ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u>(Suppl SI. No. 2)

No 005 of 0004 with M.A. No. 4000 of 0004

O.A. No.895 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1002 of 2024

Ex JWO Dayanand Prasad

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

versus		
	ndia & Others Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
Registry		
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. Memo of Appearance filed byShri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of	
	the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for	
	the respondents when the case is listed next.	
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present through video conferencing.	
	M.A. No. 1002 of 2024	
	3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.	
	4. There is delay of 07 months in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay	
	shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application	
	are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.	
	5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.	
	O.A. No. 895 of 2024	
	6. This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is	
	submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has	
	been wrongly rejected by the respondents.	
	7. Case needs adjudication.	
	8. Admit.	
	9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time	
	to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within	
	two weeks thereafter.	
	10. List on 07.01.2025 .	

(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) RK/- (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No.950 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1108 of 2024

Ex LNK Murugesan E

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes	of
the	
Regis	try

Orders of the Tribunal

19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)

- 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
- 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.

M.A. No. 1108 of 2024

- 3. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.
- 4. There is delay of 10 months and 28 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.
- 5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

O.A. No. 950 of 2024

- 6. This application has been filed for grant of disability element. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
- 7. Case needs adjudication.
- 8. Admit.
- 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 10. List on **07.01.2025**.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u>(Suppl SI. No. 4)

O.A. No. 953 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1110 of 2024

Ex Nk Pravesh Thapa Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate

Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orde	rs of the Tribunal
	Hon'	<u>).2024</u> ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld.
		Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.
	3. 4.	Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. There is delay of 02 years and 10 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.
	5.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file objection on delay condonation application and also on maintainability of this O.A.
	6.	List on 09.12.2024 .
	(Lt Ge Memb	en Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) er (A) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1(Suppl SI. No. 5)

O.A. No. 954 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1111 of 2024

Ex L/NK (DSC GD) Gajendra Mall

(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate

Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

(Justice Anil Kumar)

Versus

Union of I		•
By Legal F		ioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate ers of the Tribunal
the	O. a.	
Registry		
		9.2024
		<u>'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>
	11011	The Leader Aum Lang Member (7)
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on
		behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as
		Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
	2.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld.
		Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for
		the respondents are present.
	<u>M.</u>	A. No. 1111 of 2024
	3.	Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.
	4.	There is delay of 09 months and 25 days in filing of Original Application.
		Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application.
		Cause of delay shown in the affidavit annexed with the delay condonation
		application are sufficient to condone the delay. Delay is condoned.
	5.	Delay condonation application stands disposed off.
	<u>O.</u>	A. No. 954 of 2024
	6.	This application has been filed for grant of disability pension. It is
		submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has
		been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
	7.	Case needs adjudication.
	8.	Admit.
	9.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to
		file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
		weeks thereafter.
	10	. List on 07.01.2025 .

Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u>(Suppl SI. No. 6)

O.A. No. 957 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1114 of 2024

Sgt Rao ST (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records. There is delay of 17 years 04 months and 26 days in filing of Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation application. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file objection on maintainability of this O.A as well as on delay.
	6. List on 02.12.2024. (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	RK/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u>(Suppl SI. No. 7)

O.A. No. 958 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1115 of 2024

Sgt Sudhir Jha (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders	of the Tribunal
Registry		
	19.09.2	
		e Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) e Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on
		behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as
		Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
	2.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.
		Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and
		Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present
		before the Court.
	3.	Heard the ld. counsel for the parties and perused the records.
	4.	There is delay of 10 years 09 months and 05 days in filing of Original
		Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation
		application.
	5.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection regarding territorial
		jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain this O.A. He prays for and is
		allowed two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file objection on
		maintainability of this O.A before this Tribunal as well as on delay
		condonation application.
	6.	List on 02.12.2024 .
		Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member	(A) Member (J)
	RK/-	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u>(Suppl SI. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 396 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 324 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Singhasan Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	 Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 18.07.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 324 of 2023. 	
	 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. 6. List on 10.01.2025. 	
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1(Suppl SI. No. 9)</u>

Ex-A. No. 398 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1068 of 2023

Ex Sub Chhote Bhaiya Mishra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Heard the ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 07.12.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 1068 of 2023. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal. List on 10.01.2025.
	6. List on 10.01.2025. (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) RK/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A. No. 69 of 2019 Inre: O.A. No. 583 of 2017

