

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 323 of 2024 with M.A. No. 403 of 2024

Sgt. Suresh Kumar Gupta (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 403 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 01 year, 02 months and 16 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 323 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim</p>

for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

13. List on **29.07..2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 324 of 2024 with M.A. No. 366 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Dinesh Chandra Singh Bisht Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondents and submitted that he will file his Memo of Appearance during the course of the day.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.3. Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing. <p><u>M.A. No. 366 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">4. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.6. There is a delay of 01 year, 06 months and 17 days in filing Original Application.7. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.9. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.

O.A. No. 324 of 2024

10. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.

11. Matter needs adjudication.

12. Admit.

13. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

14. List on **29.07.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 325 of 2024 with M.A. No. 404 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Krishna Kanta Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 404 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 02 months and 17 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 325 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p>

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

13. List on **29.07.2024**

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 328 of 2024

Hony. Lt. Sunil Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Element of Disability Pension to the applicant.5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability element of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.6. Matter needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.9. List on 30.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 329 of 2024 with M.A. No. 409 of 2024

Sub. Chandra Prakash Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through Video Conferencing and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 409 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Element of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 03 months and 18 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 329 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability element of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on 30.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 330 of 2024 with M.A. No. 410 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Santosh Singh Adhikari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.3. Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. <p><u>M.A. No. 410 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">4. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.5. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.6. There is a delay of 01 month and 18 days in filing Original Application.7. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.9. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly. <p><u>O.A. No. 330 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">10. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.11. Matter needs adjudication.12. Admit.

13. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

14. List on **30.07.2024**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 119 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 94 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Yogendra Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 08.05.2023 passed in Original Application No. 94 of 2023.4. It is fresh Execution Application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.6. List on 18.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 120 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 1001 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Harish Chadnra Joshi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 11.04.2023 passed in Original Application No. 1001 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 18.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 134 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 314 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Murare Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 07.08.2023 passed in Original Application No. 314 of 2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 18.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 137 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 864 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Sanjeev Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.3. Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.4. It is fresh Execution Application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 26.09.2023 passed in Original Application No. 864 of 2023.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.6. List on 19.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 138 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 956 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Satya Dev Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. It is fresh Execution Application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 02.05.2023 passed in Original Application No. 956 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 19.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

Ex. A. No. 184 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 733 of 2020

Ex. Rect./NA Hari Om Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Respondents were directed to file better compliance affidavit and it was also directed that in case of non-filing of better affidavit cost of Rs.2,000/- shall be deposited.3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed but cost of Rs.2,000/- has been deposited in the registry.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file better compliance affidavit failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. List on 09.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 230 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 93 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Birjija Bhar Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Babjpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 09.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

Ex. A. No. 236 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 590 of 2021

Ex. L/Nk. Sunil Kumar Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case has been filed which is taken on record.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.6. List on 30.05.2024.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

Ex. A. No. 69 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 207 of 2022

Ex. Hony. Sub. Maj. Lal Bachan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the parties that in this case Writ Petition has been filed against the order of this Tribunal and Hon'ble High Court has passed stay order.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record by way of affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 31.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

Ex. A. No. 89 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 494 of 2022

Ex. Ni. Laxman Saini Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order.5. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.6. List on 09.07.2024.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

Ex. A. No. 96 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 772 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub. Sheo Sagar Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution matter and cause of delay in implementation of order.6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.7. List on 09.07.2024.8. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

Ex. A. No. 325 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 824 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Kheem Singh Mehra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 27.05.2024.</p> <p>4. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

Ex. A. No. 327 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 1089 of 2022

Sub. Maj. (Hony. Capt.) Arun Kumar Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 27.05.2024.</p> <p>4. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

Ex. A. No. 331 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 16 of 2023

Ex. L Nk. Virendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Snigh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 27.05.2024.</p> <p>4. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

Ex. A. No. 369 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 645 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Shankar Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. List on 28.05.2024.5. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

Ex. A. No. 439 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 968 of 2022

Ex. JWO Satya Prakash Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri D.K. Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri D.K. Dixit, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance.4. List on 04.07.2024.5. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the Respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

