

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)
O.A. No. 679 of 2024 with M.A. No. 772 of 2024

MWO (HFO) Gyanendra Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Mrs. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div><u>M.A. No. 772 of 2024</u></div><div><div>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Belaura and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 01 year, 08 months and 21 days in filing of Original Application.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</div><div>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</div><div><u>O.A. No. 679 of 2024</u></div><div><div>6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 22.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 681 of 2024 with M.A. No. 774 of 2024

Sgt. Gyanender Singh Yadav (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 774 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal; learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 01 year, 07 months and 21 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 681 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks’ time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 22.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)
O.A. No. 682 of 2024 with M.A. No. 775 of 2024

Ex. WO Awadhesh Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate
Shri D.K. Dixit, Advocate
Shri Mridul Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 775 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Heard Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, learned counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 02 months and 09 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 682 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 22.10.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 686 of 2024 with M.A. No. 784 of 2024

Ex. Hav. (MP) Hire Yogeshwar Nanaji Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms.Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, list on 19.08.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 256 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 98 of 2023

Ex L/NK Gopal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. This Execution Application has been filed for compliance of order dated 17.07.2023 passed in O.A. No 98 of 2023.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>5. List on 18.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 257 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 1082 of 2022

SqnLdr Mahendra Pal Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N.Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard shri SN Singh Gaherwar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. This Execution Application has been filed for compliance of order dated 27.09.2023 passed in O.A. No 1082 of 2022.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>5. List on 18.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 258 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 595 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Vijay Kumar Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh , Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri R Chandra,.learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh and perused the record.</div><div>3. This Execution Application has been filed for compliance of order dated 05.10.2023 passed in O.A. No 595 of 2023.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>5. List on 21.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 259 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 362 of 2020

Ex. Nb Sub Sanjeev Kumar GuptaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Execution Application has been filed for compliance of order dated 06.07.2021 passed in O.A. No 362 of 2020.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>5. List on 21.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No.9)

Ex-A. No. 260 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1140 of 2023

Ex. L/NK Naveen Joshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri K K Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Execution Application has been filed for compliance of order dated 21.02.2024 passed in O.A. No 1140 of 2023.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ further time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>5. List on 21.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)

M.A. No. 768 of 2024 with M.A. No.769 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 237 of 2023

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Sub Ram Chandra Prasad, Advocate

Versus

Sub Ram Chandra Prasad

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants– Union of Indian & Others.</div><div>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 04.04.2024 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 237 of 2023.</div><div>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months and 04 days in filing of application be condoned.</div><div>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</div><div>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</div><div>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Dairy No. 1171 of 2024

Ex.Hav. Rajesh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Pooja Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Ambikesh Singh, Advocate
Shri Jharna Kumar Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms.Jharna Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the last date, applicant was granted two weeks’ time to rectify the defects raised by the Registry but the same have not been rectified till date.</div><div>3. Today again learned counsel for the applicant prays for further four weeks’ time to rectify the defects.</div><div>4. As a last chance, further four weeks’ time is granted to rectify the defects, failing which case will be dismissed.</div><div>5. List on 19.08.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)
Ex-A. No. 184 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 733 of 2020

Ex.Rect /NA Hari Om
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. On the last date, learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file objection on compliance report but the same has not been filed till date.</div><div>3. Today again learned counsel for the applicant prays for further two weeks’ time to file objection on compliance report.</div><div>4. As a last chance, further two weeks’ time is granted to file objection on compliance report. It is clarified that in case objection is not filed by the next date fixed, the execution application will be disposed of finally.</div><div>5. List on 05.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No.12 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 794 of 2020

Ex.sub (Hony LT) Suresh Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Affidavit of status report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this case writ petition has been filed before the Hon’ble High Courton 10 July 2924 and stay order has been passed by the Hon’ble High Court.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Respondents are directed to file copy of High Court Order by the next date fixed.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List on 25.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 59 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 107 of 2022

Ex. Sub (Hony Lt) Arvind Kumar Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>Heard Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Compliance report has not been filed. Affidavit of status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report failing which, respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents shall also deposit balance amount of cost imposed earlier.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 16.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No. 86 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 278 of 2022

Ex Hav/ATPC Dinesh Kumar Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Fresh compliance report along with PPO filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file objection, if any, against the compliance report.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 23.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 230 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 553 of 2021

Ex.Hav Jai Prakash Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard ShriParijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report failing which, respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents shall also deposit balance amount of cost imposed earlier.</div><div>4. List on 03.10.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 254 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 944 of 2022

Ex. NK Dilip Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard ShriManoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report failing which, respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents shall also deposit balance amount of cost imposed earlier.</div><div>4. List on 15.10.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (Sl. 8 & 9)

R.A. No. 08 of 2024 with M.A. No. 254 of 2024
Inre O.A. No. 36 of 2022
and
Ex-A No 277 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 36 of 2022

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Applicants

Versus

Ex Nk Raj Muni Tewari
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondent-applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 254 of 2024</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This review application has been filed by the applicants-Union of India & Others under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 for review of order dated 07.04.2022 passed by this Tribunal in Original Application No. 36 of 2022.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 years, 09 months and 12 days in filing review application. An application for condonation of delay has also been moved by the applicants-Union of India & Others for condonation of delay in filing review application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the review applicants is that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved beyond statutory period. Reasons shown for condonation of delay in filing review application being not sufficient, delay condonation application is liable to be dismissed. It is, accordingly, dismissed.</p> <p><u>R.A. No. 08 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. We have gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the review application and have also gone through the judgment and order sought to be reviewed. The judgment and order sought to be reviewed was passed in proper perspective after considering all facts and circumstances of the case. No error apparent on the face of record has been shown to us so as to review the aforesaid judgment of this Court.</p> <p>7. The respondent-applicant was enrolled in the Army on 29.12.1983 and he was discharged from service on 31.05.2003 in low medical category at his own request. Having been suffered with disability 'Primary Hypertension ICD No 1.10', he was assessed the degree of disability @ 30% for two years by the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Military Hospital, Danapur on 31.05.2003. Accordingly, he was allowed disability element of pension for two years with direction to the respondents to hold his re-survey medical board. There seems to be no error apparent at the face of record while passing the order dated 07.04.2022 for which this review application has been</p>

filed.