Ex Sep Ajay Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriBhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

(Lt Gen Anil Puri)

RK/-

Member (A)

Member (J)

	ndia & Others Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Notes of the Registry	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriYogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. The payment of 50% as back wages for the period 21.01.2012 to 24.09.2020 amounting to Rs. 1847465/- including interest @9% p.a has already been paid to the applicant. Respondents' counsel further submitted that major part of the order has been complied with. Balance amount with interest is to be paid to the applicant and for that on receipt of corrigendum sanction, records of Rajput Regiment vide its letter dated 21.07.2023 has taken up the case with PAO (OR) for issuance of Tender Memo regarding the arrear amount in respect of the applicant. On receipt of Tender Memo, the same shall be processed for payment to the applicant's bank account. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he has provided his Bank account number to the respondents for payment of balance amount in his bank account but despite availing several opportunities payment has not been made. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to comply with the order and make payment of rest of the amount with interest to the applicant failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	6. List on 13.12.2024 .

(Justice Anil Kumar)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (Sl. No. 2)

Ex - A. No. 352 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 565 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Inder Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	19.09.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for
	the respondents are present.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the
	order is under process. He prays for and is granted further four week's
	time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the
	Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer
	with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in
	implementation of the order.
	5. List on 11.12.2024 .
	6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for
	compliance of the order.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	RK/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex - A. No. 407 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.286 of 2021

Hony Capt Yatindra Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

RK/-

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	19.09.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	4. On the case hair a taken on fee hearing Ohri Veebaal Circub. Let Coursel
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present through video conferencing.
	2. This execution application is pending for implementation of the order
	dated 09.01.2023.
	3. Affidavit with regard to the status of the execution, filed on behalf of the
	respondents, is taken on record.
	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that writ petition is to be filed
	against the order of this Tribunal. He prays for and is granted further four
	week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of
	cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the
	applicant.
	6. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them
	by the next date of listing.
	7. Director, PS-4, IHQ, MoD is directed to be present before the Court
	through virtual mode to clarify the cause of long delay in implementation
	of the order.
	8. List on 02.12.2024 .
	9. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for
	compliance of the order.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 4)

Ex - A. No. 410 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 449 of 2022

Ex JWO Sanjeet Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	19.09.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present before the Court and
	Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is
	present through video conferencing.
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Status
	report has also not been filed. Cost has not been deposited.
	3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four
	week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of
	Rs. 10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer
	with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in
	implementation of the order.
	5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them
	by the next date of listing.
	6. List on 02.12.2024 .
	7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for
	compliance of the order.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) (Justice Affil Ruffar) Member (J)
	RK/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 5)

Ex- A. No. 445 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No.839 of 2021

Ex Nk Avnesh Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
Rogiotiy			
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing ld. counsel for the parties are		
	not present today. Departmental Representative is present on behalf of		
	the respondents.		
	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.		
	3. Departmental Representative submits that writ petition has been filed		
	against the order of this Tribunal. She prays for and is granted further		
	four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs.		
	10,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	4. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer		
	with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in		
	implementation of the order.		
	5. List on 09.12.2024 .		
	6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for		
	compliance of the order.		
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J)		
	RK/-		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex -A. No. 470 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 690 of 2022

Ex Sgt Nab Kishore Nayak Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs. 6000/-in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order. List on 13.12.2024. 	
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex - A. No. 477of 2023 Inre: T.A. No.11 of 2023

JWO Manoj Kumar Jaiswal (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersBy Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal			
Registry				
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents			
	are present.			
	2. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he has no instructions in this			
	case from his client and seeks permission of the Tribunal to withdraw this case.			
	He is permitted to withdraw this execution application.			
	3. Accordingly, the instant execution applicant is dismissed as			
	withdrawn.			
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			
	RK/-			

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 8)

Ex-A No. 67 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1055 of 2022

Ex MWO Ombir Singh Upadhyay Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present before the Court and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Compliance Affidavit as a last opportunity failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant List on 06.01.2025. 	
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 397 of 2021

