. Devendra Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

# Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
	-	. <u>2024</u> ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (	<u>A)</u>
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Sh	ri Mahendra Kumar Singh,
	2.	ounsel for the applicant is present. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for	the respondents is present
	throu	gh Video Conferencing.	
	3.	Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is ta	aken on record.
	4.	Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two we	eks.
	5.	List on <b>18.03.2024</b> .	
	(Vid	ce Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	AKD/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 30)

Shri Shubham Singh, Advocate

#### O.A. No. 1355 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1969 of 2023

## Hav. Sheo Ambol Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</li> <li>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>List on 05.03.2024.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 31)

#### O.A. No. 1417 of 2023

# Group Capt. Rahul Kudesia (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Union of India & Others

Gp. Capt. Y. Venugopal (Retd.), Advocate

#### Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</li> <li>List on 16.04.2024.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 32)

## O.A. No. 74 of 2023

# Ex. Nk. Subhash Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

**Union of India & Others** By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the parties, list this case on 08.04.2024 for hearing.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

## O.A. No. 174 of 2024 with M.A. No. 222 of 2024

Versus

## JWO Ram Prakash (Retd.)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

# Union of India & Others

Applicant

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal			
Registry				
		2.2024 ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (、	Ŋ	
		ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Me		
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Sha		
		If of the respondents is taken on record		
	for th	e respondents when the case is listed ne	ext.	
	2.	Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel fo	or the applicant and Dr. Shailendra	
	Shari	ma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents	s through Video Conferencing.	
	3.	This Original Application has been filed	d for the grant of disability element	
	of dis	ability pension to the applicant with effect	ct from 01.07.2023.	
	4.	There is a delay of 01 month and 12 d	lays in filing of Original Application	
	regar	ding which an application for condonatio	n of delay has been filed.	
	5.	There are sufficient grounds to conc	lone the delay. Further, being a	
	pensi	ionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b> . Dela	ay condonation application stands	
	dispo	osed off.		
	<u>O.A.</u>	No. 174 of 2024		
	6.	It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for t	he applicant that applicant's claim	
	for th	e grant of disability pension has been wr	ongly denied by the respondents.	
	7.	Case needs adjudication.		
	8.	Admit.		
	9.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks	and is allowed four weeks time to	
	file C	ounter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if a	ny, may be filed within two weeks	
	there	•		
	10.	List on <b>14.05.2024</b> .		
	<b>(Vi</b> AKD/-	ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

# O.A. No. 176 of 2024 with M.A. No. 223 of 2024

#### Ex. WO Ram Kumar Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others R By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	19.02.2024		
	Ion'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)		
	I. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on		
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel		
	or the respondents when the case is listed next.		
	2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through Video		
	Conferencing and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.		
	3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element		
	of disability pension to the applicant.		
	4. There is a delay of 01 year, 03 months and 12 days in filing of Original		
	Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been		
	iled.		
	5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a		
	pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b> . Delay condonation application stands		
	disposed off.		
	D.A. No. 176 of 2024		
	6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim		
	or the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.		
	7. Case needs adjudication.		
	3. Admit.		
	2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to		
	file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks		
	hereafter.		
	10. List on <b>14.05.2024</b> .		
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

# O.A. No. 177 of 2024 with M.A. No. 224 of 2024

# WO (Retd.) Mahipat Singh Chauhan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

# Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others Res By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal			
Registry				
	19.02	19.02.2024		
	Hon'	ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (		
	Hon	ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Me	mber (A)	
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. De	eepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on	
	behal	f of the respondents is taken on record	. Her name be shown as Counsel	
	for the	e respondents when the case is listed ne	ext.	
	2.	Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Couns	sel for the applicant through Video	
	Confe	erencing and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai,	Ld. Counsel for the respondents.	
	3.	This Original Application has been filed	d for the grant of disability pension	
	to the	e applicant.		
	4.	There is a delay of 01 year and 12 da	ays in filing of Original Application	
	regar	ding which an application for condonatio	n of delay has been filed.	
	5.	There are sufficient grounds to conc	done the delay. Further, being a	
	pensi	onary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b> . Dela	ay condonation application stands	
	dispo	sed off.		
	<u>O.A.</u>	No. 177 of 2024		
	6.	It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for t	he applicant that applicant's claim	
	for the	e grant of disability pension has been wr	ongly denied by the respondents.	
	7.	Case needs adjudication.		
	8.	Admit.		
	9.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks	and is allowed four weeks time to	
	file C	ounter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if a	ny, may be filed within two weeks	
	there	after.		
	10.	List on <b>14.05.2024</b> .		
	<b>(Vi</b> AKD/-	ce Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

# O.A. No. 178 of 2024 with M.A. No. 225 of 2024

# Sub. (Retd.) Hemant Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

# Versus

Union of India & Others       Respondents         By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate         Notes of       Orders of the Tribunal         Registry       19.02.2024         Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)         Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar, Member (A)         1.       Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.         2.       Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.         3.       This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.         4.       There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.         5.       There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.         OA. No. 178 of 2024       6.         6.       It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.         7.       The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.         8.       Case needs adjudication. </th <th>llnian of l</th> <th>versus India 8 Othera</th>	llnian of l	versus India 8 Othera
Notes of the Registry         Orders of the Tribunal           19.02.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)         1           1.         Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.           2.         Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.           3.         This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.           4.         There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.           5.         There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <b>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</b> 6           6.         It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.           7.         The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.           8.         Case needs adjudication.           9.         Admit.           10.         Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be		
Registry         19.02.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)         1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.         2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.         3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.         4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.         5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.         0.A. No. 178 of 2024         6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.         7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.         8. Case needs adjudication.         9. Admit.         10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.		
<ul> <li>19.02.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>	Registry	
<ul> <li>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>D.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on
<ol> <li>Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ol>		behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel
<ul> <li>Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</li> <li>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		for the respondents when the case is listed next.
<ol> <li>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ol>		2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin
<ul> <li>of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.
<ol> <li>There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ol>		3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element
<ul> <li>Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</li> <li>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		of disability pension to the applicant.
<ul> <li>filed.</li> <li>5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		4. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 12 days in filing of Original
<ol> <li>There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ol>		Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been
<ul> <li>pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		filed.
<ul> <li>disposed off.</li> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		5. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Further, being a
<ul> <li>O.A. No. 178 of 2024</li> <li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands
<ol> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ol>		disposed off.
<ul> <li>for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		O.A. No. 178 of 2024
<ul> <li>7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim
<ul> <li>submission.</li> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.
<ul> <li>8. Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		7. The applicant has filed Medical Board proceedings in support of his
<ul> <li>9. Admit.</li> <li>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		submission.
10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.		8. Case needs adjudication.
file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.		9. Admit.
thereafter.		10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to
		file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks
11. List on <b>14.05.2024</b> .		thereafter.
		11. List on <b>14.05.2024</b> .
(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
Member (A) Member (J) AKD/-		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

#### O.A. No. 181 of 2024

# Lt. Col. Jamal Ahmed (Retd.)

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

# Versus

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li> <li>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li> <li>Case needs adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 15.05.2024.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

# O.A. No. 182 of 2024 with M.A. No. 230 of 2024

# WO Balraj Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

# Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	<u>19.02.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	<ol> <li>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Before hearing on delay condonation application as well as on admission of this Original Application, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to amend and correct his prayer clause.</li> <li>He is permitted to correct the same during the course of the day.</li> <li>List on 26.02.2024.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/-