

Ex. WO Vinay Kumar Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashis Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 792 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 01 year, 05 months and 26 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 688 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 23.10.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)

A. No. 690 of 2024 with M.A. No. 788 of 2024

Ex. WO Parasuram Giri Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On call of the case Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate submits that he will file memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents during course of the day.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of disability pension. There is delay of 10 years, 03 months and 29 days in filing the Original Application for which application for condonation of delay has been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. List on 05.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/- </p>

Ex. Sep Sanjay Kumar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mihsra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 790 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the documents available on record. There is delay of 02 years, 01 month and 28 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 692 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for grant of disability element. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 23.10.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A. No. 114 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 244 of 2021

Ex.Hav. Narendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA, Allahabad.</div><div>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file objection against compliance report.</div><div>4. List on 24.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)
Ex-A. No. 323 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 25 of 2022

Ex.Rect. Aditya Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>22.07.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad.</div> <div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance report has been filed and order under execution has been fully complied with. Shri RK Tiwari,Account OfficerPCDA (P) submits that amount due to the applicant has been paid.</div> <div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that amount due to the applicant has been credited in applicant’s account and nothing is due.</div> <div>4. In view of above, the instant execution application stands disposed of having become infructuous as the order of this Tribunal has been complied with in full satisfaction.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>UKT/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 378 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 556 of 2021

Ex. MWO (HFO) Devendra Singh Janmeda Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sahrma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit filed on behalf of respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this case, respondents intend to file appeal before Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks' time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition of payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be deposited in registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 16.10.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 29 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No 656 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Sarabjeet Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arvind Thakur , Advocate
Shri Ashish Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arvind Thakur and Shri Ashish Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record to which the Id. Counsel for the applicant may file objection, if any, within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 09.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A. No172 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 523 of 2022

Ex.NK Shiv Bahadur SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>Heard Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>On the last date, respondents were directed to file reply to objection on compliance report filed by the applicant but the same has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further two weeks’ time to file the same.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>As a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file reply.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 09.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 367 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 707 of 2022

Ex. Sub. (SKT) Gopal Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi , Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this case writ petition was filed and stay order has been passed by Hon’ble High Court. He prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to bring the same on record.</div><div>3. List on 08.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 387 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 103 of 2023

Lt. Col. Parul Gupta (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J L Joel, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri J L Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs. 5,000/- shall be imposedwhich shall be deposited in registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 21.10.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 484 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 949 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj Ajay Kumar SharmaApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</div><div>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition of payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be deposited in registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 24.09.2024.</div><div>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 485 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 951 of 2022

Ex. Sub Satyendra Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P) Allahabad.</div><div>2. Status report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, PPO has been issued. He prays for and is granted two weeks’ further time to file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>3. List on 27.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 8 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 209 of 2021

Ex. NK Vinod Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col.Ashok Kumar (Retd) , Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Status report has also not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks’ time to file compliance report.</p> <p>3. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs. 5,000/- shall be imposed which shall be deposited in registry and shall be remitted to the applicant. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 21.10.2024.</p> <p>5. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 09 of 2024 Inre: O.A. No. 356 of 2023

Ex.NK Shashidhar Sharan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks' time to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, four weeks' time is granted to comply the order and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 21.10.2021.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 493 of 2023 with M.A. No. 585 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Mukesh Kumar Tewari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Amendment Application filed by the applicant is taken on record. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the respondents within four weeks.</div><div>3. List on 06.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 654 of 2023

Ex.Hav. Mahendra Singh Bisht Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the records. 2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record. 3. Pleadings have been exchanged. 4. Arguments concluded. 4. O.A. is partly allowed. 5. For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets. 6. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1048 of 2023

Ex. NK Pramod Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 12,08.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1172 of 2023

Ex HFL Kamal Krishna Roy Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G S Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G S Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. On the last date, learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file supplementary counter affidavit against amended portion of O.A. but the same has not been filed. He prays for and is granted further four weeks’ time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 03.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1173 of 2023

Ex HFO Devendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks’ time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 03.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1195 of 2023

Ex WO Girish Chandra Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings have been exchanged.</p> <p>4. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>5. O.A. is allowed.</p> <p>6. For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>7. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1302 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj Kanhaiya Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Pleadings have been exchanged.</div><div>4. Arguments concluded.</div><div>5. O.A. is allowed.</div><div>6. For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>UKT/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1303 of 2023

HFO U K Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raghuveer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 03.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1335 of 2023

Ex Sub Dhruvendra Ram Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks’ time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks' time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1403 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Bhuri Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate
Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter and rejoinder affidavits filed by the respondents and applicant respectively are taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</p> <p>4. O.A is Allowed.</p> <p>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 1408 of 2023

Ex.Hav. Ravindra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 21.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1409 of 2023

Ex. Hon Sub Lt MC EL ® II Anil Kumar SolankiApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>22.07.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. 4. List on 17.09.2024.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 1416 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2052 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Satya Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Reply/ Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>4. Applicant was granted time to file reply to the Para 2 of the objection filed by the respondents but reply with regard to Para 2 has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file reply to the Para 2 of the objection filed by the respondents.</div><div>5. List on 05.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1432 of 2023

Ex. Hav. (OCC) Kuldeep Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>22.07.2024 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div> <div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div> <div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div> <div>5. O.A is Allowed.</div> <div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div> <div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 9 of 2024

Sub Mahendra Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 09.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 10 of 2024

Sub. Sadanand Ram (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. On the request of Id. counsel for the parties, heard the matter and perused the records.</div><div>5. O.A is allowed.</div><div>6. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>7. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 79 of 2024

Ex. Nb. Man Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none presentfor the applicant.Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 13.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 258 of 2024 with M.A. No. 324 of 2024

Ex Sub Maj Mahesh Shahi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Swantatra Dev, Advocate
Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the applicant has not challenged the impugned order in this case.</p> <p>3. Objection filed by the respondents is taken on record to which the reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 05.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 479 of 2024 with M.A. No.558 of 2024

Ex. SWR Navin Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd) , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. In this case, respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of the O.A. Objection has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file objection, if any.</div><div>4. List on 19.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 328 of 2017

Smt. Babita Verma W/o Late Ex. Hav. Pradeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.K. Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh appears on behalf of the applicant and submits that he has filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant but his name has not been shown in the cause list. Registry is directed to print his name as counsel of the applicant in the future cause list.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to file supplementary counter affidavit to the amended portion of the O.A which has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity of further two weeks to file reply/ supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 20.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 255 of 2021

Pradeep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</p> <p>3. This case needs physical hearing. Respondents’ counsel is directed to be present physically to argue the case on the next date or file his written arguments.</p> <p>4. List for physical hearing on 03.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 95 of 2023

Ex. Gnr. (DMT) Manpreet SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocte

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties at length and perused the records.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List this case as Part Heard on17.09.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 35)

O.A. No. 838 of 2023

Lt. Col. Bommana Satyanarayana (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List this case as Part Heard on Monday, i.e. 29.07.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 36)

T.A. No. 6 of 2013

Zamir Ahmad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate
Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present before the Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. This case needs physical hearing. Ld. Counsel for the parties shall remain present physically before the Court on the next date of hearing.</p> <p>3. In this case, respondents were directed to produce original documents pertaining to this case for perusal of the Bench but original documents have not been produced and it is informed that original documents have been destroyed.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to produce Destruction Certificate alongwith an affidavit and also produce all the documents which are available with them on the next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on 24.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 37)

T.A. No. 11 of 2024

Ex. Sgt. Suman Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard the Id. Counsel for the parties and perused the records.</div><div>3. T.A is Partly Allowed.</div><div>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>