Rect / Dressar Adarsh Pratap Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Coufor the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents present through video conferencing. Applicant was granted time to file reply/ rejoinder affidavit which has been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a we further time as a last opportunity to file reply/ rejoinder affidavit, if any, fawhich opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall stand closed. List for final hearing on 04.11.2024. 		Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are g. to file reply/ rejoinder affidavit which has not pplicant prays for and is granted a week's to file reply/ rejoinder affidavit, if any, failing affidavit shall stand closed.
	(Lt Gei Membe	n Anil Puri) r (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 10)

O.A. No. 81 of 2023

Ex Nk Rajiv Giri Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate

Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry			
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	Ld. 0 2. affid toda 3.	he applicant is present before Counsel for the respondents is Despite availing several oppart has not been filed. Opport y.	the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, present through video conferencing. Portunities and even last opportunity counter unity to file counter affidavit is hereby closed ments in support of their version till the next 1.2025.
		ien Anil Puri) ber (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 607 of 2023

Ex NkVanamaSriniwas Rao

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: WgCdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	 On the case being taken up for hear Counsel for the applicant is present before Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the response conferencing. Ld. counsel for the respondents subtracting the course of the day. List on 22.11.2024. 	ore the Court and Dr. Shailendra ondents is present through video	
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No.12)

O.A. No. 1218 of 2023

Ex MWO Bhup Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate

Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		
	present.		
	2. Despite availing several opportunities even last opportunity, CA has not		
	been filed so far.		
	3. On the request of departmental representative, two weeks' further time		
	is allowed to file CA, failing which the opportunity to file CA shall stand closed.		
	4. List on 26.11.2024 .		
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1219 of 2023

Ex WO Qadir Ali Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate

Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)			
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that similar matter is pending before Larger Bench. On his prayer, the case is adjourned for the day. 			
	before Larger Bench. On his prayer, the case is adjourned for the day. 3. List on 13.01.2025.			
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) GIRISH/-			

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1235 of 2023

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Ram Bhawan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Despite availing several opportunities, CA has not been filed by the respondents so far. On the request of departmental representative, two weeks' further time is allowed to file CA by way of last opportunity, failing which the opportunity to file CA shall stand closed. List on 29.11.2024. 		
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) GIRISH/-		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 67 of 2024

Ex – WO Ram Awadh Rai Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
Registry	12.22			
	_	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
		ole Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for		
	the ap	oplicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		
	prese	nt.		
	2.	Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	3.	O.A. is allowed.		
	4.	4. Detailed order on separate sheets.		
	5.	Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have		
	been disposed off.			
	(Lt Ge	en Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
		Member (A) Member (J)		
	GIRISH/-	-		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 208 of 2024

Brig Shantanu Mukherjee (Retd) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Birendra Prasad Singh, Advocate

Shri Devendra Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Birendra Prasad Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present, but the counsel for the respondents is not present. Departmental representative submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. She prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file CA. List on 27.11.2024. 		
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) GIRISH/-		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 209 of 2024

Sub Sandeep Kumar Mehta Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. CA filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	 RA, filed by the applicant, is also taken on record. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. Detailed order on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed off.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) GIRISH/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 214 of 2024

Ex Sub Subhash Kushwaha Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. CA has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has already received para-wise comments and CA is under preparation. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file CA. List on 19.11.2024.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) GIRISH/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 215 of 2024

Hav Ratan Rai Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. CA has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has already received para-wise comments and CA is under preparation. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file CA. List on 19.11.2024.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 216 of 2024

Nk Hemant Yadav Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	2. CA has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents
	submits that he has already received para-wise comments and CA is under
	preparation. He prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file CA.
	3. List on 20.11.2024 .
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	GIRISH/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 224 of 2024

Ex Nk Ram Naresh SinghBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. CA has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received para-wise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file CA. List on 02.12.2024.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 519 of 2024

WO Vinay Kumar Pandey Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. CA has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. She prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file CA. List on 16.12.2024.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 520 of 2024

GpCapt Sandeep Kaul (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: GpCapt Y Venugopal (Retd), Advocate

Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	 On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. CA has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received para-wise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file CA. List on 19.12.2024.
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 523 of 2024

Nk Satya Prakash Dhiman (Retd)Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	Tion ble Lt Gen Aim Fun, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. CA filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	3. RA, if any, may be filed within two weeks.
	4. List on 02.12.2024 .
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	GIRISH/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 527 of 2024