8. It is settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record in the judgment and order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed vide Order 47, Rule 1 Sub rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure. Review is not an appeal in disguise. It is nowhere within the scope of review to recall any order passed earlier and to decide the case afresh. For ready reference, Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is reproduced below :-

"1. Application for review of judgment.- (1) any person considering himself aggrieved-

(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,

(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed, or

(c) by a decision on a reference from a Court of small causes, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record , or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review of judgment to the Court which passed the decree or made the order."

9. In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very limited and re-hearing is not permissible. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of *Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and Others*, reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715, has observed as under :-

"9. Under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC a judgment may be open to review inter alia if there is a mistake or an error apparent on the face of the record. An error which is not self- evident and has to be detected by a process of reasoning, can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record justifying the court to exercise its power of review under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC. In exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be "reheard and corrected". There is a clear distinction between an erroneous decision and an error apparent on the face of the record. While the first can be corrected by the higher forum, the latter only can be corrected by exercise of the review jurisdiction. A review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be "an appeal in disguise."

10. We have gone through the order sought to be reviewed and no mistake or error apparent on the face of record being found therein, we are of the view that there is no force in the grounds taken in the review application so that order may be reviewed.

11. In the result, Review Application is rejected on delay as well as on merit.

Ex-A No. 277 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 36 of 2022

12. List on 27.09.2024.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 466 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 327 of 2022

Ex. NK Ashok Kumar Badola

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report failing which, respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 12.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 02 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 477 of 2023

Ex. JWO Bharat Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report failing which, respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 17.10.2024.</div><div>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

Ex-A. No. 137 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 864 of 2023

Ex.Sgt. Sanjeev Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 30.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 138 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 956 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Satya Dev Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Respondents are directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List on 01.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 397 of 2021

Rect /Dressar Adarsh Pratap Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Applicant was granted time to file reply to the Supplementary affidavit filed by the respondents. Reply has not been filed. Rejoinder affidavit has also not been filed.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file reply /rejoinder affidavit.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 19.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 81 of 2021

Ex. Nk Rajiv Giri

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Despite availing several opportunities and even last opportunity, counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, one more last opportunity of two weeks is granted to file Counter Affidavit failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed and case shall be decided on the basis of documents available on record.</p> <p>5. List on 19.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 475 of 2023

Ex. Hav /AA Sunil Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he will file rejoinder affidavit during the course of the day.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List for final hearing on 05.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 820 of 2023

Ex. Dfr Dinesh Kumar Srivastava
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate
Ms. Alka Saxsena, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he will file rejoinder affidavit during the course of the day.</div><div>4. List for final hearing on 31.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 983 of 2023

Ex. Gnr Jitendra Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Gitanjali Nayak, Advocate
Ms. Jigyasa Sanyai, Advocate
Salil Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>19.07.2024</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra holding brief for Ms. Gitanjali Nayak, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 04.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1197 of 2023

Ex. Rfn. Yam Bahadur Dala

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate
GP Capt. R.P. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Lt. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Namit Sharma, Lt. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. 2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within a week. 3. List for final hearing on 14.08.2024. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1448 of 2023
MWO Bhushan Tyagi (Retd).
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</p> <p>5. O.A is allowed.</p> <p>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1452 of 2023

Ex. NK Shyam Sunder

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 12.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1457 of 2023

Ex sub Awadesh Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter and rejoinder affidavits filed by the respondents and applicant respectively are taken on record.</div><div>3. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>4. O.A is allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 1527 of 2023

Ex.Hav. Devendra Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 25 of 2024

Ex NK (MACP Hav) Balwant Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 13.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 77 of 2024

Md. Ayoob Ansari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 104 of 2024 with M.A. No. 124 of 2024

Ex. Sgt. Sarawan Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>List revised.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Reply to the objection filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>On request of Id. Counsel for the parties, club this case with O.A No. 374 of 2019 pending before the Court No. 2.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List this case alongwithO.A No. 374/2019 before the Court No. 2on 19.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 110 of 2024

Ex. Nb Sub Sanjay Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 20.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 117 of 2024

Ex. NK Neeraj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 19.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 82 of 2022

Rect Harish Gangwar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Akhilesh Kumar Maurya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to produce original medical documents pertaining to the applicant on the next date of listing.</p> <p>4. On request of Id. Counsel for the applicant list this case for final hearing on 23.09.2024.</p> <p>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 1151 of 2023

Lt. Col. Jasvinder Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In this case, counter affidavit (CA) has already been filed by the respondents but the Id. counsel for the respondents has moved an application with prayer that he may be permitted to withdraw the CA earlier filed on behalf of the respondents because of some typographical mistakes in the CA filed earlier.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the applicant has no objection to this respondents’ application. Application is allowed. Respondents’ counsel is permitted to withdraw the CA filed earlier.</p> <p>4. Counter affidavit filed today on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within a week.</p> <p>5. List for final hearing on 08.08.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 1232 of 2023

Ex Dfr Manoj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>