Ex. A. No. 487 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 876 of 2022

Ex. Nk. (TS) Bishram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kmar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance.4. List on 10.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 166 of 2023

Col. Ashutosh Tripathi (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file Rejoinder Affidavit.3. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.4. Original Application is partly allowed.5. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 429 of 2023

Ex. AC Pawan Negi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of arguments Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he is pressing for the grant of Invalid Pension only and not Disability Pension.</p> <p>3. Original Application is partly allowed for the Invalid Pension.</p> <p>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 552 of 2023

Ex. L/Nk. Vipin Chandra Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit, but Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one weeks and no more time as last opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit failing which opportunity shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 09.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 605 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Shakil Ahmed Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pramod Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Rejoinder Affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Pramod Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is partly allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 608 of 2023

Nk. Eldhose Abraham Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.2. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.4. List on 15.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 715 of 2023

Brig. Puran Chandra Jena (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The respondents were directed to produce original documents but they failed to do so, hence, the case is taken up for hearing on the basis of material available on record.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Arguments concluded.4. Order reserved. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 960 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Ramavtar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 1018 of 2023

Lt. Col. Asoke Kumar Saha (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original Application is allowed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 1080 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Maj. Sachchida Nand Misra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he is not inclined to file Rejoinder Affidavit.3. Heard Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.4. Original Application is allowed.5. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 1082 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Shailesh Kumar Ray Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is partly allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 1180 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1621 of 2023

Lt. Col. Shashi Bala (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the respondents but reply has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file reply failing which opportunity shall stand closed.4. List on 24.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 35)

O.A. No. 1186 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Balwant Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. H.M. Maheshwar (Veteran), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. H.M. Maheshwari (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 22.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 36)

O.A. No. 1215 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Rajendra Prasad Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 22.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 37)

O.A. No. 1235 of 2023

Ex. Hav. (ACP Nb. Sub.) Ram Bhawan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 22.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 38)

O.A. No. 1301 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1883 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Umesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.2. Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. Applicant was granted time to file reply but reply has not been filed.4. Reply, if any, may be filed till the next date of listing.5. List on 04.05.2024 for hearing on the objection against maintainability of this Original Application filed by the respondents. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 39)

O.A. No. 1464 of 2023

Ex. Nb. Sub. Umesh Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 01.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 40)

O.A. No. 1519 of 2023

Ex. Sub. (Hony. Sub. Maj.) Mansing Jaysing Tondale Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 01.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 41)

O.A. No. 52 of 2024 with M.A. No. 62 of 2024

Ex. Sigm. Vijay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.2. Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. Objection on maintainability filed by the respondents is taken on record.4. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.5. List on 04.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 42)

O.A. No. 210 of 2024

Raju F/o Sep. Vishnu Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw this Original Application with liberty to file afresh.3. Applicant is permitted to withdraw this Original Application.4. Original Application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 43)

O.A. No. 337 of 2024 with M.A. No. 412 of 2024

Ex. Sep. Harish Chandra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate
Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Applicant was directed to file reply to the objection filed by the respondents, but reply has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply as last opportunity.4. List on 29.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 44)
T.A. No. 49 of 2023

JWO Neeraj Kumar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit failing which opportunity to file Counter Affidavit shall stand closed.4. List on 24.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 45)
O.A. No. 543 of 2018

Ex. Hav. Vijay Malik Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Original documents pertaining to this case including Court of Inquiry is not available today for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to produce original documents pertaining to this case including Court of Inquiry for perusal of the Bench on the next date fixed.</p> <p>4. List on 16.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 46)

O.A. No. 378 of 2022

Ex. Cfn. Aryavir Tomar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Chaudhary Narendra Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 18.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 47)

O.A. No. 250 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Ajeet Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>3. List on 15.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 48)

T.A. No. 58 of 2023

HFO Shree Shankar Mishra (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through Video Conferencing.2. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. List on 15.05.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)
O.A. No. 342 of 2024

Sgt. M.R. Uddin Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondents and submitted that he will file his Memo of Appearance during the course of the day.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through Video Conferencing and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents through Video Conferencing assisted by Master Warrant Officer S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents on admission of this Original Application.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised objection regarding maintainability of this Original Application. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 29.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>