

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 117 of 2023

Ex. WO Bal Chand Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Order pronounced today in the open Court.</div><div>2. Original Application is partly allowed.</div><div>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</div><div>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 411 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 428 of 2023

Ex HFO Bhrigunath Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Markandey Tiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Markandey Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 16.08.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 428 of 2023.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>5. List on 03.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. No. 352 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 523 of 2021

Ex Nb Sub / SKT Ramanand Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, holding brief of Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance report has already been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file objection on compliance report which has not been filed.</div><div>4. Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, submits that Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant is not present today. He prays for one week further time to file objection on compliance report.</div><div>5. As prayed, one week further time is granted to file objection on compliance report, if any.</div><div>6. List on 15.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex.A. No. 356 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 379 of 2020

Ex Sigmn Rohitash Gurjar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, holding brief of Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajiv Kumar Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad are present.</p> <p>3. Compliance report has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded on 18.07.2024. Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad submits that claim of the applicant has not been received in PCDA (P), Allahabad. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due by the next date fixed.</p> <p>4. List on 17.01.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="349 1932 829 2010"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>UKT/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="842 1932 1474 2010"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 387 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 103 of 2023

Lt Col Parul Gupta (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon’ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week’s time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by the next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on 03.02.2025.</p> <p>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex.No. 8 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 209 of 2021

Ex Nk Vinod Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Status report filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Compliance report has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of order passed by this Tribunal, Govt sanction has been obtained. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due by the next date fixed.</div><div>4. List on 20.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 9 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 356 of 2023

Ex Nk Shashidhar Sharan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<p>Notes of the Registry</p>	<p>Orders of the Tribunal</p> <hr/> <p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Lt. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Lt. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing. 2. Heard the Lt. Counsel for the parties and perused the records. 3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 4. Lt. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any, of the Hon'ble Court failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant. 5. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit with regard to the present status of the execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order. 6. List on 04.02.2025. 7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>
-------------------------------------	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 71 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 579 of 2022

Ex Sgt Dhananjaya Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present in the revised list.</div><div>3. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>6. Respondents are further directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</div><div>7. List on 04.02.2025.</div><div>8. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 72 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 367 of 2023

Ex MWO A K Prasad

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Compliance report has not been filed. Cost has not been deposited. Status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order passed by this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs. 6,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due by the next date fixed. Respondents are also directed to file present status report from responsible officer.</div><div>4. List on 05.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex.A. No. 143 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 89 of 2023

Ex Sigmn Sohan Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four week's time to file compliance affidavit and status report of this execution case failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 5000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 05.02.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex.A. No. 144 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 265 of 2023

Ex Sgt Ajay Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any.</div><div>5. List on 05.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 145 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 980 of 2022

Ex MWO Rajive Kumar Saxena
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer with regard to the status of the execution and cause of delay in implementation of the order, filed on behalf of the respondents, is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition is to be filed against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court. He prays for and is granted further four week's time as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit/ stay order, if any.</div><div>5. List on 06.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 146 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 291 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFO) Mahesh Kumar Gupta

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>5. List on 06.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

Ex-A. No. 258 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 595 of 2023

Ex Sgt Vijay Kumar Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 259 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 362 of 2020

Ex Nb Sub Sanjeev Kumar Gupta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd) , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of the order dated 06.07.2021 passed in O.A No. 362/2020. In this case, respondents were directed to conduct RMB of the applicant within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. RMB has not been conducted by the respondents.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks further time as a last opportunity to comply with the order of this Tribunal failing which respondents shall deposit the cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>5. List on 07.02.2025.</div><div>6. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance of the order.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

Ex- A. No. 260 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 1140 of 2023

Ex L/NK Naveen Joshi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<p>Notes of the Registry</p>	<p>Orders of the Tribunal</p>
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file Compliance Affidavit. 4. List on 07.02.2025. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1083 of 2023

Ex SSR Jyoti Prakash Satapathy

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate
Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. List revised.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing in revised list, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. None appears to present the case of the applicant, nor is there any mention made on his behalf to pass over or adjourn the case. Cost of Rs. 1,000/- imposed on applicant vide order dated 14.08.2024 has also not been deposited.</div><div>4. Accordingly, we dismiss the application for non-prosecution.</div><div>5. Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1190 of 2023

Ex Sub Tiwari Jitendra Kumar
Ramesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Today is last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit which has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. His opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is closed.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the parties that similar matter is pending before Larger Bench, Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 10.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1434 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2085 of 2023

Ex Nk Yashwant Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 31.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1462 of 2023

AC (UT) Vineet
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that despite availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 31.01.2025.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 128 of 2024

Ex L Hav Dara Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 22.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 173 of 2024

Ex Hav Sumer YadavApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 22.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 479 of 2024 with M.A. No. 558 of 2024

Ex SWR Navin Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 09.12.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 482 of 2024 with M.A. No. 565 of 2024

Ex Sep (Dvr/ MR) MZH KhanApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate
Shri Raghuvansh Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>21.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. Reply to objection on delay condonation applicant filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</div> <div>M.A. No. 565 of 2024</div> <div>3. Objection on application for condonation of delay filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</div> <div>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. There is delay of more than 24 years in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div> <div>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</div> <div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</div> <div>7. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</div>

O.A. No. 482 of 2024

8. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
9. Case needs adjudication.
10. Admit.
11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
12. List on **21.01.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 638 of 2024 with M.A. No. 730 of 2024

Ex CPO WTR Surya Nath

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 730 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension which is recurring cause of action. There is delay of more than 10 years in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 638 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p>

- 8. Admit.
- 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 10. List on **30.01.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 643 of 2024

Smt. Shela Devi W/o Ex Hav Late
Ganga Sahay
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 30.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 676 of 2024

Nb Sub Dhananjay Rai (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 31.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 677 of 2024

WO Krishn Kumar Gupta (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 31.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 678 of 2024

Sgt Ashish Kumar Dwivedi (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents
Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 31.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 684 of 2024

Ex Sub Vinay Kumar Maurya
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 03.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 736 of 2024 with M.A. No. 831 of 2024

Ex Nk Om Prakash

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 831 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Objection on application for condonation of delay filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3 This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability element which is recurring cause of action. There is delay of more than 25 years in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed. Applicant is getting service pension.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Apex court in the case of Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 736 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for grant of disability element has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>8. Case needs adjudication.</p>

- 9. Admit.
- 10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 11 . List on **03.02.2025**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 508 of 2023

Ex Sep Dhanoj Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Mishra, Advocate
Shri Vatsaly Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate
Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>21.10.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vatsalya Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for respondent No 1, 4, 5 and 6 and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava for respondents No 2 and 3 are present.</div> <div>2. Amendment has been carried out by learned counsel for the applicant. Pleadings are complete.</div> <div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div> <div>4. Argument concluded.</div> <div>5. Order reserved.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 821 of 2023

Ex HFO Satish Chandra Rai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the request of learned counsel for the respondents the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List on 25.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 882 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFL) Nalini Kanta Mahapatra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. O.A is partly allowed.</p> <p>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Sub Anil Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>3. List on 11.11.2024 for final hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="336 1448 756 1567"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>UKT/-</p> </div> <div data-bbox="756 1448 1464 1567"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 28 of 2024

Hav / AA Bindu Mahesh Jaladi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.10.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned counsel for the respondents the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 18.11.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="378 1628 816 1696" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1055 1628 1360 1696" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 35)

T.A. No. 4 of 2024

Ex AC (U /T) (7001998-F)
Ganesh Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.10.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. As a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 30.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	UKT/-