Ex Sub Ram Anuj Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	19.09.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. CA has not been filed so far. Learned counsel for the respondents
	submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted
	four weeks' further time to file CA.
	3. List on 17.12.2024 .
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	GIRISH/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 761 2024 with M.A. No. 856 of 2024

Ex Rect Mohit

Applicant

Applicant: Shri Ved Brokesh Advente

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate

Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	Hon ble Lt Gen Aim F un, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	M.A. No. 856 of 2024
	2. There is delay of one month and 26 days in filing this Original
	Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed delay condonation
	application. There are sufficient grounds to condone the delay. Delay is
	condoned. Delay Condonation Application stands disposed off.
	O.A. No. 761 of 2024
	3. This Application has been filed for grant of disability pension.
	4. Case needs adjudication.
	5. Admit.
	6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks' time to file CA. RA, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	7. List on 09.01.2025 .
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	GIRISH/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 28)

O.A. No. 836 of 2024

Mamta Yadav W/o Sep Amit Kumar YadavApplicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
- togion y	
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Respondents were granted time to file Objection against maintainability
	of the O.A. before this Tribunal, which has not been filed so far. Learned
	counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to
	file Objection, if any.
	3. List on 04.11.2024 .
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	GIRISH/-

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No. 29)

RA. No. 1 of 2024 with M.A. No. 72 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 338 of 2023

Ex SWA / APP Tribhuwan Prasad Bahuguna

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate

Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing, none appears on behalf of the
	applicant. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.
	2. This Review Application has been filed by the applicant for reviewing the
	order dated 23.3.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 338 of 2023 with
	M.A. No. 382 of 2023 in re. Ex. SWA/APP Tribhuwan Prasad Bahuguna Vs.
	Union of India & Others. The operative part of the order reads as under:-
	"we find that explanation offered by the applicant for delay in
	filing Original Application is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is
	given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit,
	delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly
	failed in the present case.
	In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.
	Accordingly, delay condonation application is rejected.
	Original Application being time barred is also rejected."
	3. Alongwith the Review Application, the applicant has also filed Delay
	Condonation Application bearing M.A. No. 72 of 2024 with a prayer to condone
	the delay in filing the Review Application. As per office report, there is delay of
	06 months and 21 days in filing the instant Review Application.
	4. Heard the learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record
	including delay condonation application. Learned counsel for the applicant is
	not present.
	5. The grounds shown in the delay condonation application are not
	convincing to condone the delay. Furthermore, the delay has not been
	explained on day- to-day basis.

- 6. Having heard the learned counsel for the respondents, we are of the considered opinion that the explanations/grounds as offered by the applicant for condonation of delay in filing Review Application are not sufficient. It is trite law that if somebody has slept over his/her right, then the Court/Tribunal cannot/should not get sympathy to entertain his/her case on its own merits.
- 7. Since the applicant has failed to attract any ground to condone the delay in filing the Review Application and as such the delay condonation application bearing M.A. No. 72/2024 is liable to be dismissed and is **dismissed** accordingly. Consequently, the Review Application is also **dismissed** being barred by time.
- 8. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal shall be treated to have been disposed off.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)

Member (J)

(Justice Anil Kumar)

GIRISH/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 30)

O.A. No. 73 of 2023

Ex Cfn Arun Kumar Shahi Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. On the joint request of the parties' counsel, the case is adjourned for the
	day.
	3. Respondents are directed to retain the original records till the next date
	of listing.
	4. List on 27.11.2024 .
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 31)

O.A. No. 616 of 2023

Smt. Sheetal Tiwari W/o Ex Sep Niraj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Maj S M Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
	2. On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.
	3. List on 28.01.2025 .
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	GIRISH/-

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 1 (SI. No. 32)

O.A. No. 882 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFL) Nalini Kanta Mahapatra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate.

Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	40.00.2024
	19.09.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)
	TION NO EC CON AIM I WILL MONING (A)
	1 On the case being taken up for begring Shri Bei Kumar Mishra I.d.
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. On the request of both the parties, the case is adjourned for the day.
	2. List on 21.10.2024 .
	(Lt Gen Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	GIRISH/-